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if at any time you need a break, please let me know. And -- and if I begin to talk over you or you talk over me, I apologize. It's just that's not my intent. It's just technology. It looks like there may be a delay in -- in dealing with documents, so we will -- we will get through this the best we can together.

Can you tell me who you are here today on behalf of?
A. I may not know all the players, but the attorneys that were just introduced here. I'm -- I'm working for Chevron, I know, and, of course, billing for BP, SNG. I don't know, maybe a week of people as far as I know. There's a lot of people involved in this case.
Q. Okay. So as far as you know, you represent --
A. There may be more. I can't remember everybody.

## THE VIDEOGRAPHER:

His video is frozen, but his audio is coming through.
THE WITNESS:
Oh. Oh, and that's right. Energen,
I'm sorry, yeah. Yes, Energen. I'm --

I'm sorry.
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. Okay. Can you just briefly take me back through your -- your educational history, please, sir?
A. Yes. I have -- I got a bachelor's degree in water lithology, master's degree in -in fisheries biology with an emphasis in estuarine ecology; and for my PhD , I went into agriculture and I have a PhD in plant pathology.
Q. And you got your BS from LSU ; is that right?
A. Louisiana Tech.
Q. Louisiana Tech. And what year did you graduate with your BS?
A. '66.
Q. And your -- your master's, where did you get that degree from and what year did you graduate?
A. LSU, '69.
Q. And same question for your PhD ?
A. LSU, '71.
Q. And what has -- can you -- can you walk me through your work experience, let's say, after you got your PhD in '71?
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A. $\mathrm{PhD}, \mathrm{I}$-- I got my PhD , I did some post doctoral research at LSU. It was under the entomology department. They had an area that I worked on for -- for a semester. And from that time, I went on to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in New Orleans as an environmental resources specialist working on civil works projects. That included anything that the Corps of Engineers was doing in terms of construction of projects to impacts of those projects.

I also worked on the Louisiana
offshore oil mono buoy system for the Gulf of Mexico that included areas from the -- from western Florida to western Texas. That involved the offloading of oil tankers, the movement of the oil to facilities onshore, pipeline routings, the impacts of potential spills and other things like that in association with that -- with that project. That was the first project. I did the 20 Central Gulf Environmental Program for that where 21 I looked at avenues of moving the oil from the 22
23
24
25 mono buoy systems environmental impacts association -- associated, as I said, and -- and other potential problems associated with -with -- with the mono buoy systems.
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I also worked on numerous projects on various civil works projects with the Army Corps of Engineers in the Louisiana marsh systems, also in areas in central Louisiana where the New Orleans District extended to. This involved the -- the various impacts that would be associated with it such as development of harbors, dredge materials, stuff like that.

From there, I went on -- on to the waterways experiment station where I worked there for -- for four years associated with the dredge material research project. That work involved mostly dredge material, dredge projects where we -- I did ports all around the country from New England all the way out to San Francisco Bay and over to Washington State. Did a lot of work in the Gulf area on dredge materials disposal, how you got rid of it and what you -- productive uses could be, what the impacts were, those kinds of things.

And then in -- in 1975, I was
detailed to the chief -- chief of engineers in -in Washington, D.C. where the -- the Federal Water Pollution Control Act environmental considerations related to permitting and other
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activities. And then I worked going around the country as the -- as the technical representative for the -- for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Environmental Protection Agency where I was involved in presenting the program to the general public all over the United States.

I also did a lot of work on agronomic aspects on development of activities, other -- other things such as development of wetlands. I also did a lot of work on the wetlands program, ran the -- the technical aspects of it. And then in 1976, let's see, '6, '76, '77-- '77, I left the Army Corps of Engineers and went into consulting. And I also opened a spray service where I did some work with pesticide applications; indoor, outdoor, crops -not crops, but various things associated with like pecan orchards and things like that.

And then I went -- well, then my -one of the biggest projects I got involved with was the central Florida phosphate region where we looked at environmental aspects of the phosphate mining operations and their impacts, and I also -- the primary concern was of the ordinary high waterlines of the -- of the rivers and so forth
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worked on over the years?
A. I'd say at least -- including

Mississippi, Oklahoma, Kansas, Louisiana, between 3 and 500, I imagine.
Q. I'm sorry. You said between 3 and $500 ?$
A. Yes.
Q. How many of those have been in Louisiana?
A. I don't -- I don't know. That's probably -- let's just -- somewhere in the neighborhood of, say, 75 to a 150 , somewhere in that neighborhood.
Q. Have you ever provided --
A. I don't keep -- I don't keep count of all --
Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
A. I -- I don't keep an account of all the cases I've worked on. I've got -- I've got many of them like I could pull up, but in -in -- for example, in Oklahoma, that's during -there was sometimes I was working on a hundred at a time.
Q. Right. And I -- I'm not trying to hold you to a particular number. I'm just trying
that came through those areas, and I worked on several of those in Florida, also the Ohio River, the Mississippi River, Ouachita River, Black River, Red River, Yazoo River, Canadian River in Oklahoma, many of those areas.

And then many other jobs that were associated with the oil industry that I got involved with back in the early ' 80 s , and I did the so-called legacy cases beginning at that time in Oklahoma and Kansas. I worked on that in that area probably about 20 something years before these things ever became, shall I say, vogue in -- in Louisiana. They weren't known as legacy cases. They were just basically known as lawsuits, things that I've -- I've worked on in Louisiana where I worked on -- I've worked on numerous oil -- oilfields all over Louisiana -all over -- all over Oklahoma, many in Texas, many in Louisiana.

Also, I've done a lot of pipeline work for transmission spills and other things like that in -- in those states, including Mississippi. That's just a brief rundown of some of the things I've done.
Q. How many legacy cases have you
to get an -- get an idea, just a ballpark number. Have you ever worked on -- have you ever provided expert witness testimony in any of those legacy cases in Louisiana for a private landowner?
A. Yes. I did some work -- one of my father's old friends was Chester Floyd. I worked on some -- some stuff for him related to a farm he had in Concordia Parish. Basically, it was work for my father. As I said, it was an old friend of my father and just did some work on his -- his -- his place where they had dumped -well, actually, they had -- had run equipment across his -- his soybean fields; and actually, some sloppy practices that -- that they had of -related to some of his wooded areas that he had on his property. That's the one that comes to mind. There may be some others I've given some, you know, information on, but that's -- that's one that comes to mind in detail where I worked on that.
Q. Who have been some of your other clients in those -- when you've been retained by the -- in those legacy cases in Louisiana, who have been some of your other clients?
A. Name an oil company that operates in
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Louisiana and I've probably worked for them.
Q. Okay.
A. Numerous, numerous ones.
Q. How many cases are you currently working on?
A. I -- I don't know. I'd have to go to my books and look. I'm -- I would think probably 75 maybe, something like that, including the coastal cases. They make up about 26 or 28 of them, something like that.
Q. What is the percent of your litigation work? I'm sorry.

Let me try that again. How -- how much of your work is composed of providing expert witness testimony in litigation?
A. Well, I don't just provide testimony in litigation. I -- I do a lot of work in doing reviews of oilfields, root studies, etc. in addition to that I -- that I use to present reports to my clients. Most of -- most of my work these days is in the so-called legacy field. Periodically, I'll do something for, you know, some small project for someone, but I work mostly for the oil companies.
Q. So if you had to break that down to
a percentage, what -- what would you say?
A. Oh, 90 percent.
Q. Okay.
A. Or more.
Q. In what areas of expertise have you been qualified as an expert?
A. What areas of expertise?
Q. Yes, sir.
A. In several -- several areas I've worked in -- most of my work involves the -- an integral relationship between plants and soils. This would be botanical and agronomic-type situations. I also do some agricultural work. I own several farms and intimately involved with my own farming operations, and many times when I'm looking at work in the oilfields, I'll look at the agricultural operations also.

Also, I've worked on ordinary high waterline determinations or navigation servitude all over the -- over the country, over many, many parts of the country; also, impacts to
vegetation, impacts to soils. Those are some of the -- the more -- more important things that I've worked on.

I've also done a lot of work on
wetlands, wetland delineations. As a matter of fact, I gave the first presentation to the United States on wetland delineations for the chief of engineers for the United States. So those are
just some of the things, and I also -- and much of that has been in litigation.
Q. Okay. Well, let me see if I can break that down a little bit. And so let me just -- just throw some -- some areas of expertise out and let me see if -- if you're qualified or you consider yourself to be an expert in these areas. Are you a soil scientist?
A. No. I'm not a soil scientist, but I consider myself to be an expert in agronomic practices, so in -- in that area.
Q. Are you a dendrologist?
A. Wait a minute. What did you say?
Q. Dendrologist?
A. Oh. No. But I'm -- I do a lot of work in dendrological aspects with trees and -and so forth. I also do my own forestry work on my own -- on the hardwood -- hardwood stands I've got.
Q. Yeah. Dr. Holloway, if you can repeat the last part of that answer, please?

## A. Can you-all hear me? THE VIDEOGRAPHER:

Do you think if we asked him to dial in and then use that for his audio and then just left the video on? We may get a better audio recording and we may get a video if both are not running through the iPad.

## MR. ARNOLD:

Bill, let's go off the record for a second, please.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
We are going off the record. The time is 10:29 a.m.
(A short recess was taken.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
We are back on the record. It is now 10:42 a.m.
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. Okay. Dr. Holloway, let -- let me back up a little bit. Something that -- that I missed earlier, who are you currently employed by?
A. Nothing. I'm a -- I'm a consultant. I consult for various people. I'm -- I have

AmersonWhite
COURT REPORTING \& LITIGATION SUPPORT

Holloway Environmental Services, and it's a one-man show, myself.
Q. Okay. And that's been around -you've started that back in the -- after you left the Corps, correct?
A. Well, yes.
Q. Back in the late '70s?
A. About -- I think '76 I think is when it was incorporated.
Q. Okay. And we were going through some of your areas of expertise. What -- what areas -- what -- what do you hold yourself out to be? What kind of expert do you hold yourself out to be? That's --
A. As I told you --
Q. That's a terrible question, so let me see if I can rephrase that. In what -- in what areas of expertise do you anticipate testifying in this matter?
A. Primarily, on this -- on this work I'm doing here will be in association with the basic impacts on -- of oilfields, primarily on vegetation and soils and the interactions of -of -- of the two. I also look at various things such as crops that are on the sites, agronomic
forestry practices and so forth associated to impacts on various things in the logging industry.
Q. Are you an agronomist?
A. No. But I -- I'm not -- I don't practice as an agronomist, but I'm -- I'm very -most of the -- much of the -- almost all of the work that $I$ do is in agronomic practices associated with, as I said, impacts to the soils and impacts to the vegetation, including -including all kinds of crops.
Q. Are you a hydrogeologist?
A. No.
Q. Are you a risk assessor?
A. No.
Q. Toxicologist?
A. No. I've done toxicology work, but I -- I don't do it any more.
Q. Are you an expert in 29-B?
A. I do a lot of work associated with 29-B activities primarily as it -- as it relates to the soil, salting of -- of soils, also look at the various things such as oilfield impacts to the soil.
Q. Have you ever been qualified as an
practices that occurred on these sites, and any impacts to those crops or for naturally-occurring habitats around those areas, including bottomland hardwoods, uplands, marsh areas, marsh and estuarine systems, those kinds of things. That's the primary -- those are the primary areas that I -- that I work on in relationship to these so-called legacy cases, and that's basically what I'll be talking about today.
Q. Are you a hydrogeologist?
A. Now, there have been other areas that I've been qualified in, but I -- but they don't -- they are not germane to this issue. This is -- these are the things I'll be talking about.
Q. Are you a hydrogeologist?
A. No.
Q. Are you a geologist?
A. No.
Q. Are you a forester, an expert in forestry?
A. No, other than just managing my own forest and doing a lot of work in forestry. I've had many forest -- large forest companies that have been my clients where I've looked at
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expert in 29-B?
A. Well, I've -- I've done a lot of work in -- in 29-B, but as far as being an expert related just to $29-\mathrm{B}$, no, I wouldn't say that; but I've given tremendous amount of testimony related to 29-B criteria and 29-B impacts in those -- in those fields. That's basically what I do. I do a lot of that.
Q. Are you an expert in RECAP?
A. No.
Q. Are you a remediation expert?
A. I've done a lot of remediation in -in Oklahoma and some in Louisiana, but I'm just not -- I don't do that as a -- I -- I -- I'm associated with a lot of the -- the factors in remediation that relate to the soils and the vegetation and any kind of impacts related to those things. Also, looking at sites and how to remediate them, I've -- I've done a lot of that kind of stuff.
Q. Have you ever -- have you ever been qualified as an expert at trial?
A. At trial?
Q. Yes, sir.
A. Oh, I've been -- I've been in many,
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many, many trials, just various -- various areas.
And take a look at my report and my résumé, and that can give you an idea of some of the stuff I've worked on.
Q. Well, yes, sir. I'm just really trying to get an understanding of what -- what you are qualified to testify about, what your areas of expertise are, and what you anticipate to be -- in what areas you anticipate to be qualified as in this matter.
A. I -- okay. I -- I intend to be qualified in the area of agronomic practices, plants, agricultural activities and various types of cropping regimes; also in the effective root zone of plants, including crops and other type areas such as bottomland hardwoods. I've done in excess of 30 studies on those, so I've probably done more than probably anybody that I know of in those areas; and I often am qualified for those areas when I testify in court or at a hearing.
Q. Have you ever been qualified as an expert in sugar cane?

MS. TABER:
Objection, form.
THE WITNESS:

I'm not a sugar cane expert per se, but I know a lot about sugar cane growth and roots and -- and harvesting practices and -- and things like that. But no, I'm not -- I'm not just a direct sugar cane expert, but I know a lot about sugar cane roots; and that's what I'll be talking about in this particular case, and the growth of the plants and the impacts of -of sugar cane related to salting factors such as areas -- such as sodium buildup in the soils, electrical conductivities, profiles of the plants, how they look when they are being impacted by these factors in the soil. Yes, I -- I -- I'm -- I've had a lot of experience in that area, and I will be -- and I have been -- have, you know, done a lot of studies on them.
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. Okay. What -- what I'd like to do, if we can is turn to what I've identified as Tab 18 in the materials that we sent around this morning. And, Dr. Holloway, this is -- this is really, you know, the first page of your reliance materials. Do you have access to that document?
A. I don't know. I -- I -- I don't
think I pulled it out this morning. Let's see.
Hold on just a second. I don't have my file out of that. Let -- well, here. Good. Let me look at this. This -- okay. Go ahead.

MR. ARNOLD:
Okay. Yeah. If we can pull it up
on the screen, Bill, if we can do it that
way.

## THE VIDEOGRAPHER:

(Complied.)
THE WITNESS:
I'm looking at it now. That's good.
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. Okay. Great. And so these are --

MR. ARNOLD:
And I'll attach this as Exhibit 18.
(Exhibit 18 to be marked.)
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. These are -- there's several things in here. There's a list of your reliance materials, your field notes, some references, some field photographs and some invoices. What I'd like to do is is just go to the invoices on the page Bates labeled 22. It's labeled --
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A. Okay.
Q. -- Enclosure 4, and I don't want to spend a lot of time -- time on these invoices. I just want to walk through them quickly. So it looks like we have an invoice from September of 2018, November 2019, July 2020, October 2020, and November of 2020. Does that -- does that seem right to you, Dr. Holloway?
A. Yes. I -- that's right. I submitted these with my reliance materials.
Q. And if we add all those invoice amounts up, it comes to about $\$ 53,000$. Does that seem to be about right?
A. I -- I don't know. I haven't even totaled it up, but that -- that -- that could be the ballpark figure. If that's what these total due numbers all amount to, that's -- I'll agree with you.
Q. Okay. And so the last invoice we have here is from November of 2020, and I'm assuming you've done some work after that date; is that correct?
A. That's true.
Q. Okay. And you'll do some additional work, if you haven't already, in preparation for
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next week's hearing; is that right?
A. Yes. I'll have some billings on that. I've already done some and I'll continue to do some more up until the -- the hearing and, of course, testifying at the hearing.

MR. ARNOLD:
Bill, if we can go to the page Bates
labeled 26. This is the October 2020
invoice. If you scroll down to the
bottom, it looks like a portion of that
invoice has been redacted.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
(Complied.)
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. Dr. Holloway, did you do that redaction or is that something your attorneys did?
A. I don't know what you're talking about, redacted.
Q. That big black box there, do you see that big black box at the bottom of the page?
A. Oh, that's probably -- that's
probably my tax number taken out.
MR. ARNOLD:
Okay. Elizabeth, is that some
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## BY MR. ARNOLD:

Q. So what I'm really interested in, Dr. Holloway, is if you could tell me -- you have the parish identified with each one of these entries. If you could tell me the habitat type that you did there, the habitat type that was present on the property subject to the -- each one of these cases, whether or not you did a root zone study, and, if so, what you found to be the rooting depths of the plants that you did a survey on; is that -- that fair?
A. No. Well, I mean, I can give you a general idea, but I can't -- I can't give you a list of the -- the species that I actually studied because, I mean, obviously, I can remember a few things, but I've slept since some of these -- some of these things were prepared. I can give you just kind of in generalities what the habitat types are and what you would expect to see there, but as far as the actual root depths and so forth, I'd have to go to the document itself.
Q. Okay. Well, let's -- let's do that.
A. I can give you some generalities. I can give you some generalities and we will go
redaction that you-all did in the invoices?

## MS. TABER:

I'm -- I'm going to look into that, John, and I will -- I will get back with you on that.
MR. ARNOLD:
Yeah. If you -- if you could just tell me the nature of that, that would be great.
MS. TABER:
Sure.

## MR. ARNOLD:

Okay. Let's go -- let's go to the next enclosure here in this exhibit. It's Enclosure 5. It's on page Bates labeled 28, and it's called Cases Worked on in the Last 5 Years, 5 Plus Years. And I'm going to -- I'd like to do this as efficiently as possible and -- and I don't want to -you know, I'd like to go through each one of these cases, but I -- you know, I don't want this to take all day.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
(Complied.)
with that right now.
Q. Let's just do the best we can. That would be fine.
A. Okay. That's -- that's what I'll do.
Q. So let's -- if you can just start -start at the top and run -- run through them and the -- the road is yours.
A. Okay. Agri-South Group, this is in Avoyelles Parish right around where Avoyelles Parish, Rapides, Catahoula Parish come together. This is -- this is an outfit that -- that had a piece of property that had been cleared in the past and had been put into the WRP program, Wetland Reserve Program, of the USDA. There was a small area out in that site where there had been some old production activities and that's -that's what the suit involved. But the entire area had been planted to hardwood vegetation, and I forget now how many thousand acres it was. But anyway, the work involved potential impacts on the vegetation that had been planted or that was growing at that site. Most of these, of course, were hardwood trees and you -- you just kind of run through, you remember something such as a
green ash, hackberry. Let's see --
Q. Dr. Holloway, let -- let me
interrupt you here for a minute, if I can. I
think maybe there's -- there's a better way to -to go through these. I've counted all these cases up and it comes out to be about 42 cases. Does that sound about right to you?
A. Oh, that's about right, but this is just part of them. That's not all of them.
Q. Right. So this is in -- in the last
five or so years, you have these 42 cases listed.
Have you -- in how many of these cases did you do a rooting depth study?
A. Okay. Agri-South; Mayeaux; the Bunch property, I did -- I did one there. I did -- did the soil profile and so forth on it.

Catahoula Lakes Investments (sic), that involved a -- an upland-type area, mixed hardwood and so forth right along the -- the hill profile that comes down on the west side of Catahoula Lake.
C.C.M.P., LLC v. Chevron Holdings (sic), I can't remember exactly what all I did on that. I think it was a -- it was a mixture of hardwoods and I don't remember if I actually
wrote -- wrote a report on it, but I know I looked at the vegetation.

Clyde Tucker did not involve a root investigation, but I looked at a lot of pits and worked on pit closure there.

Clyde Reese was down in Vermilion Parish. I did a -- I did a -- this is an area that had grown up, and since you wanting to know the -- the habitat type there, it was oilfield succession in that site, and I did several shrub types and some trees for that particular one, the old hardwood.

Tillman, I didn't do a study there, a root study. That's in Concordia Parish over there between Lake St. John and the main line of the Mississippi River levee along the Mississippi. Much of that work involved bottomland hardwood areas mixed with agriculture areas that had either been planted to like water wheat, things like that in that general area. But much of this area for Dr. Tillman had been put into -- it was either CRP or WRP, a program, and that was to grow hardwood vegetation and that's what -- that was part of it.

David Curry, that was over in

1 Cameron Parish. This was in an area where we had
a mixed area of some brackish-type marsh in part of the area, pasturelands in part of the area, areas that had been pastureland that had grown up into woody-type situations. I did root studies in it.

East Bayside, that was in Iberia Parish. There it was only all hardwood vegetation, the root studies for that.

The Lefebvre property over in west Baton Rouge was hardwood vegetation, did a -- did a wood study there.

Allain, this was over in the Atchafalaya Basin, did a root study on it. The Labarre property, which is the old salt dome cave-in there in Assumption Parish, I worked on it in several ways, I guess you could say areas, looking at the vegetation impacts on the vegetation at the site. I also -- I guess you could say I kind of put on my -- actually put on my fisheries hat and did some -- looked at the fisheries associated to -- in that cave-in area with a particular emphasis on -- on large mouth bass, which were just -- the place was just wrapped up with them.
I also did some areas out in Bayou
Corne where we looked at the areas out there
associated with the -- the fisheries -- the
fisheries habitat, so to speak, and also the
fisheries in that area with various ways of -- of
capturing those, looking at them, looking at the
health of them and so forth.
Harold J. Guidry. Okay. Yeah.
That was the old Anse La Butte Field right
outside of Breaux Bridge. I did a root study
there in that one, looking at -- primarily at --
that was -- in that particular case, it was
oilfield succession. The oilfield succession was
primarily Chinese tallow trees or chicken trees,
as they are called in -- in south Louisiana. I
looked at the depths of the roots and so forth of
that particular area.
The Heloise was an area down in
Lafourche Parish. I'm trying to think of the
actual town it's close to. It was on the east
side of Bayou Lafourche. This was pastureland,
hardwood timber, and it had some agriculture over
on the east side of that area; and I did a root
study related to the hardwood timber and the
pasture areas, vegetation in the pasture areas. associated with the -- the fisheries -- the fisheries habitat, so to speak, and also the fisheries in that area with various ways of -- of capturing those, looking at them, looking at the health of them and so forth.

