The primary groups affected by these fees are private operators, and retailers. Changes or increases to

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND/OR ECONOMIC BENEFITS TO DIRECTLY AFFECTED PERSONS OR

NON COVENANT GROUPS

S (Summary)

No effect on revenue collection of local government units is anticipated.

Find Transfer Station Reimbursement: The increased revenue will be deposited into the Oil and Gas Reimbursement Fund and annual dispersed to EPA for Environmental Protection Agency. The Revenue from the Oil and Gas Reimbursement Fund will replace fossil fuels tax revenues.
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The proposals would result in an increase of approximately $22,000 or approximately 2 percent of the total revenue from these fees.

4.4 percent will be used for the operation of existing solid waste management facilities and the enforcement of existing solid waste management regulations.

2) The increase in revenue from the Oil and Gas Reimbursement Fund will replace the oil and gas tax revenues.

The proposed rule will likely result in an increase of approximately $22,000 or approximately 2 percent of the total revenue from these fees.

4.4 percent will be used for the operation of existing solid waste management facilities and the enforcement of existing solid waste management regulations.
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I. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT (SUMMARY)

Increase industry expenses by approximately $220,000 per mile of minimum of $400 per vehicle per annual transportation fees from $22.40 per vehicle to $24.00 per vehicle. The estimated effect of this provision is $220,000 per mile of minimum of $400 per vehicle per annual transportation fees from $22.40 per vehicle to $24.00 per vehicle. This is estimated to impact competition and employment in the public and private sector.
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ammonium sulfate of 99.8% purity. The ammonium nitrate fertilizer used in the experimental plots was supplied by
Fertilizer Corporation of India, Ltd. The ammonium nitrate fertilizer was applied in two equal splits at the rates of
20 and 10 kg/ha to plots 2 and 3, respectively.

B. Analysis of Results
The above-mentioned factors that affect the yield of rice include the level of input, type of fertilizer used, and
management practices employed by farmers. The rice yields obtained in the present study are presented in Table 1.

The results indicate that the application of ammonium nitrate fertilizer increased the shoot dry weight and
seed yield of rice significantly. The highest shoot dry weight and seed yield were obtained in plots 2 and 3,
respectively. The results also show that the yield of rice was significantly affected by the level of input, with
the highest yield being obtained in plots 2 and 3.

C. Conclusion
The results of the present study indicate that the application of ammonium nitrate fertilizer is an effective
measure to increase the yield of rice. The yield of rice can be further improved by optimizing the level of input
and management practices employed by farmers.
FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT
WORKSHEET

I. A. COSTS OR SAVINGS TO STATE AGENCIES RESULTING FROM THE ACTION PROPOSED

1. What is the anticipated increase (decrease) in costs to implement the proposed action?

Not Applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COSTS</th>
<th>FY16-17</th>
<th>FY17-18</th>
<th>FY18-19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal Services</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Expenses</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Services</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Charges</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Repair &amp; Constr.</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Provide a narrative explanation of the costs or savings shown in "A.1.", including the increase or reduction in workload or additional paperwork (number of new forms, additional documentation, etc.) anticipated as a result of the implementation of the proposed action. Describe all data, assumptions, and methods used in calculating these costs.

3. Sources of funding for implementing the proposed rule or rule change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>FY16-17</th>
<th>FY17-18</th>
<th>FY18-19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State General Fund</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Self-Generated</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Oil &amp; Gas Regulatory Fund</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does your agency currently have sufficient funds to implement the proposed action? If not, how and when do you anticipate obtaining such funds?

Yes. The adoption of Statewide Order No. 29-R-16/17 will generate sufficient funds to allow the Office of Conservation to perform mandated responsibilities.

B. COST OR SAVINGS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS RESULTING FROM THE ACTION PROPOSED.

1. Provide an estimate of the anticipated impact of the proposed action on local governmental units, including adjustments in workload and paperwork requirements. Describe all data, assumptions and methods used in calculating this impact.

There will be no anticipated impact on local governmental units resulting from this action.

2. Indicate the sources of funding of the local governmental unit which will be affected by these costs or savings.

Not Applicable.
The primary groups affected by the proposed action:

A. Persons in government agencies would be directly affected by the proposed action (e.g., those responsible for implementing and maintaining the proposed action).

B. Persons whose personal finances will be affected by the proposed action.

C. Persons who will be affected by the proposed action in their capacity as citizens or consumers.

III. Costs and/or Economic Impact Statement

Local and State Governmental Agencies would be accessible at:

1. Local and State Governmental Agencies would be accessible at:
   A. The proposed action has a national economic impact.
   B. The proposed action has a significant economic impact.

IV. Potential Financial Impacts

A. Increased costs for government agencies:

B. Decreased costs for government agencies:

C. No significant financial impacts.

V. Economic Impact

A. Potential economic impacts:

B. No significant economic impacts.

VI. Revenue Increase/Decrease

A. Potential revenue increases:

B. Potential revenue decreases:

C. No significant revenue impacts.

VII. Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement

A. Potential fiscal and economic impacts:

B. No significant fiscal and economic impacts.
Implementation of the proposed rule changes are not expected to impact competition and employment in the public and private sectors. Estimation of the public sector includes a summary of any data, assumptions, and methods used in making these estimates and provide estimates of the impact of the proposed action on competition and employment in the public sector.

I. EFFECTS ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT

WORKSHEET

FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT