

December 2002

**ASSISTANCE IN DEVELOPING THE
STATEWIDE WATER MANAGEMENT
PLAN**

**VOLUME II – Planning and Management
Issues**

Prepared for the

Louisiana Ground Water Management Commission

Prepared by

C. H. Fenstermaker & Associates, Inc.

LBG-Guyton Associates

Hydro-Environmental Technology, Inc.

Onebane, Bernard, Torian, Diaz, McNamara & Abell

THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

TABLE OF CONTENTS
VOLUME II

6.0	Critical Ground Water	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Emergency Rules.....	6-1
6.2.1	Application	6-1
6.2.2	Hearing	6-2
6.2.3	Decision.....	6-2
6.3	Critical Ground Water Programs of Other States.....	6-2
6.3.1	Arkansas	6-3
6.3.2	Texas	6-5
6.3.3	Alabama	6-11
6.3.4	Florida	6-12
	Previous Attempts by Louisiana to Address Potentially Critical Ground Water Areas.....	6-14
6.4.1	Capital Area Ground Water Conservation Commission.....	6-14
6.4.2	Sparta Ground Water Conservation Commission	6-15
6.5	Act 446 Definition of Critical Ground Water Area.....	6-16
6.5.1	Louisiana Ground Water Management Commission.....	6-16
6.5.2	Discussion of Criteria Area Designation Process	6-18
6.5.3	Data Needed to Assess a Critical Ground Water Area Petition	6-19
6.6	Proposed Definition of Critical Ground Water Area	6-20
6.7	Management Strategies	6-21
7.0	Water Management Strategies	7-1
7.1	Introduction	7-1
7.2	Water Conservation.....	7-1
7.2.1	Potential Benefits of Increasing Water-Use Efficiency	7-1
7.2.2	Efficiency Strategies	7-2
7.3	Description of Efficiency Strategies	7-3
7.3.1	Efficiency Measures.....	7-4
7.3.2	Efficiency Incentives.....	7-29
8.0	Evaluation of Water Management Strategies.....	8-1
8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	Preference-Feasibility Analysis.....	8-1
8.2.1	P-FA Procedure.....	8-1
8.2.2	P-FA Action Grid.....	8-2
8.2.3	Interpreting the P-FA Action Grid	8-2
8.2.4	P-FA Questionnaire.....	8-3
8.2.5	Statistical Summary of Responses	8-4
8.2.6	Statewide P-FA Action Grid	8-5
8.2.7	Comparison of Strategies by Region.....	8-7

8.2.8	Comparison of Strategies According to Stakeholder Group.....	8-12
8.3	P-FA Survey Conclusions and Recommendations	8-20
9.0	Water Conservation, Incentives, and Public Education Programs.....	9-1
9.1	Introduction	9-1
9.2	Water Conservation.....	9-1
9.2.1	Conservation Programs of Other States	9-3
9.2.2	Conservation Planning in Louisiana	9-9
9.3	Incentives	9-12
9.4	Public Education Programs.....	9-13
9.4.1	Recommendations for Public Education in Louisiana	9-14
10.0	Legal and Inter-Jurisdictional Issues.....	10-1
10.1	Introduction	10-1
10.2	Legal Issues	10-2
10.3	Inter-Jurisdictional Relationships.....	10-7
11.0	Comprehensive Water Management in Louisiana	11-1
11.1	Background	11-1
11.2	Federal Ground Water Management Programs.....	11-1
11.3	Need for a Statewide Coordinated, Comprehensive Water Management Approach.....	11-4
11.4	Threats to Ground Water.....	11-4
11.5	Key Elements of a Water Management Program.....	11-5
11.5.1	Ground Water Legislation.....	11-5
11.5.2	Ground Water Protection Regulations	11-5
11.5.3	Interagency Coordination.....	11-6
11.5.4	Ground Water Monitoring.....	11-7
11.5.5	Water Resource Statutes Administration	11-9
11.5.6	New Water Well Installation Control.....	11-9
11.5.7	Ground Water Mapping	11-9
11.5.8	Comprehensive Data Management Systems	11-10
11.6	Goals of a Water Resource Agency	11-10
11.6.1	Recommend Statewide Policy and Management Objectives.....	11-10
11.6.2	Recommend Standards for Data Collection and Monitoring Activities	11-11
11.6.3	Provide Critical Ground Water Area Determinations & Recommendations	11-11
11.6.4	Set Priorities	11-11
11.6.5	Promote and Enhance Interagency Cooperation	11-11
11.6.6	Water Education and Conservation Programs	11-12
11.7	Potential Water Resources Agency Structure	11-12
11.7.1	Introduction	11-12
11.7.2	Centralized Planning Approach	11-13
11.7.3	Centralized Planning Approach with Regional Administration.....	11-15
11.7.4	Regional Planning Approach	11-18
11.8	Recommended Louisiana Water Resources Agency	11-20
11.8.1	Introduction	11-20
11.8.2	Agency Structure.....	11-20