Harold J. Guidry. Okay. Yeah. That was the old Anse La Butte Field right outside of Breaux Bridge. I did a root study there in that one, looking at -- primarily at -that was -- in that particular case, it was oilfield succession. The oilfield succession was primarily Chinese tallow trees or chicken trees, as they are called in -- in south Louisiana. I looked at the depths of the roots and so forth of that particular area.

The Heloise was an area down in Lafourche Parish. I'm trying to think of the actual town it's close to. It was on the east side of Bayou Lafourche. This was pastureland, hardwood timber, and it had some agriculture over study related to the hardwood timber and the pasture areas, vegetation in the pasture areas.
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|  | Page 37 |  | Page 38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. ARNOLD: | 1 | hardwood. That was over in Avoyelles |
| 2 | Next page, please, Bill. | 2 | Parish right outside of the town of |
| 3 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: | 3 | Bunkie. I did some -- some work on that, |
| 4 | (Complied.) | 4 | but I never did write a report on it. |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: | 5 | The Dupont case in Iberia Parish, |
| 6 | Okay. Hero Lands, that's in | 6 | which is next, I did a root study and |
| 7 | Plaquemines Parish. That was just a | 7 | bottomland hardwoods in that portion of |
| 8 | recent case that I worked on. I did a | 8 | that area that was -- involved properties |
| 9 | study there in an old oilfield area. Much | 9 | in -- in an old oilfield. |
| 10 | of the -- much of the area was oilfield | 10 | The Dupont, et al, v. Mobil, that |
| 1 | succession back from the time that when it | 11 | was in -- also in Iberville Parish on the |
| 12 | was used as cattle pasture with some | 12 | north end of Bayou Blue. That was in |
| 13 | limited agronomic practices in the | 13 | primarily a very wet-type area with old |
| 14 | northeast -- northwest corner of that | 14 | cypress trees and also some bottomland |
| 15 | site. I did a root study on -- on both | 15 | hardwood sites. I did a root study in |
| 16 | bottomland hardwood species and herbaceous | 16 | that one. |
| 17 | species on that -- in that case. | 17 | The Justin Dale Tureau, I looked |
| 18 | The Devillier case was in St. Landry | 18 | at -- at those areas there and that were |
| 19 | Parish. I did a study there of the | 19 | involved. There was some fish ponds |
| 20 | rooting depths of hardwood vegetation in | 20 | involved in that. I looked at those. I |
| 21 | that area and also studied the root depths | 21 | also looked at the vegetation that was |
| 22 | of sugar cane at that particular site, | 22 | growing there, but I did not do a root |
| 23 | because there was a large field of sugar | 23 | study there. |
| 24 | cane at -- at that site. | 24 | The Marchive case was in Avoyelles |
| 25 | The Guilbeau site was bottomland | 25 | Parish right outside of the -- the town of |
|  | Page 39 |  | Page 40 |
| 1 | Bunkie. That -- that case involved two | 1 | impacts of the -- of the spill on |
| 2 | types of habitats: One was -- one was a | 2 | agricultural activities in -- in that -- |
| 3 | crawfish pond. I looked at the crawfish | 3 | at that -- surrounding that site. Those |
| 4 | pond, I looked at the crawfish operation, | 4 | related to, of course, how much damage it |
| 5 | also the -- the techniques that they were | 5 | did to the crops and etc. |
| 6 | using at that particular site, potentially | 6 | BY MR. ARNOLD: |
| 7 | impacts to the crawfish pond. Also, | 7 | Q. Did you do a root zone study -- |
| 8 | bottomland hardwoods, I looked at the | 8 | A. Louisiana Wetlands -- |
| 9 | bottomland hardwoods and the impacts, | 9 | Q. -- in that case? |
| 10 | potential impact for that site. I also | 10 | A. What? |
| 11 | looked at agronomic practices on the site | 11 | Q. Did you do a root zone study in that |
| 12 | primarily with soybeans. I looked at the | 12 | case? |
| 13 | condition of the crops, also for any kind | 13 | A. No. No, I did not. I did not. |
| 14 | of indicia related to oilfield activities, | 14 | Q. And I'm sorry to interrupt, but I |
| 15 | including petroleum hydrocarbons and | 15 | think you missed one up -- right above that, the |
| 16 | primarily emphasized salting factors such | 16 | Louisiana Farm and Livestock Company? |
| 17 | as aspects of sodium and electrical | 17 | THE WITNESS: |
| 18 | conductivity aspect. | 18 | Pull it back down. Let me see. |
| 19 | Louisiana Te Products Pipeline, that | 19 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: |
| 20 | involved a spill. Let's see. That was | 20 | (Complied.) |
| 21 | a -- an old friend of mine used to be a | 21 | THE WITNESS: |
| 22 | judge there. Darn. Red River Parish. | 22 | Yeah. I missed -- |
| 23 | Yeah, Red River Parish. That involved a | 23 | BY MR. ARNOLD: |
| 24 | gasoline leak in a pipeline in an | 24 | Q. Did you cover that one? |
| 25 | agriculture area, and I looked at the | 25 | A. Yeah, I missed it. Yeah. Louisiana |
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Farm and Livestock, that was in Calcasieu Parish. It was over by the -- the ship channel and it involved two types of habitats in that area. And one I had worked on in I believe 1975 when I was working for the Army Corps of Engineers, I was look -- I looked at ways of de-watering a large dredge material area there, and what I was trying to do there was to de-water that site to use it either for -- for agronomic practices or -and -- and then one of the main things too was to get the water off because it was serving as excellent mosquito breeding habitat. I looked at that area, but most of my work involved two habitats: One was a marsh sort of brackish-type marsh system that I worked on, and also hardwood timber in the surrounding area. I did root studies in both those -- those community types for that particular area. And, of course, Louisiana Wetlands you know about.

The Shaffer case, that was in Terrebonne Parish. It was over by Little Caillou, I believe, and that involved an area that had basically grown up to wooded timber and mostly hardwoods. I did some work on that. I also looked at some of the herbaceous vegetation

St. Martin Parish; and in that particular case, that was a -- that was a sugar cane case and they had some sugar cane growing on -- on part of it; and also, part of it was growing in soybeans and I looked at the impacts on those areas and also did some root studies. I also looked at some areas that had been some -- where there had been some detection facilities and also looked at the -- the plant distribution in those areas that had occurred since the abandonment of the -of the operations.

The Monique Guiterrez, that was in Acadia Parish north of Scott, Louisiana. This involved mostly a cattle operation where they -- it was an old oilfield there. I did a root study in that area looking primarily at forage crops for -for cattle, looked at the impacts on the sites, looked at soil profiles, looked at soil types and the different depths of the roots for that site.

New 90, LLC, you are familiar with that. You have already deposed me on
that had succeeded back into those areas, did a root zone study on that.

Martha Zoe Moore was over in Richland Parish. This involved a saltwater spill; and in that particular case, I -- I did a root study in -- in pastureland and some areas that had been -- I believe they put it in CRP, and -- and then part of it was just woodlands in that area and also some, as I said, some wet areas. And I -- I studied various herbaceous and, well, woody plants in that area, the root zones of those areas.

The Primeaux case, one of the Primeaux cases, I had several of them, I think. All of these Primeaux cases were they involved hardwood timber and some marsh-type situations that had developed on some of them, and these were all root zone studies that I conducted.

## MR. ARNOLD:

Next page, please.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
(Complied.)
THE WITNESS:
Are those through? Okay. This
Matthew Willis, this was over in

BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. You did a root zone -- root zone study in that case, correct?
A. Yes. Yes, yes. You -- I -- I think you are the fellow who deposed me on that.
Q. Yes, sir, I was.
A. I remember you, yes, and -- and I can go through that. I can -- basically, what I did is I looked at the root zones in that area. This had -- this involved the property that's just across the Bayou Teche from the Louisiana Wetlands property and there was a difference there. That area -- much of that area that I looked at in that particular case involved Chevron's -- one of their AOIs that they had for it; and it involved the sugar cane depths, the root depths in that particular area. This was down in the -- basically part of the old bed of the Mississippi River distributary, which -which Bayou Teche was, which was later occupied by the Red River, and that's why you have many different soil types in these areas, by the way. And when the Red River came back in, it was what
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you call an underfit stream.
An underfit stream is like when you have a very large stream that is -- has been abandoned by movement of the -- of the stream itself through meander processes or whatever, and then the -- the -- the Red River came back in and occupied that site, that -- that area and it's a smaller stream, so it's called an underfit stream. And then you have your depositional gradients that occurred in that area.

Much of the area that I looked at, the sugar cane that I looked at there was in the -- off the old natural levee of the Mississippi down in the area that had been occupied by the Red River. And also, that -that's where the sugar cane was growing. I also looked at the sugar cane, profiled the sugar cane for impacts. I also worked closely with, let's just call them, the Pisani group in developing a remediation for that site, and that's ongoing at this time.

Russell Adam versus Cash Oil down in Vermilion Parish, that was a -- a rice field that I worked on and it had to do with some closure of the -- closure of the -- closure of the
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were -- these were all bottomland hardwoods. They had -- they actually had -- oh, yeah, it was flooded at that time and it was very difficult to accomplish, but we were able to get -- do a study at that particular site; and -- and mostly these were bottomland hardwoods species such as cypress, probably hackberry, green ash, such -such types species. It was -- it was a bottomland hardwood area.

The Tomlinson Realty Company, I did a review of that site and it was -- it was all bottomland hardwood with some edge areas that had to do with -- I think it was old -- old soybean fields and I think they -- they were in a state of succession or part of it, but not very much of it. Most of it was bottomland hardwood. I did not produce a report on that one.

Two O'Clock Bayou, that's an area in St. Landry Parish right along the Atchafalaya River. That involved mostly bottomland hardwoods. There were several ownerships in that particular area, and I looked at the impacts of the oilfield activities on the bottomland hardwoods, conducted a root study in that site, and part of that site had sugar cane growing on
operations in that site and the impacts on the rice. I looked at the rice flooding operations, I looked at the levelling, the elevations of the -- of the -- the berms and so forth, various things associated with the impacts for that site. I did not conduct -- I looked at the root zone. I'm not actually sure I produced a report on it.

Ritchie Grocer Company, again, this was -- this was outside the -- just right out -out from Bunkie, Louisiana in Avoyelles Parish. I conducted a root zone study there. The habitat at that particular area was woodlands, bottomland hardwoods. I produced a report on that.

Spanish Lake restoration, that's in Spanish Lake just south of Baton Rouge. And in that particular case, I was looking at some WRP areas that had been planted. I was also looking at natural areas there, including bottomland hardwoods and freshwater marshes that had developed in some of those areas. I did root studies on that, a root study on that.

The next one, State of Louisiana, Iberville Parish School Board. This was over in the Atchafalaya Basin. Oh, yes, just west of -of Plaquemines. I did -- this was a -- these
it, and I also looked at the -- the depths of the -- the rooting depths of the -- of the sugar cane at that site.

Let me get a swallow of water here. This is taking a little bit of time.
Q. Almost done.
A. Okay. Let's go to Velma Hebert over in Vermilion Parish. This was an abandoned farm along Bayou Queue de Tortue. That means tail of turtle. And they -- that area there was -- was an area that had not -- they had not been able to farm it because back when the profiles had developed through that area through various activities such as channelization and so forth, and it was mostly in a state of plant various -various stages of plant invasion in those sites. I think they had been using it mostly for cattle, to run cattle on for -- for a while. It had been -- rice had been grown on it. They had a flume ditch in areas where they had -- they had gotten water, but it had been sometime. And also, there was a bottomland hardwood area mixed in with the -- the cypress, and I did a -- a root zone study of both the abandoned pasture area plants and hardwood vegetation there at that
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site.
The Atkins case was up in -- in
Richland Parish just -- just -- just north of -oh, gosh, my sister owns land right by it, but I can't remember. I'll think of it. Anyway -anyway, this -- this involved -- it was an old oilfield. It was mostly just hardwood vegetation in that area with some areas that had -- where plants were moving in, woody plants were moving into herbaceous areas; and I did a root study on that particular area too.
Q. What about the Steve Crooks versus Louisiana Pacific? I think you skipped that one. Did you do a root zone study in that case? It's about five up from the bottom.
A. No. Which one now?
Q. The Steve H. Crooks versus Louisiana Pacific.
A. Oh, yeah. Steve Crooks, yeah. That -- that -- that was an area over where the -- no. I think I've already mentioned that one, but anyway, I'll go over it again. That was -- that was where the hills -- well, let's call it the hill country of Louisiana comes right down to the bed of Catahoula Lake and there's a
small piece of property there that I worked on that -- that he owned. And I looked at the vegetation and so forth, but I don't think I -- I completed a -- an investigation on it. The Catahoula Lake Investment property case was one that I had that I did in the same -- it was positioned in the same way, and that's -- I did a -- I did a root study on it, but not Steve Crooks; but I -- I did -- I did review the operations, and that involved both pine -- pine tree forests that had just -- basically, it had just grown up. It was not a -- not a managed forest, but -- and also some hardwood in that area for that site.
Q. So out of these 40 plus cases, it looks like you've done work in about 20 or so different parishes across the state; does that sound about right?
A. Probably so. I don't -- I don't know. Whatever it amounts to.
Q. Okay. So in the vast majority of these, let's say in about 30 -- approximately 35 cases of the cases you have listed here, you did a root zone study. Would that be about right?
A. Well, somewhere in that neighborhood. And I've also done a lot of work on my own property. I have several farms in this area and I do a lot of work on rooting -- the rooting depths and also looked at those areas for fragipan formations, stuff like that, so -- but I don't write reports on them. I just go out and take pictures and do data and look at soil profiles, but I would say probably maybe in the neighborhood of 35, 40.
Q. Okay. And of those 35 or 40 , it looks like you've had a handful where you did a root zone study of sugar cane, like maybe two or three different cases; is that about right?
A. No. More than that. Let's see. Devillier, Two O'Clock Bayou, the Creadeur. The Creadeur case was not in -- listed in there, C-R-E-A-D-E-U-R case in Acadia Parish. I did work on crops there, including sugar cane and -and soybeans. That was not -- that was not listed, or if it was listed, we skipped over it. Let's see. Where is some other areas? Of course -- of course, New 90 and, of course, Louisiana Wetlands. I'm missing one or two, but in general, those are some of the ones.
Q. Okay.
A. And I've looked at a lot of -- I've looked at a lot of other areas. One of my -- my best friends owns a lot of land in Avoyelles Parish and around that area, and I've -- I've looked at the -- the root zone issue in -- in many locations. These are primarily on Arkansas, not Arkansas, Red River soils from the -- the abandoned Red River areas, just to kind of give me an idea of -- of what the root -- root depths are. And I've also looked at many other sites where I'd just go out and take a quick look at the sugar cane roots in nearby areas. You know, that -- that's all part of it.
Q. So of the -- of the 35 to 40 cases that we have identified here in which you did a root zone study, have you -- in any of those studies, have you ever found rooting depths that extend below 24 inches?
A. No.
Q. And that would include also the sugar cane root studies that you did, none of those -- none of the roots that you evaluated in those instances extended below 24 inches --
A. Wait. Wait a minute.
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Q. -- correct?
A. Whoa, whoa, whoa, back up. Root studies on sugar cane, have you seen any below 24 inches, no, not -- not -- hold on -- any of those particular areas. You might see a crack where you'll get something going down, you know, 16, 18 inches, but that -- that makes a very small percentage of the -- of the root population below that area. In general, you're going to see for sugar cane roots in -- in Louisiana, all of those areas most of the roots are between 8 and 12 inches with occasionally you'll see some stringers down to 15 inches, and if you don't have a fragipan at those sites, you might go to 15 inches with an effective root zone; but most of the roots occur within the 8 - to 12 -inch depth in Louisiana.

All -- all of my investigations
usually involve looking at depths down to 24 inches and then extending on down to 5 feet or $6-55,60$ inches and no roots extend in those depths, to those depths.
Q. Is that specific to --
A. Not any -- not any, zero.
Q. And that's -- is that specific to
remediation was still going.
Q. Yes, sir. And so my question to you is, have you done any work on that case after it was settled?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me what you've done since that case was settled?
A. I -- I've looked at the -- at the areas two or three times. We -- we have looked at some of the -- some of the things associated with a remediation plan that I've worked with Dave Angle and some of the other folks on. We put that together. We have come up with a plan, taking some -- some more additional soil readings in that -- in that area, and hopefully we are going to get this accomplished pretty soon.
Q. Do you know if that remediation plan that you are working with Dave Angle on, if that's been submitted to DNR for approval?
A. Yes.
Q. It has been submitted?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know if it's been approved?
A. Yeah. I think it has been approved, yes. You'll need to talk to Dave Angle about
sugar cane or is that -- is that -- can the same be said for the other root zone studies that you've done in -- in other habitat types?

MS. TABER:
Object to the form.

## THE WITNESS:

Well, I was -- I was just sticking to sugar cane because that's what -that's basically what we looked at here.
But no, I have -- I've seen some areas with -- in pine plantations where the tap roots do extend down to about 30 inches or so for an effective root zone. But most of the hardwoods are going to be in the range of, you know, anywhere from 8 to 15 , 18 inches with -- with -- with the vast majority being within -- most of the root zones around bottomland hardwoods occur within the top 10 inches of soil.

## BY MR. ARNOLD:

Q. Okay. We briefly mentioned the New 90 case earlier, and you -- and you said that that case was still ongoing. Have you -- are you aware that that case settled?
A. Oh, yes. I said -- I said that the
that. He's handling more of those -- those things. I'm more along the line of just taking my stuff.
Q. Do you know if there's been any remediation work done on the property itself?
A. I don't -- I don't -- I don't know of any other than what we -- we have got planned. That area of the Grigsby, part -- part of that, that's up on the natural levee area. I don't think there's been anything done on it. I have seen cane growing on it, but I don't -- I don't know. I haven't seen any activity out there.
Q. And when you say you haven't seen any activity, that -- that's for the entire site?
A. You are talking about remediation activities?
Q. Yes, sir.
A. No. I have not seen any -- I have not seen any remediation activities on the site, except we are -- we are, you know, planning and -- and we are doing things like, you know, taking samples, looking at things, and kind of just coming up with a design and -- and that kind of thing, but now that's for Chevron's portion. I did not get involved with the -- the Grigsby
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part of it. And that would have been back to the east up on the natural levee of the old Mississippi.

## MR. ARNOLD:

Okay. Do you want to take a break
or you want to keep going? I'm fine
either way.
THE WITNESS:
It's sleeting like all get out, so I
think, unless you-all need to, let's go.
MR. ARNOLD:
Okay.
THE WITNESS:

## Keep going.

BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. Okay. Did you conduct a site visit?

Or let me ask you a different question.
What -- what were you asked to do in this case?
A. I was asked to look at the area and see if there were any impact -- impacted areas, look and see how the cane was growing, look at the -- the bottomland hardwoods and looking at areas of old oilfield activities in those sites, again, looking at the condition of the
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take a second. While that's -- while that's happening, let me -- let me plug in some more power to my --

## MR. ARNOLD:

I think we lost his video.

## THE WITNESS:

Okay. Can everyone still hear me?

## MR. ARNOLD:

Yes, sir. I think we may have lost your video, though.

## THE WITNESS:

Well, hold on just a minute. It says join through the browser, so maybe I can get it on through the browser. Hang on. Nope. I lost -- I lost that -- that sleet has -- I've lost -- I've lost the connection. Mr. Arnold, I haven't told you, I told everybody else, that periodically, I'll have to go out and take some antifreeze and -- and wash that ice
off, so we may have to take a little break. MR. ARNOLD:

Well, why don't we do that? Why don't we take a break, see -- see what you
Page 59
vegetation. Also, asked -- I was asked to do a root -- root investigation that involved both the sugar cane property, sugar cane fields, and also the bottomland hardwoods to the southeast -southwest, I'm sorry, on the property.

MR. ARNOLD:
What I'd like to do, Bill, if we can
pull up Tab 15, which I'll mark as
Exhibit 15.
(Exhibit 15 to be marked.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
(Complied.)

## BY MR. ARNOLD:

Q. This is Appendix A to your report, which is a series of figures; is that right?

MR. ARNOLD:
If we can go to the next page --
next page, Bill, and actually I really
want to go to Figure A, dash, 7.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
(Complied.)
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. Okay. Can you see that,

## Dr. Holloway?

A. It says it's connecting, so it will
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can do to -- to get that back on and see if we can get you reconnected?
THE WITNESS:
All right. Sounds good.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
We are going off the record.
It's -- it's 10 -- it's 11:42.
(A short recess was taken.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
We are back on the record. It's
11:54 a.m.
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. Okay. Dr. Holloway, I think before we left you were describing what you were asked to do in this case, and -- and what I want to do next, if I can direct your attention to this Exhibit 15, which are the appendices or Appendix A to your report, which are a series of figures, and direct your attention to Figure A-7 entitled Root Study Observation Locations. Can you see that figure before you?
A. Yes. I see it.
Q. Okay. If you could, you -- you conducted a number of different site visits, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. If you could walk me through those individual site visits, when you took those site visits, and what you did on those individual site visits, and then maybe we can get into the particulars of where that happened with this figure?
A. Well, this -- this one here is related, I guess, primarily to the root study observation locations. I don't know -- I could -- in my report, I think I can tell you how many times I've been at the site. I can't tell you exactly what all I looked at each time, but I can give you something in -- in a generality, in a general way for that sake. Hang on here a minute.

