FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Person

Preparing

Statement:

Matthew Reonas

Dept.: Natural Resources

Phone:

225-342-1496

Office: Conservation

Return

Address:

Environmental Division

P.O. Box 94275

Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9275

Rule

Title: Title 46, Professional and Occupational

Standards. Part LXXXIX.

Water Well Drillers (Contractors) Chapter 5, Procedures for Obtaining and

Maintaining a License. LAC 46 LXXXIX.501.E LAC 46 LXXXIX.501.F LAC 46 LXXXIX.509.B

Date Rule

Takes Effect: Upon Promulgation

SUMMARY

(Use complete sentences)

In accordance with Section 953 of Title 49 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes, there is hereby submitted a fiscal and economic impact statement on the rule proposed for adoption, repeal or amendment. THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS SUMMARIZE ATTACHED WORKSHEETS, I THROUGH IV AND WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE LOUISIANA REGISTER WITH THE PROPOSED AGENCY RULE.

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS (Summary)

There is no anticipated direct material effect on state or local governmental expenditures as a result of the proposed rule change. The proposed rule codifies into the Louisiana Administrative Code Title 46, Part LXXXIX, Chapter 5, Section 509 (with additional reference clarification in Section 501 as needed) the continuing education requirements for licensure of water well drillers (contractors) as required by La. R.S. 38:3098.B. The six hours of continuing education required for license renewal has existed in law but not as part of the agency's rules. This change codifies the requirements.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS OF STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS (Summary)

The proposed rule change will have no effect on revenue collections of state or local government

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND/OR ECONOMIC BENEFITS TO DIRECTLY AFFECTED PERSONS, SMALL BUSINESSES, OR NON-GOVERNMENTAL GROUPS (Summary)

There are no anticipated cost increases associated with the proposed change, nor any economic benefits of note. Water well drillers have already had to meet the six hours of required continuing education as part of their annual re-licensing costs. This rule change provides clarification of the content of those six hours.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT (Summary)

The proposed rule change will have no effect on water well driller competition and employment.

Signature of Agency Head or Designee John Adams, Assistant Commissioner

Date of Signature

Date of Signature

FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

The following information is required in order to assist the Legislative Fiscal Office in its review of the fiscal and economic impact statement and to assist the appropriate legislative oversight subcommittee in its deliberation on the proposed rule.

A. Provide a brief summary of the content of the rule (if proposed for adoption, or repeal) or a brief summary of the change in the rule (if proposed for amendment). Attach a copy of the notice of intent and a copy of the rule proposed for initial adoption or repeal (or, in the case of a rule change, copies of both the current and proposed rules with amended portions indicated).

The proposed rule change codifies the continuing education requirements for licensure of water well drillers (contractors) as required by La. R.S. 38:3098.B. The six hours of continuing education required for license renewal has existed in law but not as part of the agency's rules. This change codifies the requirements.

B. Summarize the circumstances, which require this action. If the Action is required by federal regulation, attach a copy of the applicable regulation.

The six hours continuing education requirement for well driller licensure renewal has existed in law but not as part of the agency's rules. The rule provides clarification on the content allowable for this continuing education as well as procedures for agency review and certification, penalties, and exceptions.

- C. Compliance with Act 11 of the 1986 First Extraordinary Session
 - Will the proposed rule change result in any increase in the expenditure of funds? If so, specify amount and source of funding.

No. The proposed rule change will not result in any increase in the expenditure of funds.

- (2) If the answer to (1) above is yes, has the Legislature specifically appropriated the funds necessary for the associated expenditure increase?
 - (a) Yes. If yes, attach documentation.

 (b) NO. If no, provide justification as to why this rule change should be published at this time