11.8.3	Staffing and Projected Personnel Costs	11-29
11.9	Critical Ground Water Area Designation Process.....	11-31
11.10	Permit Process.....	11-32
11.10.1	Introduction	11-32
11.10.2	Permit types.....	11-33
11.10.3	Administratively Granted Permits.....	11-35
11.10.4	Hearing Procedure.....	11-35
11.10.5	Permits in Designated Critical Ground Water Areas	11-38
12.0	Emergency Use and Contingency Plan	12-1
12.1	Effects of Drought.....	12-1
12.1.1	Economic Impact of Drought.....	12-1
12.2	Drought Planning in the United States.....	12-2
12.2.1	Objectives of Drought Contingency Plans	12-2
12.2.2	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers’ Evaluation of Drought Plans.....	12-2
12.3	Development of the Louisiana Drought Contingency Plan	12-3
12.3.1	Plan Framework	12-3
12.3.2	Factors to Consider in Identifying Drought	12-4
12.3.3	The Response System of the Louisiana Drought Contingency Plan.....	12-12
12.4	The Louisiana Drought Management Team and Associated Committees.....	12-13
12.4.1	Drought Coordinator	12-13
12.4.2	Water Availability & Overlook Committee.....	12-14
12.4.3	Impact Assessment and Response Committee.....	12-15
12.4.4	Interagency Coordinating Committee	12-16
12.4.5	Responsibilities of the LDMT and Associated Committees During Normal Conditions and Drought Stages.....	12-16
13.0	Mitigation for Loss of Ground Water	13-1

INDEX OF TABLES

Table 6-1.	Data and Data Sources.....	6-20
Table 7.1.	Water Conservation Efforts: Residential	7-18
Table 7.2.	Water Conservation Efforts: Commercial.....	7-18
Table 8.1.	Number of Responses to P-FA Questionnaire	8-5
Table 8.2.	Statewide Preference-Feasibility Rankings.....	8-6
Table 8.3.	Region I Preference-Feasibility Scores.....	8-8
Table 8.4.	Region II Preference-Feasibility Scores.....	8-10
Table 8.5.	Region III Preference-Feasibility Scores	8-11
Table 8.6.	Preference-Feasibility Scores for Agriculture.....	8-13
Table 8.7.	Preference-Feasibility Scores for Public Supply.....	8-15
Table 8.8.	Preference-Feasibility Scores for Industry.....	8-17
Table 8.9.	Preference-Feasibility Scores for Others	8-19
Table 8.10.	Stakeholder Comparison	8-21
Table 9.1.	Benefits of Water Conservation	9-2

Table 11.1 Programs Recommended to Remain in Place 11-28
 Table 11.2. Programs Recommended to be Transferred to the Office of Water Resources 11-29
 Table 11.3. Initial Staff Salary Costs for OWR 11-30
 Table 11.4. Full Staff Salary Costs for OWR 11-30
 Table 11.5. Proposed OWR Personnel..... 11-30
 Table 12.1. Drought Response Coordination Stages..... 12-18

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 6-1 Ground Water Areas of Arkansas Evaluated by ASWCC 6-4
 Figure 6-2 Priority Groundwater Management Study Areas in Texas 6-8
 Figure 6-3 Designated Priority Groundwater Management Areas in Texas 6-9
 Figure 6-4 Groundwater Conservation Districts of Texas 6-11
 Figure 6-5 Water Management Districts of Florida 6-13
 Figure 6-6 Proposed Critical Areas in the Sparta Aquifer 6-17
 Figure 7-1 Flat Rate Structure 7-34
 Figure 7-2 Flat Seasonal Rate Structure..... 7-34
 Figure 7-3 Inverted Block Rate Structure 7-35
 Figure 7-4 Excess Use Rate Structure 7-35
 Figure 8-1 Preference-Feasibility Analysis Action Grid..... 8-3
 Figure 8-2 Statewide P-FA Action Grid 8-6
 Figure 8-3 P-FA Action Grid for Region I..... 8-8
 Figure 8-4 P-FA Action Grid for Region II 8-9
 Figure 8-5 P-FA Action Grid for Region III 8-11
 Figure 8-6 P-FA Action Grid for Agriculture 8-13
 Figure 8-7 P-FA Action Grid for Public Supply 8-15
 Figure 8-8 P-FA Action Grid for Industry 8-17
 Figure 8-9 P-FA Action Grid for Others 8-18
 Figure 11-1 Recommended Louisiana Water Management Agency 11-22
 Figure 11-2 Proposed Regional Groundwater Districts 11-26
 Figure 12-1 Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) Divisions in Louisiana 12-8