Okay. On the August 30, 2018 site visit, we visited the sugar cane fields; and -and primarily we were interested in looking at the oilfield E\&P activities that went on in the sugar cane fields, as I recall, may have looked at these areas back -- back down to the southwest a little bit.

Let's see. October the 1st, 2019, I looked at -- I did another general review of the
site walking, you know, out into the field, looking at the -- at the impacted areas, potential impacted -- potential impact to sugar cane. I also visited several locations down at the southwest corner of the site at old oilfield locations or pit locations and things like that. And then I came back on January the -- I mean, I'm sorry -- June the 1st through June the 5th of last year, and that's when I conducted this investigation on the -- the -- for the root study. And these numbers on this figure represent -- S represents sugar cane, and these are different locations across the field: S1, S2, S3, S4, where I actually did the -- the soil -- looked at the soil profile from the -the, you know, soils perspective of different soil types on the property, different communities of the sugar cane on the property, and then did the profiles, and then did the root observations during that timeframe of - of June 1 through 5 .

I also went down to the southwest corner and looked at several trees, four different species down there, that represented the general tree types that occurred in that area, and also did the -- did root study on them.
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Q. Okay. The root zone studies that you did designated by these numbers here on Figure A-7, those were conducted at locations outside the areas of alleged impact caused by oil and gas activities; is that right?
A. That's correct. You don't -- you don't do an investigation in an area that's been impacted by activities. So, for example, if you had salting of the roots and so forth, you want to -- to find a good -- find good healthy stands that would be representative of those sites, and then you tailor your remediation to trying to grow those particular types of plants, whether they be crops or hardwoods or whatever.

You don't go into an area where that's been heavily impacted and the roots are rotted out from some kind of an impact. That's counterproductive for what you are doing and it's certainly unscientific. So what I try to do is find good, healthy stands to -- to review so I'll know what a typical proper remediation would -would support in the future.
Q. Okay. If we could, let's talk about your sugar cane root zone studies and we will get to the -- to the tree root study locations.
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MR. ARNOLD:
Bill, can you leave that up, if you can. We will get to the tree root studies later, but right now I'd really just like to focus on the sugar cane -- sugar can surveys.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
(Complied.)

## BY MR. ARNOLD:

Q. Can you explain for me what your methodology was for evaluating the -- the rooting depths at your sugar cane sites?
A. Yes. At each of those locations, I go in and I -- I do a profile. I look at the vegetation of the sugar cane that was growing there. I looked at -- look for any impacts that might be related to oilfield E\&P activities, including salting factors, petroleum hydrocarbon impacts, and in some cases you may have some other material that might -- might be impacting the plants.

So you profile that plant and you look and see if it's a healthy plant. Is it -does it have any kind of die back symptoms, does it have any kind of problems with the stems not

AmersonWhite
COURT REPORTING \& LITIGATION SUPPORT
developing properly, the leaves not developing property -- properly, things like that. Then you -- if you are satisfied with that -- if I'm satisfied that that's a good, healthy stand, then I will dig down taking a sharp shooter and dig down the profile just adjacent to the -- the -the sugar cane itself in the row so that I can get a proper view of the roots and how they -how they come out from the plant looking both at larger roots, striker roots that may be coming down, small rootlets that would be considered to be more associated with the uptake of water and nutrients, and then look at -- and then look at that profile and then -- and then observe the roots and see if they are healthy. And from there, I take a tape and then do a profile and then describe those roots as I go down, whether they be very abundant, abundant, common. And that common means that they will basically be distributed across the whole soil profile that I dig down to.

And I also then look at areas where we have a sparse distribution, which would probably be less than 3 percent, $3-3$ percent or less; and then the very sparse, which would be
just very, very minimal number of -- of roots where they are -- where there may be a plunk of roots here or a tiny rootlet here and there; and then down to none. Those are the characteristics, the classifications that's typical -- typically done in -- in an effective root zone study of this type.

Then I make a -- make these observations, I photograph these areas, I do what we call -- I check the soil and make sure that they are -- that you're able to see the distribution of the roots; then I record the data on a data sheet related to the location of that -- that stand of cane and then the -- of course, the coordinates and -- and then sometimes I'll make other notes, like maybe a herbicide might have been sprayed nearby or something like that. But usually, I stick to pretty much the -- the plants themselves and the root -- and the root profile and then my descriptions.

From there, I record the data. And in this particular case, I went down 0 to 24 inches with a very detailed profile, and from there to 24 inches down to -- in most cases maybe down to 60 inches, sometimes 55 or so, and then
took a -- a core, a soil core. I bored down and then I laid the core out and laid the soil out on plastic or sometimes I'll do it on a -- a sheet of plywood or something. And then I take photographs of the entire profile, and I also take photographs of the core depths from 24 inches down to 60 inches to make sure that I'm covering any potential root system that you might -- you might incur at deeper depths.

As I recall, Mr. Miller -- and I don't know if it was Prejean in this case. Miller and Prejean, I think they mentioned that something about that sugar cane roots could go very deep at that time. Hold on just a minute. Let me -- if I can refer to it. I don't want to get it wrong here.

I have in front of me the expert report and the restoration plan for the landowner, Louisiana Wetlands LLC, Franklin Field. This was authored by Gregory Miller and I think it was Wayne Prejean. Actually, I got the -- my page out of -- anyway, on page 9 of this report, he refers to a study that was conducted by Jean-Louis Chopard, International Symposium Research and Application in Vienna,

Austria where he looked at the sugar cane root depth. Now, this is referenced in his report. Spatial to the distribution and death of sugar cane root system in a deep soil -- in a deep soil, and this was on Reunion Island in the middle of the Indian Ocean, as I recall. And he says that although the root zone of sugar cane is reported to extend as deep as 6 meters or 18 feet, the root zone of -- zone of cane was conservatively assumed to range from 4 to 10 feet BLS, below land surface.

So using his -- his information, I wanted to go out and see how deep the roots were at the site. So basically what I did was try to profile that depth, that root -- of the roots under there, under those -- under the vegetation, under the -- under the sugar cane and see if it conformed to his 4 - to 10 -foot depth. And that's -- that's when I -- I -- I tailored my -my research the way I did in looking at the -- at the soil profile and roots at depths. So from then, I -- I -- as I said, I -- I formulated my database for these four different locations that are on different soil types and also different locations on the property itself.

COURTREPORTING \& LITIGATION SUPPORT
Q. And so you -- you dug a pit down to approximately 24 inches at each one of these sites, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And then you -- you took an auger and you extracted a soil core from 24 to 60 inches, approximately 60 inches -- it may vary from site to site -- below the bottom of the -of that pit; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Well, why didn't you extend the profile or the pit down further than 24 inches?
A. I -- I've done this on many occasions. The -- as I -- as I told you, I found nothing to extend down to 24 inches; but to give Mr. Miller the benefit of the doubt, I -- I went down to 5 feet just so I could make sure that -that I -- that I had all of the roots population that was under those -- under the -- that cane at those sites. I felt that this was a reasonable depth; and when you run out of roots, obviously, hey, you don't just keep digging a channel because they are not coming back up from the other side of the earth. So I -- I think it was
report, you'll see where I actually took the auger and augered down in the bottom of the pit and went on down to -- to approximately 60 inches at that same location. When you run out of roots, there's no reason to be digging down. If you run out of roots, let's say, at -let's say, in this particular case, about 10 inches or so or 12 entirely and then you don't see any more roots, obviously they are not going to be growing up from below. And so you then can go ahead and dig on down with an auger and get the additional areas, then break up the soil and look for the root. That's basically what I did.

So I'm just giving the man the benefit of the doubt and I'm going the extra mile, which I could have gone 24 inches and been perfectly satisfied with it, but I wanted to make sure that there was nothing down there, and there was nothing from about 10 or 12 inches on down to 60 inches.
BY MR. ARNOLD:
a very appropriate depth that I -- that I made, and when I -- when you run out of root, you run out of root, what you see is what you get; and so that's basically why I -- I conducted this research.
Q. Yes, sir. I -- I understand. I'm not necessarily talking about the depth that you went to. I -- I guess I'm -- I'm -- my question is more related to that you really kind of used two different methodologies for the same site: One, you dug a pit; one, you did a -- did a core sample. And I guess my question is really is why did you use those two different methodologies? Why didn't you stick with one or the other?
A. You know --

MS. TABER:
Object to the form.
THE WITNESS:
No, no, no. You didn't -- you didn't understand what I -- I said. I said that I dug the pit down and then did a root profile along that -- the pit -the root profile beneath the -- the -- the sugar cane at that particular location. At that same location, if you look at my
Q. So the auger sample, would it be fair to say that it was really just kind of a way to confirm there were no other roots below the -the pit profile that you made?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Okay. So you dug these -these pits, and help me understand what the location of these pits are that you dug. So we have -- we are in a sugar cane field, right, and we have individual rows and then we have -- what do you call the area between the rows; is that a trough, or what do you call that area between the rows?
A. They call it the middles.
Q. The middles. Okay. How wide are the rows?
A. The rows, actually what -- when the hip goes up, the -- the whole -- the whole row itself starting from middle to middle, I'm not sure exactly what -- what the distance was on these particular ones. In some cases, farmers will -- will use different widths. You are looking at, you know, 3 feet, something like that across, and then it slopes up to the top, and usually it's about a foot that they -- they hip

AmersonWhite
COURT REPORTING \& LITIGATION SUPPORT
up. They take a big set of hippers and hip it -hip it up, and then they will run two rows of cane and plant down on either side near the top, and then your shoulders will be the areas where they knife in their fertilizers, etc., for the site. It's a typical sugar cane operation.
Q. Okay. Well, let me just make sure I understand. So from -- from one edge of the row to the other edge of the row is approximately 3 feet; is that right?
A. From about middle to middle. It's somewhere -- somewhere in that neighborhood.
Q. Well, so the terminology you are using is confusing me and that's just because I -- I just -- I'm unfamiliar. But when you say "from middle to middle," what -- what do you mean?
A. Well, the middle of the -- the middle of the rows. What you do is you -- you have -- you have your sugar cane -- sugar cane, what you do is you take a set of hippers and you hip it up and you hip the -- the row up itself, make a very large -- a high row, probably, let's just say, about 12 inches high usually. And then you -- then you come on those shoulders right at
the edge of those shoulders and then you put down a row of cane on either side; and then if you -other agronomic practices is the knifing in materials. That will be on the outside of those materials or the middles, and then your roots will grow out and grow underneath the -- the canes and -- and then grow out into the middle. And that's -- that's typically the way that sugar cane grows.

So in this -- in this study, what I'm trying to do is I'm trying to make sure that I get up right against the root itself, show the roots that are coming up above -- see, these are -- these -- the plant cane is buried and then it will put out roots upside to the side and down, and so I want to make sure that I get that -- that total root profile as I'm going down. And that -- that's just basically a base of the soil where I'm looking at the soil and I'm looking at the -- at the roots at the same time.
Q. Okay. Did you dig your pits in the individual rows or in the middles?
A. No. I -- I told you I -- I -- I
digged the -- the pits. The pit profile that I looked at is right by the cane that has been
planted, the plant cane, and it -- it actually gets the area from the surface of the ground past the cane down in -- into the ground right up by where they planted the cane. That's where you want to get your location so you can make sure that you are getting all of the roots going either up to the side or down in that profile.
Q. Let me ask you this way: How far is the edge of the pit from the center of the -- the sugar cane plants that you are evaluating?

## MS. TABER:

Objection, form.

## THE WITNESS:

I -- I -- I -- it -- it's as close
as I can get it to where I'm comfortable with the -- the -- that I'm getting all -a reading of all of the roots that are coming off that plant cane that's been
laid down on the -- on the -- near the
tops of the rows.
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. Okay. Well, if you can, I'd like to get just an approximation. I mean, is it different at each site or is it the same at each site? I just want to get an understanding of --
of how far from those individual plants that you are evaluating is is the edge of the pit that you dug.
A. Just -- just right -- right -- just right at the -- at the plant just getting -- you have to get a little bit away from the thing itself so you can make sure you can see those roots that are coming off the cane itself, the plant cane. You know, you may -- you may be an inch or two away from it. That's all. And then you dig down and -- and you got to make sure that you have enough space that you can get down in there to do your observations. It's very close. I mean you're very close to the cane. That's the only way you can see the cane. I -- I -- I don't know how to explain it any -- any better.

MR. ARNOLD:
Bill, let's pull up Exhibit 16.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
(Complied.)
MR. ARNOLD:
Dr. Holloway, this is Appendix B to
your report containing photographs.
THE WITNESS:
Okay.
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> MR. ARNOLD:

And, Bill, let's go to -- maybe the best one to look at is on page B , dash,
15. And I'll -- I'll mark this as

Exhibit 16, this Appendix B.
(Exhibit 16 to be marked.)
THE WITNESS:
You're -- you're -- B-15. B-15 in
the report shows -- because you are on
$\mathrm{B}-8$. Go to B-15. This will be photo
B-29, stand of sugar cane at S4, and photo
B-30 will be an observation at the bottom
of the pit. I think that's what you are
wanting to look at.
MR. ARNOLD:
Yes, sir, it is.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
Am I there?
MR. ARNOLD:
No. Go up one page.
THE WITNESS:
No. You got to go one more up. Go up one more.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
(Complied.)
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of that photo B-30, you'll see how -- how the pit is positioned.
Q. Yeah.

MR. ARNOLD:
Let's look at that one, Bill, if you
could scroll down?
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
(Complied.)
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. Can you tell me about that one,

Dr. Holloway, what we are looking at there?
A. All right. This is the pit. In that particular case, the top part of the -- the photograph is right up by the -- the plant cane where the plant cane has -- has been laid down and then this, the bottom part of the pit I've shown on the -- on this thing, it extends out into the middle. So you -- what I'm looking at and making my observations on would be the top part of this photograph and that's what you'll see in the next photographs that show the -- the distribution of the roots along that -- that profile. But this is just to show how I did that; and then in the center of it, you'll see where I -- I dug down and took the -- the auger

## THE WITNESS:

There you go.
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. Okay. So let's look at that top photograph, if we can. It's photo B-29, stand of sugar cane at S4. Okay.
A. Okay.
Q. Tell -- tell me what -- what -well, so that photograph, it's shooting down the middle, right, between the rows?
A. That's right. That's the middle that you are seeing between the two stands of cane on either side. And then that's a typical way that the -- the cane is planted.
Q. Okay. And so when you -- when you dig your pit, is it confined to the middle or does it creep up next to the plant onto the row?
A. I told you that I get right up by where the cane has been planted up on the row --
Q. Okay.
A. -- and slice right down to where I can get the view of the roots from the top to the bottom and then those -- those that are extending out to the side. And we will see that in -- in the pit. I'll show you if you go to the bottom
and went on down to greater depths to make sure that there were no roots any further down.
Q. And so when you are -- when you are digging these pits and you are -- you are evaluating the profile at each one of these sites, is the profile on the edge of the pit facing the plant?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. Yes. You -- you want to -- you want to position your pit to where it's actually looking at the row beside it and the -- and the -- then -- and then the root -- the root growth from top to bottom. In other words, on the left-hand side and in the right-hand side, this is more out toward the middle part. And then on the top part, we are going -- we are facing the cane itself and then that's the profile that we are looking at for the roots.
Q. Would you agree that the most effective way to determine what the rooting depth of these sugar cane plants is would be to dig up the entire plant and its entire root system?
A. Not at all.

MS. TABER:
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Object to the form. THE WITNESS:

Not at all. No. All you would be doing is just get a mass of roots and stuff. I've tried some of that. That doesn't work. You got to get out there and -- and do your proper profile and this is -- this is tedious work. You -- you have to produce a -- a soil profile that matches where the roots are and you have to be very careful that you -- you're able to get the roots.

If you take a -- say, for example, a small trackhoe or something and dig down and dig over into the areas, you -- you're just -- you just get a mass of material. You can -- I have dug down in some areas, like in pastures where you would want to get a profile and then come in and then take the -- the profile itself to make sure that you can see the -- the roots, but in sugar cane I always dig down. And not only that, if I were to bring a big trackhoe or something out there, it would be -- I'd tear up the cane and have to pay
for it. But -- but no, I do all this in cane -- in the sugar cane with primarily, you know, shovels and -- and things like that.
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. But that's something you could have done in this case, right, if you wanted to go out and dig up the entire plant to evaluate the root system --
A. Yeah. That --
Q. -- that's something you would have done here?
A. Well -- well, it won't work because -- no, it won't work. You dig it up and you got just a mass of roots and stuff. You don't know where they came from. You want to do this in situ and this is in situ. This is basically how you go about this. And it's -it's in the literature everywhere. I mean, it's -- people do this all the time. It's just -it's -- it's the easiest way of doing it. Well, I mean, it's maybe not the easiest way of doing it, but it's -- it's certainly the most effective and scientific way to do it to find out the actual root profile itself.

```
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```

Q. Right. So really my question wasn't whether or not it would work. My question is that that is something you could have done if you wanted to, correct, at this site?

MS. TABER:
Objection, form.

## THE WITNESS:

Yeah. You would want to --
MR. TROUTMAN:
Object to form.

## THE WITNESS:

To be honest with you, it would be stupid to do it that way and unscientific.
So no, this is the way you go about this.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
Excuse me, Mr. Holloway. Could you
tilt your camera down a little bit? All
I'm getting is your eyes, so if we could
get a little bit lower.
MR. LANDRY:
David Landry. Can we have an agreement that any objection by one defendant applies to all defendants?

## MR. ARNOLD:

That would be great. Thank you.

```
MR. LANDRY: Okay.
```


## THE VIDEOGRAPHER:

```
Much better. Thank you.
THE WITNESS:
Thank you.
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. Okay. Dr. Holloway, I don't mean to persist, but I -- I just want to get a clear understanding on the record here. You -- that digging up the entire plant to -- to evaluate the root system is a method that -- that you have done in the past, right, and that's something that you could have done here, but you decided not to do; is that right?
MS. TABER:
Objection, form.
```


## THE WITNESS:

```
Well, I've done roots -- I've done root studies where I looked at root biomass, weighing them and so forth. That -- that can be done by digging up the entire plant or as much of it as you can get and separating it. That's not -that's not the way to do this kind of a
```
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study. This is -- this is a technique where we are wanting to know how deep the roots go, which direction they go, and how deep they go through the profile, and what the distribution of them is and across that profile. That's the objective of this study and that gives you the best way of viewing of the roots under sugar cane. And it's a -- it's nondestructive-type thing too. If you -- you're actually looking at the plant that's growing there, you are leaving it intact, and you are -you are following specific roots that are coming from those -- those plants that are growing above that site.
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. Is there anything that prevented you
from going out here and -- and excavating the
plant to evaluate its root system?
MS. TABER:
Objection, form. THE WITNESS:

Well, it -- it -- it really doesn't -- it's a -- it's a poor -- it would be an extremely poor application to
try to find out the root depth using that manner, because once you dig it up and you've got a mass of roots and you got -you got the -- the tops and all this kind of stuff, no. That -- you -- you wouldn't know what you are doing. This is -- that would be totally unscientific and -- and I would be -- I would come under extreme criticism for -- for trying to do that kind of study for this type of a crop.
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. Dr. Holloway, I --
A. It can't be done. Whoa, whoa, just hold -- let me -- let me finish. It can be done if you wanted to know the entire root distribution of the -- I mean, the amount of roots. And if I were doing a biomass study, yes, I'd dig it up and wash all the material off the roots the best I could and see a biomass study. I've done several of those. But no, that doesn't apply to this particular thing for what we are wanting to achieve, and that is to look at the root distribution under these -- under these sugar cane plants.
Q. Do you know what the root morphology
is of a sugar cane plant?
A. Sure. I know the root -- I know how
the roots are -- are formed off the canes. I
know -- I know the -- the striker roots. You
have feeder roots and then you have striker roots
that go into other areas going -- going on down.
I look at those and look at the entire profile,
and I'll -- I'll show you some if we get to some, show you how the roots are distributed on the sugar cane.

MR. ARNOLD:
Bill, if we can, let's pull up
Tab 20. I'll mark this as Exhibit 20.
(Exhibit 20 to be marked.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
(Complied.)
MS. TABER:
And, hey, John, what -- is this a
study that you've got here it looks like?
MR. ARNOLD:
Yes.
MS. TABER:
And -- and the only thing I'll say
about this is if -- Luther, I'd like for
him to have the opportunity to review more
send you a version of this study for you to open so that you can -- you can look at it freely.
THE WITNESS:
Will I have to go out -- go out and download it?
MR. ARNOLD:
No.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
I don't think so.
MS. TABER:
No, no.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
That was 20, right?
MR. ARNOLD:
Yes.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
Okay. All right. It should be there.
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. So, Dr. Holloway, if you could -- if
you can access that and let me know if you have
it open?
A. Okay. I got it.
Q. Let me know when you are ready to
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journal called Field Crops Research in 2005.
A. I'm -- I'm familiar with it.
Q. Okay. Okay. Great. So I was
asking you about some of the root morphology.
MR. ARNOLD:
And, Bill, if we can scroll down to I believe it's the third page of this
document. It's page 171.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
(Complied.)
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. Okay. And what I really want to do is focus your attention on this Figure 2. It's called "A root system on an established sugar cane school showing three functional types of roots: The superficial, buttress and rope roots." Do you see that?
A. Uh-huh (affirmatively), yes.
Q. Okay.
A. Uh-huh (affirmatively). You'll -you'll see -- you'll see those on -- on some of these profiles that I've got there.
Q. Okay. So that -- that pretty much corresponds with what your understanding of what the -- what the root morphology is of a sugar
discuss it.
A. I got it. I bet these -- let me --
let's go back here. All I have to do is have the abstract here, and you tell me what you want to know and I can -- I can -- well, just what is the gist of -- of your -- your -- you obviously have some questions about this. I know what -- I know what these studies are doing, these budgets and all this kind of stuff. What -- what is it you want to -- you want to get from me on this issue here?

## MR. ARNOLD:

Okay. Well, let's back out a little
bit, Bill, so we can see a little bit more
of this paper.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
(Complied.)
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. All right. This is a paper entitled Growth and Function of the Sugar Cane Root System authored by Smith, Inman-Bamber and Thorburn. Have you seen this study before?
A. I think I have, but it's -- it's been sometime.
Q. Okay. This was published in a
cane plant; is that right?
A. In -- in generalities, but there are many more nuisances that you look at from striking -- striker-type roots that develop, where they go, and that sort of thing. But no, this is just fairly simplistic-type layout here you got.
Q. Okay. But in general that -- that's what you would -- you would agree that that corresponds with or that is the root -- general root morphology of a sugar cane plant, right?
A. Yes. In -- in general --
Q. All right.
A. -- depending on the soil type, of course. There are -- there are variations, depending on soil type, where it's growing, and water tables, and there are -- there are so many variables that come in; but that's just a generalized view of it and that's fine.

MR. ARNOLD:
Okay. And if we scroll down a little bit, Bill, there's a paragraph on the left-hand side there that begins
with -- begins with Evans, 1935.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
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## (Complied.) <br> THE WITNESS: <br> Uh-huh (affirmatively). <br> BY MR. ARNOLD:

Q. And the -- the authors here say that Evans observed in Mauritius that shoot roots differentiated into three functional types as the sugar cane plant developed. The first roots to emerge from the base of the young shoot were thickened with little branching and grew outwards and downwards into the sub-soil to a depth of approximately $1-1 / 2$ meters thus forming 'buttress roots' adapted to anchorage of the plant." Do you see that?
A. That's right. That's right.
Q. And then, "Roots emerging from higher nodes were thinner and highly branched, extending laterally to form a dense network of 'superficial roots' responsible for uptake of water and nutrients from surface soil layers." Is that right?
A. Yeah. In general, we call those feeder roots.
Q. Okay.
A. Yeah.

## BY MR. ARNOLD:

Q. Okay. And do you have any reason to disagree with those findings?
A. I don't know. This study was in Mauritius, so it may have been in a volcanic soil; and obviously with volcanic soils, you can have large open areas and -- and get roots going down very deep. That's very similar to what Miller was -- was -- was alluding to in his report. So no, I don't have any problems with that in somewhere on the other side of the universe.
Q. Okay.
A. Or the Earth should I say.
Q. Right.

MR. ARNOLD:
Let's go to page 172, please, Bill.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
(Complied.)
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. Okay. On the right-hand side, there's a paragraph that starts with "The maximum depth"?
A. Yeah, I see it.
Q. It says, "The maximum depth of sugar
Q. And then "The third class of roots were 'rope roots,' formed from agglomerations of vertical roots. These have been observed to penetrate to depths exceeding 6 meters providing access to deep reserves of soil water"; is that right?
A. That's what -- that's what he found here, yeah.
Q. Okay. And then it says, "This pattern of root development was repeated for each tiller to create the commonly depicted root system architecture for established sugar cane stools shown in Figure 2," right?
A. That's what he's saying, yeah.
Q. So at least according to this, these authors and this paper, they found that the -the -- the roots of a sugar cane plant, particularly the -- the rope -- what they call the rope roots, extended beyond 6 meters; is that right?

MS. TABER:
Objection, form.

## THE WITNESS:

In this -- in this particular case,
yes.
cane roots, however, has not been widely observed. The maximum depth of root sampling is typically restricted to 1.5 or 2 meters with small amounts of sugar cane roots routinely" --
"routinely found at such depths." Do you -- do you have any reason to disagree with that finding?

```
MS. TABER:
    Objection, form.
```

THE WITNESS:

I don't -- I don't -- first of all, I don't -- I don't know -- I don't know where they did their investigation or -or whatever.

Let me -- let me just stop you right there. What -- what I try to do and what I'm looking at is I'm looking at -- at sugar cane that's growing in south Louisiana, central -- well, almost to central Louisiana. About the cutoff -the cutoff is around Bunkie, Louisiana, a little north of Bunkie where they grow sugar cane. I'm looking at those areas. I'm not looking at something that's out in the middle of the Indian Ocean or some
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other area such as Hawaii where they are -- they are taking DH bulldozers and breaking up lava-type soils or lava-derived-type soils. This is in south Louisiana where they grow sugar cane here, and I'm tailoring my work for that particular area looking for the roots that are there. How they -- they -- where -how deep they grow in other areas is not germane to the issue here. We want to do an on-site review of the roots that are typical of this area. And any -- any sugar cane -- any man growing sugar cane down there will tell you that the depths of the roots of sugar cane in south Louisiana typically go about anywhere from 6 to 12 inches and maybe -- some maybe 15 inches or so. That's people farming thousands of acres.

So I'm -- I'm looking at what's happening in Louisiana. I'm not looking at these other places, and I know you've got these root studies here. And -- and obviously, they did see some greater depths, but they -- they don't mean a
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feet; and -- and almost all the -- all the areas, they are going to be within
12 inches of the surface.
MR. ARNOLD:
Bill, can we go back to -THE WITNESS:

You may have some that -- well, okay. Let me finish there. You may have some that might get in some little cracks or something that you can see that maybe a few of them will go down. These -- these investigations you have here don't mean anything in south Louisiana.
MR. ARNOLD:
Bill, could you go to Figure 2 for me, please?
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
(Complied.)
MR. ARNOLD:
Can you Zoom in on that for me?

## THE VIDEOGRAPHER:

(Complied.)
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. Okay. So when you dig in your --
your pit profiles, that was -- it was adjacent to
thing. They don't have the same hydrologies, the same soil type, they are not inside Louisiana. It's -- it's not really germane to the issue we have here. We want to do an onsite investigation that's typical of what grows in south Louisiana, and that's what I did.

## BY MR. ARNOLD:

Q. Okay. So you would -- you would agree with me that depending on the different factors you have involved, whether it's the different soil type or soil moisture -- soil moisture or any other different factors that may be at play, you would agree that sugar cane does in some instances have the capability to extend its roots down to a couple meters deep?

MS. TABER:
Objection, form.

## THE WITNESS:

A couple meters or maybe even more if you're -- if you're looking at that -at volcanic soils. That's not the case in south Louisiana. You are not going to find anything -- any -- any sugar cane roots in any of those areas there below 2
Q. And do you think that you would encounter roots extending directly beneath the plant if you were to dig your pit or your profile directly beneath the plant?
A. Oh, I've dug them -- I've dug them every which a way; side to the side, under them, over them, whatever; so I -- I know where the roots go.
Q. So --
A. Yes. I've done that and then I've -- this -- this is the best way that you can have of doing a profile to see the depth of the roots.
Q. All right. And -- and just to confirm, you didn't dig directly below the sugar cane plants in this case, correct?
A. Oh, in some cases, I'll -- I'll dig over and -- and take a trowel and -- and look at those areas, yeah. I -- I'll -- but -- but -but in -- in a cursory pass because I know what's growing there and I know where it's going. So no, I -- like I said, I've done that. I've turned those -- those roots every which way but loose.
Q. So, but -- but we don't -- we don't
anything and making allegations,
accusations, assertions, using areas that
don't mean anything to south Louisiana.
I did a -- a detailed investigation
onsite specific -- specific to the healthy
sugar cane that's growing on the Louisiana
Wetlands property, and what you see is
what you get.
BY MR. ARNOLD:
Q. What's the growing season for sugar cane?
A. Oh, typically, you'll see cane in -in south Louisiana growing from maybe, you know, March until late in the year, depending on, you know, conditions, how much water it's getting and so forth, how much rain you've had. So you are -- you are getting from, say -- say, March to sometimes you'll get -- get some -- some cane will be growing on up into October.
Q. And you did your field studies in June; is that right?
A. Yes. But, you know, this -- this -this is -- as I remember, this is two-year-old plant cane rather and so you -- you have a good developed root system there. It's not like you
know that in this case, right? We are just trusting your experience and in rendering that opinion as to where the roots are on this particular property go that -- that are directly underneath the plants for this case, right?
A. This just shows --

MS. TABER:
Objection, form.
THE WITNESS:
This shows the best profile that you
can get for sugar cane roots and I
actually look at those particular areas
like that. But I -- I -- I would say this, one of the -- one of the situations we are talking about a root study that I've done -- and I've done numerous ones. Obviously, if you wanted to, the plaintiffs' experts could have gone out and looked at this operation and then have -- have conducted their own investigation and could have produced a report that I would think would have produced the exact outcome that I found. So no, it's -- this is -- and this is a situation where one side's not doing
just planted them last -- you know, well, I mean, in the fall of the year, of course, and then it started growing in the early spring and -- and -and then you went to -- in June. No. This is -this is a -- this is a mature stand.
Q. Does a -- does a --
A. A stand or two.
Q. Does a second-year cane use the same roots as -- as was developed in the first year?
A. Oh, yes. They are -- they are -these roots stay, they stay there and they actually will put out more. But you're going to get a good mature root stand usually within one year that's going to be pretty much indicative of what you are going to get over the next two years.

As you asked me in the New 90 deposition about the sugar cane, obviously they will plant it, get the plant cane, the next year you'll get a cutting, the next year you getting cutting and next, and then you'll have to -- as your -- as your production falls off, then you'll -- you'll either let it lay fallow or plant it to soybeans or -- or then come back and plant it the following year. So no, you are

AmersonWhite
COURT REPORTING \& LITIGATION SUPPORT
going to -- you've got a good mature -- we were dealing with a very good mature stand. I don't go out and bury it like in young plant cane in -in, say, April and conduct an investigation. I want a mature stand and one that's been there a while and that's what - that's what we got.
Q. Well, and -- and so you agree with me, as you testified in the New 90 case, that the cane growing in June and will continue to grow likewise will continue to grow and develop roots; is that right?

MS. TABER:
Objection, form.

## THE WITNESS:

You'll have -- you'll have the
development roots, you'll have more
striker roots coming out the next year and things like that; but generally speaking, I mean -- I mean, it's axiomatic. It's going to go to a certain depth and that depth is going to be pretty much what you see out there in the first year. But if you want to go back and like it's a second-year cane like this, we -- you -you have obviously got your root system
place and looked at it, and if he had two-year cane, you would -- you would see the same thing, but you may see some variation. There are -- there are some areas that you could have a little variation. But as you notice from the data here, all these different soil types didn't make any difference, the moisture regimes didn't make any difference, you had -- you had all these effective root zones ending and -- and almost all of the roots ending and -- and they weren't going in any deeper because I found none at deeper depths except, as I said, maybe a crack or two you found a few in it, but that was de minimus compared to the overall amount of roots on the cane in that -- in that field.

## BY MR. ARNOLD:

Q. And you would agree with me that the rooting depth is a constant, that it -- it increases as the -- as the plant grows, right?
A. No. No. I said once you -- once you get the -- the -- the roots growing under cane, generally speaking, I've looked at it for
already mature and developed, and that's -- and that's where it's going.

There are -- there are many factors involved in the movement of the root system of cane as it goes down through the soil profile, and that can be -- that will be the soil type that's there, the moisture regime that's there, and -- and in Louisiana you get plenty of moisture.
You will have your periods when -- when you'll have somewhat droughty periods; but in general your -- your -- your cane is -is -- the root system is going to go to a certain depth in that particular soil type, and that's -- that's what we -- we looked at here. The different soil type showed no variation in the -- in the effective root zones. This is mature cane.

This is a -- this is a study that is -- has been conducted onsite, as I've said, and is indicative of what was growing on the Louisiana Wetlands. And you could have gone to the next -- gone to the next field over on Mr. John Doe's
plant cane to four -- even four-year-old cane. You -- it gets to a certain depth and that's -that's as far as it goes. There are other -there are certain things in the soil. In many cases, you may have a -- a fragipan at that particular location or the -- let's -- let's just put it this way: The -- the plant is limited in its ability to push down in certain areas. That can be inhibited by a high water table, that can be inhibited by a fragipan, that can be inhibited by just a natural pan that's developed.

In most cases, since you have to do sugar cane harvesting under wet conditions like we had -- really had this year, you will get -you'll get a -- basically a fragipan that will develop there and it won't go any deeper; and that's what I found out here out on the LA Wetlands site.
Q. What are you relying on to say that the root system or the -- or the sugar cane are in their second year?
A. Well, that's what I was under -- as I understood and I -- I -- I don't remember exactly, but that was my understanding, it was a second-year -- second-year cane that we were

AmersonWhite
COURT REPORTING \& LITIGATION SUPPORT
$\square$
looking at.
Q. I mean, is it a -- is it a determination that you made by looking at the cane or somebody told you that --
A. No. I think --
Q. -- or where did that information come from?
A. I think I asked someone to ask the farmer.
Q. Okay. So that's not an assumption on your part. That information came from somewhere?
A. Yeah. That -- I -- I usually want to know if there's -- I can pretty well look at it and tell, but -- whoa, we just lost power.

MS. TABER:
Uh-oh.
THE WITNESS:
I think the -- the lines are all -are really heavy with -- with ice right
now. And I think it's probably -- that's
probably what happened.
MR. ARNOLD:
Okay. Well, let's go off the
record, Bill.
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## MS. TABER:

This is Elizabeth.
THE WITNESS:
That's fine. I don't -- I don't
have any problems. If -- if -- you know,
if it's safe, we can -- we can do
in-person at -- in Baton Rouge or
whatever, but I don't -- I don't need to
get on this road. Nobody -- there is --
there is -- this is -- this area up here
is totally shut down, so --
MS. TABER:
And, hey, John, that's right. This is Elizabeth Taber. That's right, and like we discussed, you know, we are going to see what happens and what develops with Dr. Holloway's power situation at his home and with the roads and -- and plan to get this done in the next few days, including
if -- if folks are available on the weekend. MR. ARNOLD:

Okay. All right. Well, then we will just wait to hear from you, Elizabeth, on -- on when we can proceed.

## THE VIDEOGRAPHER:

Going off the record. It is 12:56 p.m.
(A short recess was taken.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
We are back on the record. It is 1:04 p.m. MR. ARNOLD:

All right. Dr. Holloway, it's my understanding that you've lost power and that you can't -- can't see, view or review any of the exhibits that we may use as part of this deposition. And so I think what we have decided to do is to wait until you get power or wait until you can travel to somewhere that does have power or do an in-person deposition to continue this -- to continue the proceedings of today. So I'll just -just ask the other counsel on this -- on this deposition to confirm that's their understanding and then we can just proceed -- proceed accordingly. THE WITNESS:

That's fine.

## MS. TABER:

Okay. MR. ARNOLD:

Thanks, everybody. MS. TABER:

Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER:

This concludes the deposition of Dr. Holloway for today. It will be continued to a future date. It is now 1:06 p.m. We are going off the record.
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| 36:21 | 109:25 | break 9:1 | 94:18 | 91:15 92:1 | 67:11 71:8 | certainly | 32:13 |
| 38:12 | Bill's 88:25 | 17:25 19:8 | called 30:17 | 92:11 93:8 | 79:13 82:7 | 63:19 | clients 16:22 |
| 44:13,22 | billing 9:13 | 57:5 59:22 | 36:15 45:8 | 94:12,17 | 94:24 | 82:23 | 16:24 |
| 47:18 48:9 | billings 29:2 | 59:25 | 91:1,14 | 96:1,4,18 | 98:22 | CERTIFI... | 17:20 |
| 51:16 | biology 10:8 | 71:14 | camera | 96:23 97:5 | 100:14 | 114:1 | 22:25 |
| Bayside 35:7 | biomass | breaking | 83:17 | 97:13,13 | 101:16 | 116:1 | close 36:20 |
| bed 44:20 | 84:21 | 97:3 | Cameron | 97:15 | 102:1,5 | certification | 75:14 |
| 49:25 | 86:17,19 | Breaux | 35:1 | 98:14,24 | 105:8 | 6:12 116:3 | 76:13,14 |
| beginning | bit 19:8 | 36:10 | Canadian | 100:7 | cases 14:9,14 | Certified | 100:1 |
| 14:9 | 20:21 48:5 | breeding | 14:4 | 101:16 | 14:25 | 1:23 6:21 | closely 45:18 |
| begins 92:23 | 61:23 76:6 | 41:12 | cane 5:19 | 102:11 | 15:19 16:4 | 115:2 | closure 34:5 |
| 92:24 | 83:17,19 | Bridge 36:10 | 25:22 26:1 | 103:6,11 | 16:23 17:4 | 116:6 | 45:24,25 |
| behalf 7:10 | 90:14,14 | brief 14:23 | 26:2,5,6 | 103:12,18 | 17:9 22:8 | certify 114:4 | 45:25 |
| 7:13,16,19 | 92:22 | briefly 10:3 | 26:10 | 103:24 | 30:17,22 | 116:8 | Clyde 34:3,6 |
| 7:21,24 | black 14:3 | 54:21 | 37:22,24 | 104:8,18 | 31:8 33:6 | changes | coastal 17:9 |
| 9:9 | 29:20,21 | bring 81:23 | 43:2,3 | 104:19 | 33:6,11,12 | 114:7 | Code 6:6 |
| belabor 8:22 | BLS 68:11 | browser | 44:18 | 105:3,9,24 | 42:14,15 | 115:11 | 115:6 |
| believe 41:4 | Blue 38:12 | 59:13,14 | 45:12,16 | 106:5,12 | 50:15,23 | channel 41:2 | come 32:11 |
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| 55:13 65:9 | 90:17 | 65:11 | corresponds | 25:15 | 7:16 34:25 | 100:16 | 5:9 113:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73:25 | 91:10 93:1 | constant | 91:24 | 39:13 40:5 | 83:21 | depicted | descriptions |
| 81:19 86:8 | 95:19 | 107:21 | 92:10 | 43:19 | day 30:23 | 94:11 | 66:20 |
| 92:18 | 99:18,22 | construction | counsel 6:4 | 51:19 | days 17:21 | Depo-Vue | design 56:23 |
| 104:24 | composed | 11:9 | 7:7 110:20 | 63:14 91:1 | 111:19 | 4:25 | designated |
| 109:7 | 17:14 | consult | 116:18 | CRP 34:22 | de 48:9 | deposed | 63:2 |
| comes 16:16 | concern | 20:25 | count 15:15 | 42:7 | 107:16 | 43:25 44:7 | detail 16:19 |
| 16:19 | 13:24 | consultant | counted 33:5 | CSR 1:22,22 | de-water | deposition | detailed |
| 28:12 33:6 | concludes | 20:24 | counterpr... | 113:23,24 | 41:8 | 1:15 6:5 | 12:22 |
| 33:20 | 112:8 | consulting | 63:18 | 115:23,24 | de-watering | 6:16 7:2,3 | 66:23 |
| 49:24 | Concordia | 13:14 | country | 116:23,24 | 41:6 | 8:25 | 103:4 |
| comfortable | 16:8 34:14 | containing | 12:14 13:2 | current 8:16 | dealing 9:5 | 104:18 | detection |
| 75:15 | condition | 76:23 | 18:20,21 | currently | 105:2 | 110:13,17 | 43:9 |
| coming 9:22 | 39:13 | continue | 49:24 | 17:4 20:22 | death 68:3 | 110:21 | determina... |
| 56:23 | 57:25 | 29:3 105:9 | couple 98:16 | Curry 34:25 | decided | 112:8 | 109:3 |
| 65:10 | conditions | 105:10 | 98:20 | cursory | 84:14 | depositional | determina... |
| 69:24 | 103:15 | 110:18,18 | course 9:13 | 101:20 | 110:14 | 45:9 | 18:19 |
| 74:13 | 108:13 | continued | 29:5 32:23 | cutoff 96:20 | deep 67:14 | depositions | determine |
| 75:18 76:8 | conduct 46:6 | 3:14:1 | 40:4 41:18 | 96:21 | 68:4,4,8 | 8:19 | 80:21 |
| 85:14 | 57:16 | 112:10 | 51:23,23 | cutting | 68:13 85:2 | depth 33:13 | develop 92:4 |
| 100:10,15 | 105:4 | Control | 51:23 | 104:20,21 | 85:4 94:5 | 53:16 68:2 | 105:10 |
| 105:17 | conducted | 12:24 | 66:14 | cypress | 95:8 97:9 | 68:15,18 | 108:16 |
| commencing | 42:18 | Convention | 92:15 | 38:14 47:7 | 98:16 | 69:22 70:1 | developed |
| 1:18 | 46:11 | 2:20 | 104:2 | 48:23 | 100:16 | 70:7 80:21 | 42:17 |
| common | 47:24 | coordinates | court 1:1,23 |  | deeper 67:9 | 86:1 93:11 | 46:20 |
| 65:18,19 | 60:24 | 66:15 | 6:21 8:2,8 | D | 107:13,14 | 95:23,25 | 48:13 93:8 |
| commonly | 62:10 63:3 | core 67:1,1,2 | 25:20 | D 2:24 5:1 | 108:16 | 96:2 | 103:25 |
| 94:11 | 67:24 70:4 | 67:6 69:6 | 115:2,13 | D.C 12:23 | defendant | 101:12 | 104:9 |
| communities | 102:20 | 70:11 | 116:6 | Dale 38:17 | 2:16 3:3 | 105:20,21 | 106:1 |
| 62:17 | 106:21 | Corne 36:2 | cover 40:24 | damage 40:4 | 3:15 4:3 | 106:14 | 108:11 |
| community | conductivi... | corner 37:14 | covering | Daniel 4:21 | 4:14 83:23 | 107:21 | developing |
| 41:17 | 26:12 | 62:5,22 | 67:8 | 7:24 | defendants | 108:2 | 45:19 65:1 |
| companies | conductivity | Corporation | crack 53:5 | DARDEN | 83:23 | depths 31:10 | 65:1 |
| 17:24 | 39:18 | 1:12 4:4 | 107:15 | 4:6 | defined | 31:21 | development |
| 22:24 | confined | 7:17 | cracks 99:9 | Darn 39:22 | 115:4 | 36:16 | 12:7 13:8 |
| company | 78:16 | Corps 11:5,8 | crawfish | DASCHB... | degree 10:7 | 37:20,21 | 13:9 94:10 |
| 3:16 7:22 | confirm 72:3 | 12:3 13:3 | 39:3,3,4,7 | 2:6 | 10:7,18 | 43:22 | 105:16 |
| 16:25 | 101:15 | 13:13 21:5 | Creadeur | dash 58:19 | delay 9:5 | 44:18,19 | develops |
| 40:16 46:8 | 110:21 | 41:5 | 51:16,17 | 77:3 | delineations | 48:1,2 | 111:16 |
| 47:10 | conformed | correct 21:5 | create 94:11 | dashes | 19:1,3 | 51:5 52:10 | Devillier |
| compared | 68:18 | 28:22 44:5 | creep 78:17 | 115:10,14 | dendrologi... | 52:18 | 37:18 |
| 107:16 | confusing | 53:1 60:25 | criteria 24:6 | data 51:8 | 19:20 | 53:19,22 | 51:16 |
| completed | 73:14 | 63:6 69:3 | criticism | 66:12,13 | dendrologist | 53:22 | DH 97:2 |
| 50:4 | connecting | 69:4,10 | 86:9 | 66:21 | 19:16,18 | 64:12 67:6 | dial 20:3 |
| Complied | 58:25 | 72:5 83:4 | Crooks | 107:7 | denoted | 67:9 68:21 | die 64:24 |
| 27:11 | connection | 100:3 | 49:12,17 | database | 115:18 | 80:1 94:4 | difference |
| 29:13 | 59:17 | 101:16 | 49:19 50:9 | 68:23 | dense 93:18 | 96:5 97:14 | 44:14 |
| 30:25 37:4 | conservati... | 114:8 | crop 86:10 | date 28:21 | department | 97:25 | 107:8,9 |
| 40:20 | 68:10 | 116:16 | cropping | 112:10 | 11:3 | 107:14 | different |
| 42:22 | consider | CORREC... | 25:14 | 114:9,14 | depending | describe | 43:22 |
| 58:12,21 | 19:11,14 | 113:1 | crops 13:16 | 114:25 | 92:14,16 | 65:17 | 44:24 |
| 64:8 76:20 | considerat... | corrections | 13:17 | Dave 55:12 | 98:10 | describing | 50:17 |
| 77:25 79:8 | 12:25 | 114:7,18 | 21:25 22:2 | 55:18,25 | 103:14 | 60:14 | 51:14 |
| 87:16 | considered | 114:20 | 23:11 | David 4:11 | depends | DESCRIP... | 57:17 |
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| 60:24 | 44:21 | 79:11 84:8 | ecology 10:9 | engineers | 6:17 | 25:8 | 108:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62:13,16 | distributed | 86:12 | edge 47:12 | 11:6,9 | exact 102:23 | experts | farm 16:7 |
| 62:17,23 | 65:20 87:9 | 88:24 | 73:8,9 | 12:3,22 | exactly | 102:18 | 40:16 41:1 |
| 68:23,24 | distribution | 89:21 | 74:1 75:9 | 13:3,14 | 33:23 | explain | 48:8,12 |
| 68:24 | 43:11 | 110:9 | 76:2 80:6 | 19:4 41:5 | 61:13 | 64:10 | farmer |
| 70:10,13 | 65:23 | 111:17 | educational | England | 72:20 | 76:16 | 109:9 |
| 72:22 | 66:12 68:3 | 112:9 | 10:4 | 12:15 | 108:24 | extend 52:19 | farmers |
| 75:24 | 79:22 85:5 | dredge 12:8 | effective | entire 32:18 | EXAMIN... | 53:21 | 72:21 |
| 98:10,12 | 86:16,23 | 12:11,13 | 25:14 | 56:14 67:5 | 8:10 | 54:12 68:8 | farming |
| 98:13 | District 1:1 | 12:13,17 | 53:15 | 80:23,23 | example | 69:11,16 | 18:15 |
| 106:16 | 12:5 | 41:7 | 54:13 66:6 | 82:8 84:11 | 15:21 63:8 | 98:15 | 97:18 |
| 107:7 | ditch 48:20 | droughty | 80:21 | 84:23 | 81:13 | extended | farms 18:14 |
| differentia... | DIVISION | 106:11 | 82:23 | 86:15 87:7 | excavating | 12:5 52:24 | 51:3 |
| 93:7 | 1:8 | dstanton@... | 106:18 | entirely 71:9 | 85:18 | 94:19 | father 16:9 |
| difficult 47:3 | dlandry@... | 4:22 | 107:10 | entitled | exceeding | extending | 16:10 |
| dig 65:5,5,21 | 4:12 | due 28:17 | efficiently | 60:19 | 94:4 | 53:20 | father's 16:6 |
| 71:13 | DNR 55:19 | 115:9 | 30:19 | 90:19 | excellent | 78:23 | Fax 2:9,22 |
| 74:21 | DOCKET | dug 69:1 | either 34:19 | entomology | 41:12 | 93:18 | 3:21 4:10 |
| 76:11 | 1:6 | 70:11,21 | 34:22 41:9 | 11:3 | excess 25:17 | 101:2 | 4:20 |
| 78:16 | doctoral | 72:6,8 | 57:7 73:3 | entries 31:5 | Excuse | extends | February |
| 80:22 | 11:2 | 76:3 79:25 | 74:2 75:7 | environme... | 83:16 | 79:17 | 1:18 7:5 |
| 81:14,15 | document | 81:17 | 78:13 88:3 | 11:6,20,22 | exhibit 5:11 | extra 71:19 | 113:21 |
| 81:22 82:8 | 26:25 | 100:4,4 | 104:23 | 12:24 13:4 | 5:14,17,18 | extracted | 114:25 |
| 82:14 86:2 | 31:22 91:8 | 101:5,5 | electrical | 13:22 21:1 | 27:17,18 | 69:6 | Federal |
| 86:18 | documents | duly 8:7 | 26:12 | equipment | 30:15 58:9 | extreme 86:8 | 12:23 |
| 99:24 | 5:17 9:6 | 116:10 | 39:17 | 16:12 | 58:10 | extremely | 115:4 |
| 101:3,15 | Doe's 106:25 | dumped | elevations | Esquire 2:11 | 60:17 | 85:25 | feeder 87:5 |
| 101:17 | doing 11:9 | 16:11 | 46:3 | 2:13,24 | 76:18 77:5 | eyes 83:18 | 93:23 |
| digged 74:24 | 17:17 | Dupont 38:5 | Elizabeth | 3:11,23 | 77:6 87:13 |  | feet 53:20 |
| digging | 21:21 | 38:10 | 3:11 7:13 | 4:11,21 | 87:14 | F | 68:9,10 |
| 69:23 71:6 | 22:23 |  | 29:25 | established | exhibits | facilities | 69:18 |
| 80:4 84:11 | 56:21 | E | 111:2,14 | 91:14 | 110:12 | 11:16 43:9 | 72:23 |
| 84:22 | 63:18 81:4 | E 1:21 5:1,1 | 111:25 | 94:12 | expect $31: 19$ | facing 80:7 | 73:10 99:1 |
| direct 26:5 | 82:21,22 | 5:7 6:21 | emerge 93:9 | estuarine | experience | 80:18 | fellow 44:7 |
| 60:16,19 | 86:6,17 | 113:22 | emerging | 10:9 22:5 | 10:24 | fact 19:2 | felt 69:21 |
| direction | 90:8 | 115:2,22 | 93:16 | et 1:12 6:7 | 26:16 | factors | fertilizers |
| 85:3 | 101:12 | 116:6,22 | emphasis | 38:10 | 102:2 | 24:15 | 73:5 |
| 116:15 | 102:25 | E-mail 2:12 | 10:8 35:23 | etaber@ks... | experiment | 26:10,14 | field 17:21 |
| directly | dome 35:15 | 2:14,25 | emphasized | 3:12 | 12:10 | 39:16 | 27:22,23 |
| 100:2 | doubt 69:17 | 3:12,24 | 39:16 | evaluate | expert 5:11 | 64:18 | 36:9 37:23 |
| 101:2,4,15 | 71:18 | 4:12,22 | employed | 82:8 84:11 | 5:14 16:3 | 98:11,13 | 45:23 62:1 |
| 102:4 | download | E\&P 61:20 | 20:22 | 85:19 | 17:14 18:6 | 106:3 | 62:13 |
| disagree | 89:6 | 64:17 | enclosure | evaluated | 19:12,14 | fair 31:11 | 67:20 72:9 |
| 95:3 96:6 | downwards | earlier 20:22 | 28:2 30:15 | 52:23 | 21:13 | 72:2 | 91:1 |
| discourse | 93:11 | 54:22 | 30:16 | evaluating | 22:20 | fairly 92:6 | 103:20 |
| 115:10 | Dr 7:3 8:11 | early 14:8 | encounter | 64:11 | 23:19 24:1 | fall 104:2 | 106:25 |
| discuss 90:1 | 19:24 | 104:3 | 101:2 | 75:10 76:2 | 24:3,9,11 | fallow | 107:18 |
| discussed | 20:20 | earth 69:25 | Energen | 80:5 | 24:22 | 104:23 | fields 16:13 |
| 111:15 | 26:23 28:8 | 95:14 | 1:11 4:3 | Evans 92:24 | 25:22 26:1 | falls 104:22 | 24:7 47:14 |
| disposal | 29:15 31:3 | easiest 82:21 | 7:16 9:24 | 93:6 | 26:6 67:17 | familiar 8:24 | 58:3 61:18 |
| 12:17 | 33:2 34:21 | 82:22 | 9:25 | everybody | expertise | 43:24 91:2 | 61:21 |
| distance | 58:24 | east 35:7 | Energy 4:7 | 9:19 59:18 | 18:5,7 | far 9:14,16 | figure 28:16 |
| 72:20 | 60:13 | 36:20,23 | Engineering | 112:4 | 19:10 | 24:3 31:20 | 58:19 |
| distributary | 76:22 | 57:2 | 4:15 7:25 | evidence | 21:11,18 | 75:8 76:1 | 60:19,21 |
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| 61:7 62:11 | follows 8:9 | 107:13,15 | generalized | 85:3,4 | gosh 49:4 | grows 74:9 | 34:12,18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63:3 91:13 | foot $72: 25$ | 108:17 | 92:19 | 87:6 89:5 | gotten 48:21 | 98:6 | 34:23 35:8 |
| 94:13 | forage 43:19 | four 12:11 | generally | 89:5 90:3 | gradients | 107:22 | 35:11 |
| 99:15 | foregoing | 62:22 | 105:18 | 92:5 95:17 | 45:10 | growth 5:18 | 36:22,24 |
| figures 5:13 | 114:5 | 68:23 | 107:25 | 97:16 99:5 | graduate | 26:2,9 | 37:16,20 |
| 58:15 | 116:12 | 108:1 | geologist | 99:11,15 | 10:15,19 | 80:14 | 38:1,15 |
| 60:18 | forest $22: 23$ | four-year-... | 22:18 | 100:1 | great 27:15 | 90:20 | 41:15 |
| file 27:3 | 22:24,24 | 108:1 | germane | 101:8 | 30:10 | guess 35:17 | 42:16 47:9 |
| filing 6:12 | 50:13 | fragipan | 22:13 | 102:4 | 83:25 | 35:19 61:9 | 47:12,16 |
| find 63:10 | forester | 51:6 53:14 | 97:10 98:4 | 105:3,20 | 88:19 91:3 | 70:8,12 | 48:22,25 |
| 63:10,20 | 22:20 | 108:5,10 | getting 75:6 | 105:23 | greater 80:1 | Guidry 36:8 | 49:7 50:13 |
| 82:24 86:1 | forestry | 108:15 | 75:16 76:5 | 106:13 | 97:24 | Guilbeau | hardwoods |
| 98:24 | 19:21 | Francisco | 83:18 | 108:16 | green 33:1 | 37:25 | 22:4 25:16 |
| finding 96:7 | 22:21,23 | 12:15 | 100:11,11 | 109:24 | 47:7 | Guiterrez | 33:25 38:7 |
| findings 95:3 | 23:1 | Franklin | 100:20,21 | goes 72:18 | Gregory | 43:14 | 39:8,9 |
| fine $32: 3$ | forests 50:11 | 67:19 | 103:15,17 | 100:21,24 | 67:20 | Gulf 11:12 | 41:24 |
| 57:6 92:19 | forget 32:20 | freely 89:3 | 104:20 | 106:5 | grew 93:10 | 11:20 | 46:13,19 |
| 110:25 | form 6:13 | freshwater | gist 90:6 | 108:3 | Grigsby 56:8 | 12:17 | 47:1,6,21 |
| 111:4 | 25:24 54:5 | 46:19 | give 25:3 | going 13:1 | 56:25 |  | 47:24 |
| finish 86:14 | 70:17 | friend 16:10 | 31:12,13 | 20:13 | Grocer 46:8 | H | 54:14,18 |
| 99:8 | 75:12 81:1 | 39:21 | 31:18,24 | 21:10 30:4 | ground 8:23 | H 5:7 49:17 | 57:23 58:4 |
| first 8:7 | 83:6,10 | friends 16:6 | 31:25 52:9 | 30:18 53:6 | 75:2,3 | habitat 31:5 | 63:14 |
| 11:19 19:2 | 84:17 | 52:4 | 61:14 | 53:9 54:14 | group 32:9 | 31:6,19 | Harold 36:8 |
| 26:24 93:8 | 85:21 | front 67:17 | 69:16 88:4 | 55:1,16 | 45:19 | 34:9 36:4 | Harrisonb... |
| 96:11 | 93:18 | frozen 9:21 | given 8:19 | 57:6,14 | grow 34:23 | 41:12 | 8:17 |
| 104:9 | 94:22 96:9 | full $8: 13$ | 16:17 24:5 | 60:6 71:11 | 63:13 74:6 | 46:11 54:3 | harvesting |
| 105:22 | 98:18 | Function | 114:5,8 | 71:18 | 74:6,7 | habitats | 26:3 |
| fish 38:19 | 102:8 | 5:18 90:20 | gives 85:7 | 74:17 75:6 | 96:22 97:5 | 22:3 39:2 | 108:13 |
| fisheries | 105:13 | functional | 100:12 | 80:17 | 97:9 105:9 | 41:3,14 | hat 35:21 |
| 10:8 35:21 | formalities | 91:15 93:7 | giving 71:17 | 85:18 87:6 | 105:10 | hackberry | Hawaii 97:1 |
| 35:22 36:3 | 6:9,11 | further | go $15: 17$ | 87:6 88:25 | growing | 33:1 47:7 | health 36:7 |
| 36:4,5 | formations | 69:12 80:2 | 17:6 20:10 | 88:25 95:7 | 32:23 | hand 8:5 | healthy |
| five 33:11 | 51:6 | future 63:22 | 27:5,24 | 98:23 99:2 | 38:22 43:3 | handful | 63:10,20 |
| 49:15 | formed $87: 3$ | 112:10 | 29:7 30:14 | 100:17,17 | 43:5 45:16 | 51:12 | 64:23 65:4 |
| flooded 47:3 | 94:2 |  | 30:14,21 | 100:18,18 | 47:25 | handling | 65:15 |
| flooding | forming | G | 31:21,25 | 100:18,19 | 56:11 | 56:1 | 103:5 |
| 46:2 | 93:12 | gas 2:17 7:22 | 33:5 44:10 | 101:21 | 57:22 | Hang 59:14 | hear 20:1 |
| Florida | formulated | 63:5 | 48:7 49:22 | 104:12,14 | 64:15 | 61:15 | 59:7 |
| 11:14 | 68:22 | gasoline | 51:7 52:12 | 104:15 | 71:11 | happen | 111:24 |
| 13:21 14:2 | forth 13:25 | 39:24 | 53:14 | 105:1,20 | 85:11,15 | 88:25 | hearing |
| Floyd 1:16 | 19:21 23:1 | general 13:6 | 57:10 | 105:21 | 92:16 | happened | 25:20 29:1 |
| 8:6,15 | 31:21 | 31:13 | 58:17,19 | 106:2,13 | 96:18 | 61:6 | 29:4,5 |
| 16:6 | 33:16,19 | 34:20 | 59:19 | 107:12 | 97:13 | 109:22 | heavily |
| 113:20 | 36:7,16 | 51:25 53:9 | 63:15 | 110:2 | 101:21 | happening | 63:16 |
| 114:4 | 46:4 48:14 | 61:15,25 | 64:14 | 111:15 | 103:6,10 | 59:2 97:21 | heavy |
| 116:8 | 50:3 63:9 | 62:24 92:8 | 65:17 | 112:11 | 103:13,19 | happens | 109:20 |
| flume 48:20 | 84:21 | 92:10,12 | 67:13 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { g o o d }}$ 8:11 | 104:3 | 111:16 | Hebert 48:7 |
| focus 64:5 | 103:16 | 93:22 | 68:13 | 27:4,13 | 105:9 | harbors 12:8 | held 7:4 |
| 91:13 | 116:11 | 106:12 | 71:12 77:2 | 60:4 63:10 | 106:23 | Harding | Heloise |
| folks 55:12 | found 31:9 | generalities | 77:10,20 | 63:10,20 | 107:24 | 3:19 | 36:18 |
| 111:20 | 52:18 | 31:18,24 | 77:22,22 | 65:4 88:13 | grown 34:8 | hardwood | help 72:7 |
| following | 69:15 94:7 | 31:25 92:2 | 78:2,25 | 103:24 | 35:4 41:23 | 19:22,22 | herbaceous |
| 85:13 | 94:16 96:5 | generality | 82:7,18 | 104:13 | 48:19 | 32:19,24 | 37:16 |
| 104:25 | 102:23 | 61:14 | 83:14 85:3 | 105:1,2 | 50:12 | 33:19 | 41:25 |
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| 42:10 | 114:4 | 18:21,22 | Indian 68:6 | 34:4 50:4 | jarnold@j... | 22:5 23:11 | 113:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49:10 | 116:8 | 21:22 22:2 | 96:25 | 58:2 62:10 | 2:12 | KING 3:6 | 115:22 |
| herbicide | Holloway's | 23:2,9,10 | indicate | 63:7 96:13 | Jean-Louis | knife 73:5 | 116:22 |
| 66:16 | 111:17 | 23:23 24:6 | 115:11,15 | 98:5 | 67:24 | knifing 74:3 | Labarre |
| hereinbefore | home 111:17 | 24:17 26:9 | indicated 7:5 | 102:21 | jobs 14:6 | know 8:23 | 35:15 |
| 116:11 | honest 83:12 | 32:21 | indicative | 103:4 | John 2:11 | 9:2,10,12 | labeled |
| hereto 6:4 | hopefully | 35:18 39:7 | 104:14 | 105:4 | 3:23 7:10 | 9:13,14,16 | 27:25,25 |
| Hero 37:6 | 55:15 | 39:9 40:1 | 106:22 | investigati... | 7:19 8:12 | 15:10 | 29:8 30:16 |
| hey 69:23 | Houston 3:8 | 43:6,20 | indicia 39:14 | 53:18 | 30:5 34:15 | 16:18 17:6 | Lafayette |
| 87:18 | Huddell 2:6 | 45:18 46:1 | individual | 99:12 | 87:18 | 17:22 | 3:20 |
| 111:13 | 2:13 | 46:5 47:22 | 61:3,4 | Investment | 106:25 | 25:18 26:2 | Lafourche |
| high 13:24 | hundred | 64:16,19 | 72:10 | 50:5 | 111:13 | 26:6,18,24 | 36:19,21 |
| 18:18 | 15:22 | important | 74:22 76:1 | Investments | join 59:13 | 27:1 28:14 | laid 67:2,2 |
| 73:23,24 | hydrocarb... | 18:23 | indoor 13:16 | 33:17 | JONES 2:6 | 29:18 | 75:19 |
| 108:9 | 64:18 | in-person | industry | invoice 28:5 | journal 91:1 | 30:21,22 | 79:15 |
| higher 93:17 | hydrocarb... | 110:17 | 14:7 23:3 | 28:11,19 | jtroutman... | 34:1,8 | 100:7 |
| highly 93:17 | 39:15 | 111:7 | information | 29:9,11 | 3:24 | 41:19 44:1 | Lake 33:21 |
| Highway | hydrogeol... | inch 76:10 | 16:18 | invoices | judge 39:22 | 50:20 | 34:15 |
| 8:17 | 22:10,16 | inches 52:19 | 68:12 | 27:23,24 | JUDICIAL | 52:13 53:6 | 46:14,15 |
| hill 33:19 | 23:12 | 52:24 53:4 | 109:6,11 | 28:3 30:2 | 1:1 | 54:15 | 49:25 50:5 |
| 49:24 | hydrologies | 53:7,12,13 | inhibited | involve 34:3 | July 28:6 | 55:17,23 | Lakes 33:17 |
| hills 49:23 | 98:2 | 53:15,20 | 108:9,10 | 53:19 | June 62:8,9 | 56:4,6,12 | land 49:4 |
| hip 72:18,25 |  | 53:21 | 108:10 | involved | 62:20 | 56:20,21 | 52:4 68:11 |
| 73:1,2,22 | I | 54:12,16 | Inman-Ba... | 9:15 11:14 | 103:21 | 61:10 62:1 | landowner |
| 73:22 | Iberia 35:7 | 54:19 | 90:21 | 12:6,12 | 104:4 | 62:16 | 16:4 67:19 |
| hippers 73:1 | 38:5 | 66:23,24 | inside 98:3 | 13:5,20 | 105:9 | 63:21 | Landry 4:11 |
| 73:21 | Iberville | 66:25 67:7 | instances | 14:8 18:14 | Justin 38:17 | 67:11 | 7:15,16 |
| history 10:4 | 38:11 | 67:7 69:2 | 52:24 | 32:18,21 |  | 70:15 | 37:18 |
| hold 15:25 | 46:23 | 69:7,7,13 | 98:15 | 33:18 | K | 72:23 76:9 | 47:19 |
| 21:12,13 | ice 59:20 | 69:16 71:4 | intact 85:12 | 34:17 38:8 | Kansas | 76:16 82:3 | 83:20,21 |
| 27:3 53:4 | 109:20 | 71:9,20,23 | integral | 38:19,20 | 14:10 15:3 | 82:16 85:2 | 84:1 |
| 59:12 | idea 16:1 | 71:24 | 18:11 | 39:1,20,23 | KEAN 2:19 | 86:6,15,25 | Lands 37:6 |
| 67:14 | 25:3 31:13 | 73:24 | intend 25:11 | 41:3,13,22 | 4:17 | 87:2,2,4,4 | large 22:24 |
| 86:14 | 52:10 | 97:17,18 | intent 9:4 | 42:4,15 | keep 15:15 | 89:22,25 | 35:23 |
| Holdings | 88:19 | 99:3 | interaction | 43:16 | 15:15,18 | 90:5,7,7 | 37:23 41:6 |
| 33:22 | identified | include | 115:9 | 44:12,16 | 57:6,14 | 95:4 96:12 | 45:3 73:23 |
| Holloway | 26:21 31:4 | 52:21 | interactions | 44:18 | 69:23 | 96:12 | 95:7 |
| 1:16 7:3 | 52:16 | included | 21:23 | 47:20 49:6 | Kevin 2:13 | 97:22 | larger 65:10 |
| 8:6,11,15 | imagine 15:4 | 11:8,13 | interested | 50:10 | khuddell@... | 101:7,20 | late 21:7 |
| 19:24 | impact 39:10 | including | 31:2 61:19 | 56:25 58:2 | 2:14 | 101:21 | 103:14 |
| 20:20 21:1 | 57:21 62:3 | 14:22 15:2 | 116:19 | 98:11 | kind 21:13 | 102:1 | laterally |
| 26:23 28:8 | 63:4,17 | 17:8 22:3 | Internatio... | 106:4 | 24:17,20 | 103:13,15 | 93:18 |
| 29:15 31:3 | impacted | 23:10,11 | 67:24 | involves | 31:18 | 103:22 | 100:15 |
| 33:2 58:24 | 26:14 | 25:15 | interrupt | 18:10 | 32:24 | 104:1 | lava-deriv... |
| 60:13 | 57:21 62:2 | 39:15 | 33:3 40:14 | iPad 20:8 | 35:20 | 109:14 | 97:4 |
| 76:22 | 62:3 63:8 | 46:18 | intimately | Island 68:5 | 39:13 52:9 | 111:5,15 | lava-type |
| 79:11 | 63:16 | 51:19 | 18:14 | issue 22:13 | 56:22,23 | known 14:13 | 97:3 |
| 83:16 84:8 | impacting | 64:18 | introduce | 52:6 90:10 | 63:17 | 14:14 | law 6:8 |
| 86:12 | 64:20 | 111:19 | 7:7 | 97:10 98:4 | 64:24,25 |  | lawsuits |
| 88:24 | impacts | incorporat... | introduced |  | 70:9 72:2 | L | 14:15 |
| 89:21 | 11:10,17 | 3:4 21:9 | 9:11 | J | 84:25 86:4 | L 6:1 | lay 104:23 |
| 110:9 | 11:22 12:6 | increases | invasion | J 36:8 | 86:10 90:9 | L.L.P 2:19 | layers 93:20 |
| 112:9 | 12:19 | 107:22 | 48:16 | January | 100:14 | La 1:22 36:9 | layout 92:6 |
| 113:20 | 13:23 | incur 67:9 | investigati... | 62:7 | kinds 12:19 | 108:17 | leak 39:24 |
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| leave 64:2 | LISKOW | 51:8 52:12 | 36:6,11 | 14:19 15:3 | 103:14,17 | Mayeaux | Miller 2:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| leaves 65:1 | 3:18 | 57:20,22 | 43:19 | 15:9 16:4 | Marchive | 33:14 | 4:17 67:10 |
| leaving | list 5:17 | 57:22 | 46:16,17 | 16:23 17:1 | 38:24 | McConnell | 67:12,20 |
| 85:12 | 27:21 | 64:14,16 | 53:19 | 24:13 | mark 58:8 | 2:24 7:20 | 69:17 95:9 |
| Lefebvre | 31:14 | 64:23 | 56:22 | 36:15 | 77:4 87:13 | 7:21 | mind 16:17 |
| 35:10 | listed 33:11 | 65:13,13 | 57:23,25 | 39:19 40:8 | marked | mean 31:12 | 16:19 |
| left 13:13 | 50:23 | 65:22 | 61:19 62:2 | 40:16,25 | 27:18 | 31:15 62:8 | mine 39:21 |
| 20:5 21:4 | 51:17,21 | 70:25 | 65:9 68:20 | 41:19 | 58:10 77:6 | 73:17 | minimal |
| 60:14 | 51:21 | 71:15 77:3 | 72:23 | 43:15 | 87:14 | 75:23 | 66:1 |
| 115:16 | literature | 77:14 78:4 | 74:19,20 | 44:13 | marsh 12:3 | 76:14 | minimus |
| left-hand | 82:19 | 79:5 86:22 | 79:11,18 | 46:10,22 | 22:4,4 | 82:19,22 | 107:16 |
| 80:15 | lithology | 87:7,7 | 80:12,19 | 49:13,17 | 35:2 41:14 | 84:8 86:16 | mining |
| 92:23 | 10:7 | 88:6,9 | 85:11 | 49:24 | 41:15 | 88:9 97:25 | 13:23 |
| legacy 14:9 | litigation | 89:2 92:3 | 96:17,17 | 51:24 | marsh-type | 99:12 | minute |
| 14:13,25 | 17:12,15 | 100:8 | 96:23,24 | 53:10,17 | 42:16 | 103:3 | 19:17 33:3 |
| 16:3,23 | 17:17 19:6 | 101:18 | 97:7,20,21 | 67:19 | marshes | 104:1 | 52:25 |
| 17:21 22:8 | little 19:8 | 102:12 | 98:21 | 96:19,20 | 46:19 | 105:19,19 | 59:12 |
| let's 10:24 | 20:21 | 109:14 | 109:1,3 | 96:21 97:5 | Martha 42:3 | 109:2 | 61:16 |
| 13:12 | 41:21 48:5 | looked 11:21 | looks 9:4 | 97:16,21 | Martin 43:1 | meander | 67:14 88:5 |
| 15:11 | 59:21 | 13:22 | 28:5 29:10 | 98:3,7,23 | Mary 1:2 | 45:5 | missed 20:22 |
| 20:10 27:2 | 61:23 76:6 | 22:25 34:2 | 50:16 | 99:13 | 6:22 | means 48:9 | 40:15,22 |
| 30:14,14 | 83:17,19 | 34:4 35:21 | 51:12 | 103:3,6,13 | mass 81:4,16 | 65:19 | 40:25 |
| 31:23,23 | 88:10 | 36:2,16 | 87:19 | 106:9,23 | 82:15 86:3 | mentioned | missing |
| 32:2,6 | 90:13,14 | 38:17,20 | loose 101:24 | 115:3,6 | master's | 49:21 | 51:24 |
| 33:1 39:20 | 92:22 | 38:21 39:3 | lost 59:5,9 | 116:7 | 10:7,17 | 54:21 | Mississippi |
| 45:18 48:7 | 93:10 | 39:4,8,11 | 59:15,15 | lower 83:19 | matches | 67:12 | 14:3,23 |
| 49:23 | 96:22 99:9 | 39:12,25 | 59:16,16 | LSU 10:11 | 81:10 | meters 68:8 | 15:3 34:16 |
| 50:22 | 107:5 | 41:6,12,25 | 109:15 | 10:20,22 | material | 93:12 94:4 | 34:17 |
| 51:15,22 | Livestock | 43:5,7,10 | 110:10 | 11:2 | 12:12,13 | 94:19 96:3 | 44:21 |
| 57:10 | 40:16 41:1 | 43:20,21 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o t }} 9: 14$ | Luther 1:16 | 41:7 64:20 | 98:16,20 | 45:14 57:3 |
| 61:24 | LLC 1:7,7 | 43:21 | 12:16 13:7 | 7:3 8:6,15 | 81:16 | method | mixed 33:18 |
| 63:23 71:7 | 2:4 33:22 | 44:11,16 | 13:10 | 87:24 | 86:18 | 84:12 | 34:18 35:2 |
| 71:8 73:23 | 43:24 | 45:11,12 | 14:20 | 113:20 | 115:18 | 115:13 | 48:22 |
| 76:18 77:2 | 67:19 | 45:17 46:2 | 17:17 | 114:4 | materials | 116:13 | mixture |
| 78:4 79:5 | LLP 4:17 | 46:3,6 | 18:25 | 116:8 | 12:8,17 | methodolo... | 33:24 |
| 87:12 90:3 | location | 47:22 48:1 | 19:19 |  | 26:22,25 | 70:10,13 | Mobil 38:10 |
| 90:13 | 66:13 | 50:2 51:5 | 22:23 | M | 27:22 | methodolo... | moisture |
| 95:17 | 70:24,25 | 52:2,3,6 | 23:20 24:2 | M 5:1 | 28:10 74:4 | 64:11 | 98:12,13 |
| 108:6,6 | 71:5 72:8 | 52:11 54:9 | 24:8,12,15 | main 34:15 | 74:5 | Mexico | 106:8,9 |
| 109:24 | 75:5 108:6 | 55:8,9 | 24:19 26:2 | 41:10 | matter 8:13 | 11:13 | 107:8 |
| levee 34:16 | locations | 61:13,21 | 26:6,16,18 | majority | 19:1 21:19 | middle 68:6 | Monique |
| 45:13 56:9 | 52:7 60:20 | 61:25 | 28:3 34:4 | 50:21 | 25:10 | 72:19,19 | 43:14 |
| 57:2 | 61:10 62:4 | 62:15,22 | 51:2,4 | 54:17 | 116:19 | 73:11,11 | mono 11:12 |
| levelling | 62:6,6,13 | 64:16 68:1 | 52:2,3,4 | making | Matthew | 73:16,16 | 11:22,25 |
| 46:3 | 63:3,25 | 74:25 | Louisiana | 79:19 | 42:25 | 73:18,19 | Moore 42:3 |
| LEWIS 3:18 | 64:13 | 84:20 | 1:3,6 2:3,8 | 103:1 | mature | 74:7 78:10 | morning |
| likewise | 68:23,25 | 102:19 | 2:21 3:7 | man 71:17 | 104:5,13 | 78:11,16 | 8:11 26:23 |
| 105:10 | logging 23:2 | 106:16 | 3:20 4:9 | 97:13 | 105:1,2,5 | 79:18 | 27:2 |
| limited | look 17:7 | 107:1,25 | 4:19 6:6 | managed | 106:1,18 | 80:16 | morphology |
| 37:13 | 18:16 | looking | 6:23 8:18 | 50:12 | Mauritius | 96:25 | 86:25 91:4 |
| 108:7 | 21:24 | 18:16 | 10:13,14 | managing | 93:6 95:5 | middles | 91:25 |
| line $34: 15$ | 23:22 25:2 | 24:18 | 11:11 12:3 | 22:22 | maximum | 72:14,15 | 92:11 |
| 56:2 113:3 | 26:13 27:4 | 27:13 | 12:4 14:13 | manner 86:2 | 95:22,25 | 74:5,22 | mosquito |
| lines 109:19 | 30:4 41:6 | 35:18 36:6 | 14:16,17 | March | 96:2 | mile 71:19 | 41:12 |
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| mouth 35:23 | 54:22 | 94:22 96:9 | 11:12 | 60:13,23 | 11:16 | 52:4 | 43:4,4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| movement | 104:17 | 98:18 | oh 9:24,24 | 61:2,17 | onsite 98:5 |  | 44:20 |
| 11:15 45:4 | 105:8 | 102:8 | 18:2 19:19 | 63:1,23 | 103:5 | P | 47:15,25 |
| 106:4 | nodes 93:17 | 105:13 | 24:25 | 69:11 72:6 | 106:21 | P 6:1 | 52:14 56:8 |
| moving | nondestru... | objections | 29:22 33:8 | 72:6,15 | open $88: 17$ | p.m 110:3,7 | 56:8 57:1 |
| 11:21 49:9 | 85:9 | 6:13 | 46:24 47:2 | 73:774:21 | 89:2,23 | 112:11 | 79:13,16 |
| 49:9 | Nope 59:15 | objective | 49:4,19 | 75:22 | 95:7 | Pacific 49:13 | 79:20 |
| Myers 4:25 | north 38:12 | 85:6 | 54:25 | 76:25 78:4 | opened | 49:18 | 80:16,17 |
|  | 43:15 49:3 | observation | 88:19 | 78:6,7,15 | 13:15 | page 5:3,9 | 109:11 |
| N | 96:22 | 60:20 | 101:5,17 | 78:20 80:9 | operates | 26:24 | 110:13 |
| N 5:1,1,1 6:1 | northeast | 61:10 | 103:12 | 84:2,8 | 16:25 | 27:25 29:7 | particular |
| name 8:11 | 37:14 | 77:12 | 104:10 | 88:22 | operation | 29:21 | 15:25 26:8 |
| 8:13 16:25 | northwest | observations | Ohio 14:2 | 89:18,24 | 39:4 43:16 | 30:16 37:2 | 34:11 |
| names | 37:14 | 62:19 66:9 | oil 11:12,15 | 90:13,25 | 73:6 | 42:20 | 35:23 |
| 115:17 | noted 114:18 | 76:13 | 11:16,21 | 91:3,3,12 | 102:19 | 58:17,18 | 36:12,17 |
| NATIONAL | 114:20 | 79:19 | 14:7,17 | 91:19,23 | operations | 67:22,22 | 37:22 39:6 |
| 113:25 | notes 27:22 | observe | 16:25 | 92:8,21 | 13:23 | 77:3,20 | 41:18 42:5 |
| 115:25 | 66:16 | 65:14 | 17:24 | 93:24 94:9 | 18:15,17 | 91:7,8 | 43:1 44:16 |
| 116:25 | notice 107:6 | observed | 45:22 63:4 | 95:2,13,21 | 43:13 46:1 | 95:17 | 44:19 |
| natural 2:17 | November | 93:6 94:3 | oilfield | 98:9 99:8 | 46:2 50:10 | 113:3 | 46:12,16 |
| 7:22 45:13 | 28:6,7,20 | 96:2 | 23:23 34:9 | 99:24 | opinion | 115:1 | 47:5,22 |
| 46:18 56:9 | nuisances | obviously | 36:13,13 | 109:10,24 | 102:3 | 116:5 | 49:11 53:5 |
| 57:2 | 92:3 | 31:15 | 37:9,10 | 111:23 | opportunity | pages 116:12 | 63:13 |
| 108:11 | number | 69:22 | 38:9 39:14 | 112:2 | 87:25 | pan 108:11 | 66:22 |
| naturally-... | 15:25 16:1 | 71:10 90:6 | 43:17 | Oklahoma | orchards | paper 90:15 | 70:24 71:8 |
| 22:2 | 29:23 | 95:6 97:24 | 47:23 49:7 | 14:5,10,18 | 13:18 | 90:19 | 72:21 |
| nature 30:9 | 60:24 66:1 | 102:17 | 57:24 | 15:3,21 | ordinary | 94:16 | 79:13 |
| navigation | numbers | 104:18 | 61:20 62:5 | 24:13 | 13:24 | paragraph | 86:21 |
| 18:19 | 28:17 | 105:25 | 64:17 | old 16:6,9 | 18:18 | 92:22 | 94:24 97:7 |
| near 73:3 | 62:11 63:2 | occasionally | oilfields | 32:17 | original | 95:22 | 100:14 |
| 75:19 | numerous | 53:12 | 14:17 | 34:12 | 116:4,5 | parish 1:2 | 102:4,12 |
| nearby | 12:1 14:17 | occasions | 17:18 | 35:15 36:9 | Orleans 2:8 | 6:22 16:8 | 106:14 |
| 52:13 | 17:3,3 | 69:15 | 18:16 | 37:9 38:9 | 4:9,19 | 31:4 32:10 | 108:6 |
| 66:17 | 102:16 | occupied | 21:22 | 38:13 | 11:6 12:5 | 32:11,11 | particularly |
| necessarily | nutrients | 44:22 45:7 | okay 8:22 | 39:21 | Ouachita | 34:7,14 | 94:18 |
| 70:7 | 65:13 | 45:15 | 9:16 10:3 | 43:17 | 14:3 | 35:1,8,16 | particulars |
| need 9:1 | 93:20 | occur 53:16 | 17:2 18:3 | 44:20 | outcome | 36:19 37:7 | 61:6 |
| 55:25 |  | 54:18 | 19:7 20:20 | 45:13 | 102:23 | 37:19 38:2 | parties 6:4 |
| 57:10 88:3 | 0 | occurred | 21:3,10 | 47:13,13 | 116:19 | 38:5,11,25 | 116:18 |
| 111:8 | O 5:1 6:1 | 22:1 43:12 | 25:11 | 49:6 57:2 | outdoor | 39:22,23 | parts 18:21 |
| needs $88: 8$ | O'Clock | 45:10 | 26:20 27:5 | 57:24 62:5 | 13:16 | 41:1,21 | pass 101:20 |
| neighborh... | 47:18 | 62:24 | 27:7,15 | on-site 97:11 | outfit 32:12 | 42:4 43:1 | pasture |
| 15:12,13 | 51:16 | Ocean 68:6 | 28:1,19,24 | once 86:2 | outside | 43:15 | 36:25,25 |
| 51:2,10 | oath 6:23 | 96:25 | 29:25 | 107:23,23 | 36:10 38:2 | 45:23 | 37:12 |
| 73:12 | 116:9 | October | 30:14 | one-man | 38:25 46:9 | 46:10,23 | 48:24 |
| network | Object 54:5 | 28:6 29:8 | 31:23 32:4 | 21:2 | 63:4 74:4 | 47:19 48:8 | pastureland |
| 93:18 | 70:17 81:1 | 61:24 | 32:9 33:14 | ones 17:3 | outwards | 49:3 51:18 | 35:4 36:21 |
| never 38:4 | 83:10 | 103:19 | 36:8 37:6 | 51:25 | 93:10 | 52:5 | 42:6 |
| New 1:7 2:8 | objection | officer 115:4 | 42:24 48:7 | 72:21 | overall | parishes | pasturelan... |
| 4:9,19 | 25:24 | officiated | 50:21 | 102:16 | 107:17 | 50:17 | 35:3 |
| 11:6 12:5 | 75:12 83:6 | 6:23 | 51:11 52:1 | ongoing | owned 50:2 | part 6:16 | pastures |
| 12:14 | 83:22 | offloading | 54:21 57:5 | 45:20 | ownerships | 19:25 33:9 | 81:18 |
| 43:24 | 84:17 | 11:15 | 57:12,16 | 54:23 | 47:21 | 34:24 35:2 | pathology |
| 51:23 | 85:21 | offshore | 58:23 59:7 | onshore | owns 49:4 | 35:3 42:8 | 10:10 |

Amerson White

| pattern | photographs | 43:10 | please 7:7 | 23:1,8 | 11:24 | 26:13 | 87:12 88:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 94:10 | 5:16 27:23 | 48:15,16 | 8:2,5,13 | 25:12 26:3 | 64:25 | 43:21 | pulled 27:2 |
| pauses | 67:5,6 | 64:22,23 | 9:1 10:5 | 37:13 | 95:10 | 48:12 51:9 | purposes 6:8 |
| 115:11 | 76:23 | 65:9 73:3 | 19:25 | 39:11 41:9 | 111:5 | 62:19 | push 108:8 |
| pay $81: 25$ | 79:21 | 74:14 75:1 | 20:11 37:2 | 74:3 | Procedure | 91:22 | put 32:14 |
| pecan 13:18 | phrase | 75:18 76:5 | 42:20 | Prejean | 6:7 115:5 | 99:25 | 34:22 |
| penetrate | 115:19 | 76:9 78:17 | 95:17 | 67:11,12 | 115:6 | program | 35:20,20 |
| 94:4 | phrases | 79:14,15 | 99:16 | 67:21 | proceed 8:25 | 11:20 13:5 | 42:7 55:13 |
| people 9:14 | 115:15 | 80:7,23 | plenty 106:9 | preparation | 110:23,23 | 13:11 | 74:1,15 |
| 9:15 20:25 | pictures 51:8 | 82:8 84:11 | plug 59:2 | 28:25 | 111:25 | 32:14,15 | 104:12 |
| 82:20 | piece 32:13 | 84:23 | plunk 66:2 | prepared | proceeding | 34:22 | 108:7 |
| 97:18 | 50:1 | 85:11,19 | plus 30:18 | 31:17 | 115:10,14 | project |  |
| percent | pine 50:10 | 87:1 92:1 | 50:15 | 116:14 | proceedings | 11:19,19 | Q |
| 17:11 18:2 | 50:10 | 92:11 93:8 | plywood | present 4:24 | 110:19 | 12:12 | qualified |
| 65:24,24 | 54:11 | 93:13 | 67:4 | 17:19 31:7 | processes | 17:23 | 18:6 19:11 |
| percentage | pipeline | 94:17 | Pollution | presentation | 45:5 | projects | 22:12 |
| 18:1 53:8 | 11:16 | 100:1,2,7 | 12:24 | 19:2 | produce | 11:8,10,10 | 23:25 |
| perfectly | 14:20 | 100:16,25 | pond 39:3,4 | presenting | 47:17 81:9 | 12:1,2,13 | 24:22 25:7 |
| 71:20 | 39:19,24 | 101:3,4 | 39:7 | 13:5 | produced | 13:20 | 25:10,12 |
| periodically | Pisani 45:19 | 103:24 | ponds 38:19 | pretty 55:16 | 46:7,13 | proper | 25:19,21 |
| 17:22 | pit 34:5 62:6 | 104:19,19 | poor 85:24 | 66:18 | 102:21,23 | 63:21 65:8 | question |
| 59:19 | 69:1,9,12 | 104:24,25 | 85:25 | 91:23 | production | 81:7 | 6:14 10:21 |
| periods | 70:11,21 | 105:3 | population | 104:14 | 3:16 32:17 | 115:13 | 21:16 55:2 |
| 106:10,11 | 70:22 71:3 | 107:22 | 53:8 69:19 | 105:21 | 104:22 | properly | 57:17 70:8 |
| permitting | 72:4 74:24 | 108:1,7 | portion | 109:14 | productive | 65:1,2 | 70:12 83:1 |
| 12:25 | 75:9 76:2 | plantations | 29:10 38:7 | prevented | 12:18 | properties | 83:2 |
| persist 84:9 | 77:13 | 54:11 | 56:24 | 85:17 | Products | 38:8 | questions |
| personal | 78:16,25 | planted | ports 12:14 | primarily | 39:19 | property | 90:7 |
| 116:15 | 79:1,12,16 | 32:19,22 | position | 21:20,22 | profile 33:16 | 16:16 31:7 | Queue 48:9 |
| perspective | 80:6,11 | 34:19 | 80:11 | 23:21 | 33:20 | 32:13 | quick 52:12 |
| 62:16 | 99:25 | 46:17 75:1 | positioned | 36:11,14 | 62:15 | 33:15 | quickly 28:4 |
| pesticide | 101:3 | 75:4 78:14 | 50:7 79:2 | 38:13 | 64:14,22 | 35:10,15 |  |
| 13:16 | pits 34:4 | 78:19 | possible | 39:12,16 | 65:6,14,16 | 44:12,14 | R |
| petroleum | 72:7,8 | 104:1 | 30:20 | 43:19 52:7 | 65:20 | 50:1,5 | R.S 116:10 |
| 39:15 | 74:21,24 | plants 18:11 | post 11:2 | 61:9,19 | 66:20,23 | 51:3 56:5 | rain 103:16 |
| 64:18 | 80:4 | 25:13,15 | potential | 82:2 | 67:5 68:15 | 58:3,5 | raise 8:5 |
| PhD 1:16 8:6 | place 16:11 | 26:9,13 | 11:17,24 | primary | 68:21 | 62:17,18 | ran 13:11 |
| 10:9,10,21 | 35:24 | 31:10 | 32:21 | 13:24 22:6 | 69:12 | 65:2 68:25 | range 54:15 |
| 10:25 11:1 | 107:1 | 42:11 | 39:10 62:3 | 22:6 | 70:22,23 | 102:4 | 68:10 |
| 11:1 | places 97:22 | 48:25 49:9 | 62:3 67:8 | Primeaux | 72:4 74:17 | 103:7 | Rapides |
| Phone 2:9,22 | plaintiff 2:3 | 49:9 63:13 | potentially | 42:13,14 | 74:24 75:7 | Protection | 32:11 |
| 3:9,21 | 7:11 | 64:21 | 39:6 | 42:15 | 79:23 80:5 | 13:4 | read 88:10 |
| 4:10,20 | plaintiffs | 66:19 | power 59:3 | private 16:4 | 80:6,19 | provide | reading 6:9 |
| phonetically | 8:12 | 75:10 76:1 | 109:15 | probably | 81:7,9,19 | 17:16 | 75:17 |
| 115:19 | plaintiffs' | 80:22 | 110:10,15 | 8:24 14:11 | 81:20 | provided | readings |
| phosphate | 102:18 | 85:14 | 110:17 | 15:11 17:1 | 82:25 85:4 | 15:14 16:2 | 55:14 |
| 13:21,22 | plan 55:11 | 86:24 | 111:17 | 17:8 25:17 | 85:6 87:7 | providing | ready $89: 25$ |
| photo 77:10 | 55:13,17 | 101:16 | Poydras 2:7 | 25:18 | 100:12 | 17:14 94:4 | really $25: 5$ |
| 77:11 78:5 | 67:18 | 102:5 | 4:8,18 | 29:22,23 | 101:3,12 | public 13:6 | 26:24 31:2 |
| 79:1 | 111:18 | Plaquemines | practice | 47:7 50:19 | 102:10 | published | 58:18 64:4 |
| photograph | planned 56:7 | 37:7 46:25 | 23:6 | 51:9 65:24 | 106:6 | 90:25 | 70:9,12 |
| 66:9 78:5 | planning | plastic 67:3 | practices | 73:23 | profiled | pull 15:20 | 72:2 83:1 |
| 78:9 79:14 | 56:20 | play 98:14 | 16:14 | 109:21,22 | 45:17 | 27:7 40:18 | 85:23 |
| 79:20 | plant 10:10 | players 9:10 | 19:15 22:1 | problems | profiles | 58:876:18 | 91:12 98:4 |
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| 108:14 | 26:10 | reporter | 50:9 61:25 | 102:1,5 | 62:11,19 | 66:1,3,12 | 73:9,22,23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 109:20 | 36:24 | 1:23 6:21 | 63:20 | 103:21 | 62:25 63:1 | 67:13 | 74:2 78:17 |
| Realty 47:10 | 39:14 40:4 | 8:2,4,8 | 87:25 | 105:11 | 63:24,25 | 68:13,15 | 78:19 |
| reason 71:6 | 61:9 64:17 | 115:3 | 97:11 | 107:22 | 64:3 66:7 | 68:21 | 80:12 |
| 95:2 96:6 | 66:13 70:9 | 116:7 | 110:12 | 109:20 | 66:19,19 | 69:19,22 | rows 72:10 |
| reasonable | 116:17 | reporter's | reviews | 110:9 | 67:8 68:1 | 71:5,7,10 | 72:11,13 |
| 69:21 | relates 23:21 | 115:1,13 | 17:18 | 111:13,14 | 68:4,7,9 | 72:3 74:5 | 72:16,17 |
| recall 61:21 | relationship | 116:1 | rice 45:23 | 111:23 | 68:15 70:2 | 74:13,15 | 73:2,19 |
| 67:10 68:6 | 18:11 22:7 | reporting | 46:2,2 | right-hand | 70:3,22,23 | 74:20 75:6 | 74:22 |
| RECAP 24:9 | reliance 5:17 | 116:13 | 48:19 | 80:15 | 71:15 | 75:17 76:8 | 75:20 |
| recess 20:15 | 26:24 | reports | Richard | 95:21 | 74:12,17 | 78:22 | 78:10 |
| 60:8 110:4 | 27:21 | 17:20 51:7 | 2:24 7:21 | risk 23:14 | 80:13,13 | 79:22 80:2 | RPR 1:22 |
| reconnected | 28:10 | represent | richard.m... | Ritchie 46:8 | 80:23 82:8 | 80:19 81:4 | 113:25 |
| 60:2 | relying | 8:12 9:17 | 2:25 | River 14:2,3 | 82:25 | 81:10,12 | 115:25 |
| record 8:14 | 108:19 | 62:12 | Richland | 14:3,4,4,4 | 84:12,20 | 81:21 | 116:25 |
| 20:10,13 | remediate | representa... | 42:4 49:3 | 14:4 34:16 | 84:20 | 82:15 | Rule 115:4 |
| 20:17 60:6 | 24:19 | 13:2 63:11 | rid 12:18 | 39:22,23 | 85:19 86:1 | 84:19 85:3 | rules 8:23 |
| 60:10 | remediation | represented | right 8:5,20 | 44:21,23 | 86:15,23 | 85:8,13 | 115:5 |
| 66:12,21 | 24:11,12 | 62:23 | 9:24 10:12 | 44:25 45:6 | 86:25 87:2 | 86:3,17,19 | run 16:12 |
| 84:10 | 24:16 | REPRESE... | 15:24 28:8 | 45:15 | 90:20 91:4 | 87:3,4,5,5 | 32:7,7,25 |
| 109:25 | 45:20 55:1 | 2:3,16 | 28:9,13 | 47:20 52:8 | 91:14,25 | 87:9 91:16 | 48:18 |
| 110:2,6 | 55:11,17 | 3:15 4:3 | 29:1 32:1 | 52:9 | 92:10,11 | 91:17 92:4 | 69:22 70:2 |
| 112:11 | 56:5,15,19 | 4:14 | 32:10 33:7 | rivers 13:25 | 94:10,11 | 93:6,8,16 | 70:2 71:5 |
| 115:8 | 63:12,21 | represents | 33:8,10,19 | road $32: 8$ | 96:2 97:23 | 93:23 94:1 | 71:7 73:2 |
| recording | remember | 62:12 | 36:9 38:2 | 111:9 | 102:15 | 94:3,17,19 | rundown |
| 20:6 | 9:18 31:16 | research | 38:25 | roads 111:18 | 103:25 | 95:7 96:1 | 14:23 |
| Red 14:4 | 32:25 | 11:2 12:12 | 40:15 46:9 | root 5:12,15 | 104:13 | 96:4 97:7 | running 20:7 |
| 39:22,23 | 33:23,25 | 67:25 | 47:19 49:4 | 5:19 17:18 | 105:25 | 97:11,15 | Russell |
| 44:23,25 | 44:9 49:5 | 68:20 70:5 | 49:24 | 25:14 31:8 | 106:4,13 | 98:16,25 | 45:22 |
| 45:6,15 | 103:23 | 91:1 | 50:18,25 | 31:20 34:3 | 106:18 | 100:9,13 |  |
| 52:8,9 | 108:23 | Reserve | 51:14 | 34:14 35:5 | 107:10 | 101:2,8,13 | S |
| redacted | rendering | 32:15 | 58:15 60:4 | 35:9,14 | 108:20 | 101:23 | S 3:23 5:7 |
| 29:11,19 | 102:2 | reserved | 63:5 64:4 | 36:10,23 | rooting | 102:3,11 | 6:1 62:12 |
| redaction | repeat 19:25 | 6:15 | 69:9 72:9 | 37:15,21 | 31:10 | 104:9,11 | S1 62:13 |
| 29:16 30:1 | repeated | reserves | 73:10,25 | 38:6,15,22 | 33:13 | 105:10,16 | S2 62:14 |
| Reese 34:6 | 94:10 | 94:5 | 74:12,25 | 40:7,11 | 37:20 48:2 | 105:17 | S3 62:14 |
| refer 67:15 | rephrase | resources | 75:3 76:4 | 41:16 42:2 | 51:4,5 | 107:12,17 | S4 62:14 |
| reference | 21:17 | 1:11 4:4 | 76:4,5 | 42:6,11,18 | 52:18 | 107:24 | 77:11 78:6 |
| 115:18 | report 5:11 | 7:17 11:7 | 78:10,11 | 43:7,18 | 64:11 | roots' 93:13 | safe 111:6 |
| referenced | 5:14 25:2 | responsible | 78:18,21 | 44:4,4,11 | 80:21 | 93:19 | sake 61:15 |
| 68:2 | 34:1 38:4 | 93:19 | 79:12,14 | 44:19 46:6 | 107:21 | roots,' 94:2 | salt 35:15 |
| references | 46:7,13 | responsive... | 82:7 83:1 | 46:11,20 | rootlet 66:3 | rope 91:16 | salting 23:22 |
| 27:22 | 47:17 | 6:14 | 84:13,15 | 46:21 | rootlets | 94:2,18,19 | 26:10 |
| refers 67:23 | 58:14 | restoration | 88:15 | 47:24 | 65:11 | rotted 63:17 | 39:16 63:9 |
| regime 106:8 | 60:18 | 46:14 | 89:14,18 | 48:23 | roots 26:3,7 | Rouge 2:21 | 64:18 |
| regimes | 61:11 | 67:18 | 90:19 92:1 | 49:10,14 | 36:16 | 35:11 | saltwater |
| 25:14 | 67:18,23 | restricted | 92:11,13 | 50:8,24 | 43:23 | 46:15 | 42:4 |
| 107:9 | 68:2 71:1 | 96:3 | 93:15,15 | 51:13 52:6 | 52:13,23 | 111:7 | sample |
| region 13:21 | 76:23 77:9 | résumé 25:2 | 93:21 94:6 | 52:10,10 | 53:10,11 | routinely | 70:12 72:1 |
| relate 24:16 | 95:10 | retained | 94:13,20 | 52:17,22 | 53:16,21 | 96:4,5 | samples |
| related | 102:22 | 16:22 | 95:15 | 53:2,8,15 | 54:12 63:9 | routings | 56:22 |
| 12:25 16:7 | reported | Reunion | 96:15 | 54:2,13,17 | 63:16 65:8 | 11:16 | sampling |
| 16:15 24:4 | 1:21 68:8 | 68:5 | 100:6,24 | 58:2,2 | 65:10,10 | row 65:7 | 96:2 |
| 24:6,17 | 116:13 | review 47:11 | 101:14 | 60:20 61:9 | 65:15,17 | 72:18 73:8 | San 12:15 |
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| satisfied | 76:15 | sheet 66:13 | 24:24 25:5 | slice 78:21 | somewhat | specific | stay 104:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65:3,4 | 78:24 79:1 | 67:3 113:1 | 44:8 55:2 | sliced 100:7 | 106:11 | 53:23,25 | 104:11 |
| 71:20 | 79:21,24 | ship 41:2 | 56:17 59:9 | slopes 72:24 | soon 55:16 | 85:13 | stems 64:25 |
| save 6:13 | 81:21 | shoot 93:6,9 | 70:6 77:16 | sloppy 16:14 | sorry 9:25 | 103:5,5 | stenotype |
| saying 94:14 | 86:19 | shooter 65:5 | sister 49:4 | small 17:23 | 10:1 15:5 | specifically | 116:13 |
| says 58:25 | 90:14 | shooting | site 32:16,23 | 32:16 50:1 | 15:17 | 6:10,12 | Steve 49:12 |
| 59:13 68:7 | 91:17,21 | 78:9 | 34:10 | 53:8 65:11 | 17:12 | 88:2 | 49:17,19 |
| 94:9 95:25 | 91:21 | short 20:15 | 35:19 | 81:14 96:4 | 40:14 58:5 | spelled | 50:9 |
| 100:8 | 93:14 | 60:8 110:4 | 37:15,22 | smaller 45:8 | 62:8 | 115:19 | stick 66:18 |
| school 46:23 | 95:24 | shoulders | 37:24,25 | Smith 90:21 | sort 41:14 | spend 28:3 | 70:14 |
| 91:15 | 97:24 | 73:4,25 | 39:6,10,11 | SNG 9:13 | 92:5 | spill $39: 20$ | sticking 54:7 |
| scientific | 99:10 | 74:1 | 40:3 41:8 | so-called | sought 6:17 | 40:1 42:5 | STIPULA... |
| 82:24 | 100:8,9,20 | shovels 82:3 | 43:23 45:7 | 14:9 17:21 | sound 33:7 | spills 11:17 | 6:3 |
| scientist | 101:12 | show 21:2 | 45:20 46:1 | 22:8 | 50:18 | 14:21 | stools 94:13 |
| 19:12,13 | 103:7,12 | 74:12 | 46:5 47:5 | sodium | Sounds 60:4 | spontaneous | stop 96:15 |
| Scott 43:15 | 105:22 | 78:25 | 47:11,24 | 26:11 | south 36:15 | 115:10 | stream 45:1 |
| screen 7:6 | 107:2,3 | 79:21,23 | 47:25 48:3 | 39:17 | 46:15 | spray 13:15 | 45:2,3,4,8 |
| 27:8 | 110:11 | 87:8,9 | 49:1 50:14 | soil 19:12,13 | 96:18 97:4 | sprayed | 45:9 |
| scroll 29:9 | 111:16 | 88:2 | 56:14,19 | 23:22,24 | 97:15 98:6 | 66:17 | Street 2:7,20 |
| 79:6 88:4 | seeing 78:12 | showed | 57:16 | 26:15 | 98:23 | spring 104:3 | 3:19 4:8 |
| 91:6 92:21 | seen 53:3 | 106:17 | 60:24 61:3 | 33:16 | 99:13 | St 1:2 6:22 | 4:18 |
| se $26: 1$ | 54:10 | showing | 61:4,5,12 | 43:21,22 | 103:3,13 | 34:15 | striker 65:10 |
| seal 116:5 | 56:11,12 | 91:15 | 61:17 62:1 | 44:24 51:8 | southeast | 37:18 43:1 | 87:4,5 |
| sealing 6:11 | 56:13,18 | 100:14 | 62:5 68:14 | 54:19 | 58:4 | 47:19 | 105:17 |
| season | 56:19 | shown 79:17 | 69:8,8 | 55:14 | Southern | stages 48:16 | striker-type |
| 103:10 | 90:22 | 94:13 | 70:10 73:6 | 62:15,15 | 2:16 7:22 | stand 65:4 | 92:4 |
| second 20:11 | semester | shows 77:9 | 75:24,25 | 62:17 | southwest | 66:14 | striking 92:4 |
| 27:3 59:1 | 11:4 | 102:6,10 | 83:4 85:15 | 65:20 | 58:5 61:22 | 77:11 78:5 | stringers |
| 108:21 | send 88:15 | shrub 34:10 | 108:18 | 66:10 67:1 | 62:5,21 | 104:5,7,13 | 53:13 |
| second-year | 89:1 | shut 111:11 | sites 21:25 | 67:2 68:4 | soybean | 105:2,5 | studied |
| 104:8 | sent 26:22 | sic 33:17,23 | 22:1 24:18 | 68:5,21,24 | 16:13 | stands 19:22 | 31:15 |
| 105:24 | separating | side 33:20 | 38:15 | 69:6 71:14 | 47:13 | 63:10,20 | 37:21 |
| 108:25,25 | 84:24 | 36:21,23 | 43:21 | 74:19,19 | soybeans | 78:12 | 42:10 |
| see 13:12 | September | 69:25 73:3 | 48:16 | 81:9 92:14 | 39:12 43:5 | Stanton 4:21 | studies 17:18 |
| 19:7,10 | 28:5 | 74:2,15 | 52:11 | 92:16 | 51:20 | 7:23,24 | 25:17 |
| 21:17 27:2 | seq 6:7 | 75:7 78:13 | 53:14 | 93:20 94:5 | 104:24 | start 32:6,7 | 26:18 35:5 |
| 29:20 | series 58:15 | 78:24 | 57:24 | 95:6 98:2 | space 76:12 | started 21:4 | 35:9 41:17 |
| 31:20 33:1 | 60:18 | 80:15,15 | 63:11 | 98:12,12 | SPALDING | 104:3 | 42:18 43:7 |
| 39:20 | service | 92:23 | 64:12 69:3 | 98:12 | 3:6 | starting | 46:21 |
| 40:18 | 13:15 | 95:11,21 | 69:21 80:6 | 106:6,7,14 | Spanish | 72:19 | 52:18,22 |
| 51:15,22 | Services | 100:19 | situ $82: 17,17$ | 106:16 | 46:14,15 | starts 95:22 | 53:3 54:2 |
| 53:5,9,12 | 21:1 | 101:6,6 | situation | 107:7 | sparse 65:23 | state 1:3 | 63:1,24 |
| 57:21,22 | serving | side's 102:25 | 102:25 | 108:4 | 65:25 | 6:22 8:13 | 64:3 84:20 |
| 58:23 | 41:11 | signature | 111:17 | soils 18:11 | Spatial 68:3 | 12:16 | 90:8 97:23 |
| 59:25,25 | servitude | 114:14 | situations | 18:22 | speak 36:4 | 46:22 | 103:20 |
| 60:1,20,22 | 18:19 | 116:4 | 18:13 35:5 | 21:23 23:9 | speaking | 47:14 | study 5:12 |
| 61:24 | set 73:1,21 | Signed | 42:16 | 23:22 | 105:18 | 48:15 | 5:15,18 |
| 64:23 | 100:10 | 114:14,18 | 102:14 | 24:16 | 107:25 | 50:17 | 31:9 33:13 |
| 65:15 | 116:11 | 114:20 | skipped | 26:12 52:8 | specialist | 115:3,8 | 34:13,14 |
| 66:11 | settled 54:24 | signing 6:9 | 49:13 | 62:16 95:6 | 11:7 | 116:7 | 35:12,14 |
| 68:13,17 | 55:4,7 | similar 95:8 | 51:21 | 97:3,4 | species 31:14 | states 13:6 | 36:10,24 |
| 70:3 71:1 | Shaffer | simplistic-t... | sleet 59:16 | 98:22 | 37:16,17 | 14:22 19:3 | 37:9,15,19 |
| 71:10 | 41:20 | 92:6 | sleeting 57:9 | somebody | 47:6,8 | 19:4 | 38:6,15,23 |
| 74:13 76:7 | sharp 65:5 | sir 10:5 18:8 | slept 31:16 | 109:4 | 62:23 | station 12:10 | 40:7,11 |
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| 42:2,6 | 58:3,3 | 40:3 41:16 | 112:1,5 | 39:5 | 18:23 19:5 | three 51:14 | town 36:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43:18 44:5 | 61:18,21 | survey 31:11 | table 108:9 | technology | 21:24 22:5 | 55:9 91:15 | 38:2,25 |
| 46:11,21 | 62:3,12,18 | surveys 64:6 | tables 92:17 | 9:4 | 22:14 23:2 | 93:7 | Toxicologist |
| 47:4,24 | 63:24 64:5 | swallow 48:4 | tail 48:9 | tedious 81:8 | 23:23 | throw 19:9 | 23:16 |
| 48:24 | 64:5,12,15 | SWANSON | tailor 63:12 | tell 9:8 30:9 | 24:18 26:4 | tiller 94:11 | toxicology |
| 49:10,14 | 65:7 67:13 | 2:6 | tailored | 31:3,5 | 27:20 | Tillman | 23:17 |
| 50:8,24 | 68:1,3,7 | swear 8:3 | 68:19 | 55:6 61:11 | 31:16,17 | 34:13,21 | trackhoe |
| 51:13 | 68:17 | sworn 8:7 | tailoring | 61:12 78:8 | 34:20 | tilt 83:17 | 81:14,24 |
| 52:17 | 70:24 72:9 | 115:7 | 97:6 | 78:8 79:10 | 41:10 46:5 | timber 36:22 | transcribed |
| 60:20 61:9 | 73:6,20,20 | 116:10 | take 10:3 | 90:4 97:14 | 55:10 56:2 | 36:24 | 116:14 |
| 62:11,25 | 74:8 75:10 | Symposium | 25:2 30:23 | 109:15 | 56:21,22 | 41:16,23 | transcript |
| 63:25 66:7 | 77:11 78:6 | 67:25 | 51:8 52:12 | terminology | 62:6 65:2 | 42:16 | 115:16 |
| 67:23 | 80:22 | symptoms | 57:5 59:1 | 73:13 | 82:3 | time 6:15 7:5 | 116:4,16 |
| 74:10 85:1 | 81:22 82:2 | 64:24 | 59:19,21 | terms 11:9 | 105:18 | 9:1 11:5 | transcripti... |
| 85:7 86:10 | 85:8 86:24 | system 5:20 | 59:25 | Terrebonne | 108:4 | 14:9 15:23 | 114:6 |
| 86:17,19 | 87:1,10 | 11:12 | 65:16 67:4 | 41:21 | think 8:23 | 20:14 28:3 | 115:14 |
| 87:19 89:1 | 90:20 | 41:15 67:8 | 67:6 73:1 | terrible | 17:7 20:3 | 28:3 37:11 | transmission |
| 90:22 95:4 | 91:14,25 | 68:4 80:23 | 73:21 | 21:16 | 21:8,8 | 45:21 47:3 | 14:21 |
| 102:15 | 92:11 93:8 | 82:9 84:12 | 81:13,20 | testified | 27:2 33:4 | 48:5 61:13 | travel |
| 106:20 | 94:12,17 | 85:19 | 101:18 | 105:8 | 33:24 | 67:14 | 110:16 |
| stuff 12:8 | 95:25 96:4 | 90:20 | taken 1:16 | testify $8: 8$ | 36:19 | 74:20 | tree 50:11 |
| 16:7 24:20 | 96:18,23 | 91:14 | 6:6 20:15 | 25:7,20 | 40:15 | 82:20 88:8 | 62:24 |
| 25:3 51:6 | 97:5,13,13 | 94:12 | 29:23 60:8 | 116:11 | 42:14 44:6 | timeframe | 63:25 64:3 |
| 56:3 81:5 | 97:15 | 103:25 | 110:4 | testifying | 47:13,14 | 62:20 | trees 19:20 |
| 82:15 86:5 | 98:14,24 | 105:25 | 113:21 | 21:19 29:5 | 48:17 49:5 | times 18:15 | 32:24 |
| 90:9 | 101:15 | 106:5,13 | 114:25 | testimony | 49:13,21 | 55:9 61:12 | 34:11 |
| stupid 83:13 | 102:11 | 108:20 | 115:7 | 16:3 17:15 | 50:3 55:24 | tiny 66:3 | 36:14,14 |
| sub-soil | 103:6,10 | systems | talk 9:2,3 | 17:16 24:5 | 56:10 | today 9:9 | 38:14 |
| 93:11 | 104:18 | 11:22,25 | 55:25 | 114:5,6 | 57:10 59:5 | 22:9 | 62:22 |
| subject 31:7 | 108:13,20 | 12:4 22:5 | 63:23 | 115:7 | 59:9 60:13 | 110:19 | tremendous |
| submitted | suit 32:18 |  | talking 22:9 | 116:12 | 61:11 | 112:9 | 24:5 |
| 28:10 | Suite 2:7,20 | T | 22:14 26:7 | Texas 3:8 | 67:12,21 | told 21:15 | trial 24:22 |
| 55:19,21 | 3:7 4:8,18 | T 5:1,76:1,1 | 29:18 | 11:14 | 69:25 | 59:17,18 | 24:23 |
| succeeded | superficial | Tab 26:22 | 56:15 70:7 | 14:18 | 77:13 | 69:15 | trials 25:1 |
| 42:1 | 91:16 | 58:8 87:13 | 102:15 | Thank 83:25 | 88:24,24 | 74:23 | tried 81:5 |
| succession | 93:19 | Taber 3:11 | talkovers | 84:4,6 | 89:10 | 78:18 | trough 72:12 |
| 34:10 | 100:9 | 7:12,13 | 115:12 | 88:20 | 90:23 | 109:4 | Troutman |
| 36:13,13 | supervision | 25:23 30:3 | tallow 36:14 | 112:6 | 101:1 | Tomlinson | 3:23 7:18 |
| 37:11 | 116:15 | 30:11 54:4 | tankers | Thanks | 102:22 | 47:10 | 7:19 83:9 |
| 47:15 | support | 70:16 | 11:15 | 112:4 | 109:5,8,19 | top 32:7 | trowel |
| sugar 5:19 | 63:22 | 75:11 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a p }} 54: 11$ | thereof 6:16 | 109:21 | 54:19 | 101:18 |
| 25:22 26:1 | sure 30:12 | 80:25 83:5 | tape 65:16 | thickened | 110:14 | 72:24 73:3 | true 28:23 |
| 26:2,5,6 | 46:7 66:10 | 84:16 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a x }} 29: 23$ | 93:10 | thinner | 78:4,22 | 114:7 |
| 26:10 | 67:7 69:18 | 85:20 | Te 39:19 | thing 56:24 | 93:17 | 79:13,19 | 116:15 |
| 37:22,23 | 71:21 | 87:17,22 | tear 81:25 | 76:6 79:17 | third 91:7 | 80:14,17 | trusting |
| 43:2,3 | 72:20 73:7 | 88:12,18 | Tech 10:13 | 85:10 | 94:1 | tops 75:20 | 102:2 |
| 44:18 | 74:11,16 | 89:11 | 10:14 | 86:21 | Thorburn | 86:4 | try 17:13 |
| 45:12,16 | 75:5 76:7 | 94:21 96:8 | Teche 44:13 | 87:23 92:5 | 90:21 | Tortue 48:9 | 63:19 |
| 45:17,17 | 76:11 80:1 | 98:17 | 44:22 | 98:1 107:3 | thought | total 28:16 | 68:14 86:1 |
| 47:25 48:2 | 81:21 87:2 | 102:7 | technical | things 11:17 | 115:12 | 74:17 | 96:16 |
| 51:13,19 | surface | 105:12 | 13:2,11 | 12:20 13:9 | thousand | totaled | trying 15:24 |
| 52:13,22 | 68:11 75:2 | 109:16 | technique | 13:17,18 | 32:20 | 28:15 | 15:25 25:6 |
| 53:3,10 | 93:20 99:3 | 111:1,12 | 85:1 | 14:12,15 | thousands | totally 86:7 | 36:19 41:7 |
| 54:1,8 | surrounding | 111:14 | techniques | 14:21,24 | 97:19 | 111:11 | 63:12 |
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| 74:11,11 | 96:3 97:16 | usually | 48:8 | 98:22 | 18:19 | wetlands 1:6 | wood 35:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86:9 | 103:12 | 53:19 | version 89:1 |  | waterlines | 2:4 13:10 | wooded |
| Tucker 34:3 |  | 66:18 | versus 1:9 | W | 13:25 | 13:11 19:1 | 16:15 |
| Tureau | U | 72:25 | 45:22 | wait 19:17 | waterways | 40:8 41:19 | 41:23 |
| 38:17 | U 6:1 | 73:24 | 49:12,17 | 52:25,25 | 12:10 | 44:14 | woodlands |
| turn 26:21 | U.S 11:5 | 104:13 | vertical 94:3 | 110:15,15 | way 12:15 | 51:24 | 42:8 46:12 |
| turned | 13:3 | 109:13 | video 7:4,6 | 111:24 | 27:9 33:4 | 67:19 | woody 42:11 |
| 101:23 | U.S.A 3:3 |  | 9:21 20:5 | waived 6:10 | 44:24 50:7 | 103:7 | 49:9 |
| turtle 48:10 | Uh-huh | V | 20:7 59:5 | 6:12 | 57:7 61:15 | 106:23 | woody-type |
| two 21:24 | 91:18,20 | v 33:22 | 59:10 | walk 10:24 | 68:20 72:2 | 108:18 | 35:5 |
| 39:1 41:3 | 93:3 | 38:10 | VIDEOC... | 28:4 61:2 | 74:8 75:8 | wheat 34:20 | words 80:14 |
| 41:13 | Uh-oh | valid 116:3 | 1:15 | walking 62:1 | 76:15 | WHITE | 115:15,17 |
| 47:18 | 109:17 | variables | Videograp... | want 28:2,4 | 78:14 | 1:21 6:21 | work 10:24 |
| 51:13,16 | underfit | 92:18 | 4:25 7:1 | 30:20,23 | 80:21 | 113:22 | 12:12,16 |
| 51:24 55:9 | 45:1,2,8 | variation | 8:19:20 | 57:5,6 | 82:21,22 | 115:2,22 | 13:7,10,15 |
| 70:10,13 | underneath | 106:17 | 20:2,12,16 | 58:19 | 82:24 | 116:6,22 | 14:21 16:5 |
| 73:2 76:10 | 74:6 100:2 | 107:4,6 | 27:10 | 60:15 63:9 | 83:13,14 | whoa 53:2,2 | 16:9,10 |
| 78:12 | 100:5 | variations | 29:12 | 67:15 | 84:25 85:7 | 53:2 86:13 | 17:12,14 |
| 104:7,15 | 102:5 | 92:15 | 30:24 37:3 | 74:16 75:5 | 101:6,11 | 86:13 | 17:17,21 |
| 107:15 | understand | various 12:2 | 40:19 | 75:25 | 101:23 | 109:15 | 17:23 |
| two-year | 70:6,20 | 12:6 13:17 | 42:21 | 80:10,10 | 108:7 | wide 72:15 | 18:10,13 |
| 107:2 | 72:7 73:8 | 20:25 | 58:11,20 | 81:18 | Wayne | widely 96:1 | 18:16,25 |
| two-year-o... | understan... | 21:24 23:2 | 60:5,9 | 82:16 83:8 | 67:21 | widths 72:22 | 19:20,21 |
| 103:23 | 25:6 75:25 | 23:23 25:1 | 64:7 76:19 | 84:9 88:2 | ways $35: 17$ | William 4:25 | 21:20 22:7 |
| TX 1:22 | 84:10 | 25:1,13 | 77:17,24 | 90:4,10,10 | 36:5 41:6 | Willis 42:25 | 22:23 23:8 |
| 113:23 | 91:24 | 36:5 42:10 | 79:7 83:15 | 91:12 | week 9:14 | witness 6:5 | 23:17,20 |
| 115:23 | 108:24 | 46:4 48:13 | 84:3 87:15 | 97:10 98:5 | week's 29:1 | 8:3 9:23 | 24:3 28:21 |
| 116:23 | 110:10,22 | 48:15,16 | 88:14,21 | 105:5,23 | weekend | 16:3 17:15 | 28:25 |
| type 25:15 | 116:17 | vary 69:7 | 89:9,13,17 | 109:13 | 111:21 | 25:25 | 32:21 |
| 31:5,6 | understood | vast 50:21 | 90:16 91:9 | wanted | weighing | 27:12 37:5 | 34:17 38:3 |
| 34:9 66:7 | 108:23 | 54:16 | 92:25 | 68:13 | 84:21 | 40:17,21 | 41:13,24 |
| 86:10 | unfamiliar | vegetation | 95:18 | 71:21 82:7 | went 10:9 | 42:23 54:6 | 50:16 51:2 |
| 92:14,16 | 73:15 | 5:11,14 | 99:17,21 | 83:4 86:15 | 11:5 12:9 | 57:8,13 | 51:4,19 |
| 98:2,12 | United 13:6 | 18:22 | 110:1,5 | 102:17 | 13:14,19 | 59:6,11 | 55:3 56:5 |
| 106:7,15 | 19:2,4 | 21:23 | 112:7 | wanting | 61:20 | 60:3 70:18 | 81:6,8 |
| 106:16 | universe | 23:10 | videotaped | 34:8 77:14 | 62:21 | 75:13 | 82:13,14 |
| types 25:13 | 95:12 | 24:17 | 1:15 7:2 | 85:2 86:22 | 66:22 | 76:24 77:7 | 83:2 97:6 |
| 31:19 | unscientific | 32:19,22 | Vienna | wants $88: 11$ | 69:17 70:8 | 77:21 78:1 | worked 11:4 |
| 34:11 39:2 | 63:19 | 34:2,23 | 67:25 | wash 59:20 | 71:3 80:1 | 81:2 83:7 | 11:11 12:1 |
| 41:3,17 | 83:13 86:7 | 35:9,11,18 | view 65:8 | 86:18 | 104:4 | 83:11 84:5 | 12:10 13:1 |
| 43:22 | upland-type | 35:19 | 78:22 | Washington | weren't | 84:18 | 14:1,10,15 |
| 44:24 47:8 | 33:18 | 36:25 | 92:19 | 12:16,23 | 14:13 | 85:22 89:4 | 14:16,16 |
| 54:3 62:17 | uplands 22:4 | 37:20 | 100:11 | wasn't 83:1 | 107:12 | 93:2 94:23 | 15:1,19 |
| 62:24 | upside 74:15 | 38:21 | 110:11 | water 10:7 | west $33: 20$ | 96:10 | 16:2,6,19 |
| 63:13 | uptake 65:12 | 41:25 | viewing 85:8 | 12:24 | 35:10 | 98:19 99:6 | 17:1 18:10 |
| 68:24 | 93:19 | 48:25 49:7 | visit 57:16 | 34:19 | 46:24 | 102:9 | 18:18,24 |
| 91:15 93:7 | USDA 32:15 | 50:3 58:1 | 61:18 | 41:11 48:4 | western | 105:14 | 25:4 30:17 |
| 107:7 | use $17: 19$ | 64:15 | visited 61:18 | 48:21 | 11:14,14 | 109:18 | 34:5 35:16 |
| typical 63:21 | 20:4 41:8 | 68:16 | 62:4 | 65:12 | wet 42:9 | 110:24 | 37:8 41:4 |
| 66:6 73:6 | 70:13 | Velma 48:7 | visits 60:24 | 92:17 | 108:13 | 111:3 | 41:15 |
| 78:13 | 72:22 | verified | 61:3,4,5 | 93:20 94:5 | wet-type | 113:20 | 45:18,24 |
| 97:12 98:6 | 104:8 | 115:17 | vogue 14:12 | 103:15 | 38:13 | 114:1 | 50:1 55:11 |
| typically | 110:12 | Vermilion | volcanic | 108:9 | wetland 19:1 | Witness' | working |
| 66:6 74:8 | uses 12:18 | 34:6 45:23 | 95:5,6 | waterline | 19:3 32:15 | 114:14 | 9:12 11:7 |
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| 15:22 17:5 | Z | 71:9,23 | 2018 28:6 | 4-68:18 | 713.276.73... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41:5 55:18 | zero 53:24 | 73:24 | 61:17 | 40 50:15 | 3:9 |
| works 11:7 | Zoe 42:3 | 97:17 99:3 | 2019 28:6 | 51:10,11 | 71340 8:18 |
| 12:2 | zone 25:15 | 12-inch | 61:24 | 52:15 | 75 15:12 |
| wouldn't | 31:9 40:7 | 53:16 | 2020 28:6,6 | 400 2:20 | 17:8 |
| 24:4 86:5 | 40:11 42:2 | 12:56 110:3 | 28:7,20 | 4100 3:7 | 76 13:13 |
| wrapped | 42:18 44:4 | 124 8:17 | 29:8 | 42 33:6,11 | 21:8 |
| 35:25 | 44:4 46:6 | 130527 1:6 | 2021 1:18 |  | 77 5:14 |
| write 38:4 | 46:11 | 1421 6:7 | 7:5 113:21 | 5 | 13:13,13 |
| 51:7 | 48:24 | 1434(B) | 114:25 | 5 30:16,18 | 77002 3:8 |
| wrong 67:16 | 49:14 | 115:5 | 22 27:25 | 30:18 |  |
| wrote 34:1,1 | 50:24 | 15 5:11 | 225.388.91... | 53:20 | 8 |
| WRP 32:14 | 51:13 52:6 | 53:13,15 | 2:22 | 62:20 | 8 5:5 53:11 |
| 34:22 | 52:17 | 54:15 58:8 | 225.389.37... | 69:18 | 54:15 |
| 46:16 | 53:15 54:2 | 58:9,10 | 2:22 | 500 15:4,6 | 8-53:16 |
|  | 54:13 63:1 | 60:17 77:4 | 24 52:19,24 | 504.523.25... | 80s 14:8 |
| X | 63:24 66:7 | 97:18 | 53:4,20 | 2:9 | 822 3:19 |
| X 5:1,1,7 | 68:7,9,9 | $15015: 12$ 1514113.24 | $66: 23,24$ $67 \cdot 769 \cdot 2$ | 504.523.25... | 839452 |
| Y | zones 42:12 | 151415:24 | 69:6,13,16 | 504.585.30... | 115:25 |
| Yazoo 14:4 | 54:18 | 116:24 | 71:20 | 4:20 | 116:25 |
| yeah 9:25 | 106:18 | $165: 1453: 7$ | 26 17:9 29:8 | 504.585.38... | 87 5:18 |
| 19:24 27:7 | 107:11 | 76:18 77:5 | 2655 2:7 | 4:10 |  |
| 30:8 36:8 | Zoom 1:16 | 77:6 | 27 5:17 | 504.585.38... | 9 |
| 39:23 | 7:4 99:20 | 16TH 1:1 | 28 17:9 | 4:10 | 9 67:22 |
| 40:22,25 |  | 17 113:21 | 30:17 | 504.620.31... | 90 1:7 18:2 |
| 40:25 47:2 | 0 | 114:25 | 115:4 | 4:20 | 43:24 |
| 49:19,19 | 0 66:22 | 171 91:8 | 29-B 23:19 | 53,000 28:12 | 51:23 |
| 55:24 79:3 |  | 172 95:17 | 23:21 24:1 | 55 53:21 | 54:22 |
| 82:10 83:8 | 1 | 17th 1:17 7:5 | 24:3,4,6,6 | 66:25 | 104:17 |
| 88:8,9,20 | 1 62:20 | 18 5:17 |  | 58 5:11 | 105:8 |
| 88:20 | 1-1/2 93:12 | 26:22 | 3 | 5th 62:9 | 909 4:18 |
| 93:22,25 | 1.596:3 | 27:17,18 | 3 15:4,5 |  | 9269 8:17 |
| 94:8,14 | 1:04 110:7 | 53:7 54:16 | 65:24,24 | 6 | 96002 |
| 95:24 | 1:06 112:11 | 68:9 | 65:24 | $613: 12$ | 113:22 |
| 101:19 | 10 54:19 | 1935 92:24 | 72:23 73:9 | 53:21 68:8 | 115:22 |
| 109:13 | 60:7 68:10 | 1975 12:21 | 30 25:17 | 94:4,19 | 116:22 |
| year 10:14 | 71:9,23 | 41:4 | 50:22 | 97:17 |  |
| 10:18 62:9 | 10-foot | 1976 13:12 | 54:12 | 60 53:21 |  |
| 103:14 | 68:18 | 1st 61:24 | 61:17 | 66:25 67:7 |  |
| 104:2,9,14 | 10:08 1:18 | 62:8 | 3100 4:8 | 69:7,7 |  |
| 104:19,20 | 7:6 |  | 337.267.23... | 71:4,24 |  |
| 104:25 | 10:29 20:14 | 2 | 3:21 | 601 2:7 |  |
| 105:17,22 | 10:42 20:18 | 2 91:13 | 337.267.23... | 66 10:16 |  |
| 108:14,21 | 10720 | 94:13 96:3 | 3:21 | 69 10:20 |  |
| years 12:11 | 113:23 | 98:25 | 35 50:23 |  |  |
| 14:11 15:1 | 115:23 | 99:15 | 51:10,11 | 7 |  |
| 30:18,18 | 116:23 | 20 5:18 | 52:15 | 7 58:19 |  |
| 33:11 | 11:42 60:7 | 14:11 | 3600 4:18 | 700 2:20 |  |
| 104:16 | 11:54 60:11 | 50:16 | 37:2554 | 70112 4:19 |  |
| you-all 20:1 | 1100 3:7 4:8 | 87:13,13 | 116:10 | 70163 4:9 |  |
| 30:1 57:10 | 116 116:12 | 87:14 |  | 70802 2:21 |  |
| young 93:9 | 12 53:12 | 89:14 | 4 | 70s 21:7 |  |
| 105:3 |  | 2005 91:1 | 4 28:2 68:10 | 71 10:22,25 |  |
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