STATE OF LOUISIANA
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
OFFICE OF CONSERVATION

IN RE:
H.C. DREW ESTATE, represented by its Trustees, Louie D. Barbe, III and C.W. Shaddock

VERSUS
DOCKET NO.: ENV-L-2022-01

NEUMIN PRODUCTION COMPANY
and STOKES \& SPIEHLER, INC.

DOCKET NO. 2019-4925, DIV. "F" 14TH JUDICIAL COURT, PARISH OF CALCASIEU (JUDGE DERRICK KEE)

TRANSCRIPT OF THE PUBLIC HEARING

REPORTED IN THE ABOVE ENTITLED AND NUMBERED CAUSE BY KARLA H. MAYERS, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER FOR THE STATE OF LOUISIANA.

REPORTED VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE
COMMENCING AT 8:30 A.M. ON MARCH 31, 2022

## APPEARANCES

MR. THOMAS E. BALHOFF, HEARING OFFICER

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, OFFICE OF CONSERVATION, PANEL:

GARY SNELGROVE
JAMIE LOVE
STEPHEN OLIVIER

ON BEHALF OF NEUMIN PRODUCTION COMPANY
("Responsible Party"):
MR. JOHN C. FUNDERBURK
Kean Miller, LLP
400 Convention Street, Suite 700
P. O. Box 3513 (70821-3513)

Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70802
(225) 387-0999
john.funderburk@keanmiller.com
~and~
MS. TYLER MOORE KOSTAL
MS. CLAIRE M. ZERINGUE
Kean Miller, LLP
First Bank and Trust Tower
909 Poydras Street, Suite 3600
New Orleans, Louisiana 70112
(504) 585-3050
tyler.kostal@keanmiller.com
claire.zeringue@keanmiller.com

ALSO PRESENT:
PATRICK RITCHIE
BRANDON M. VERRET
SHAWN WIGGINS
TIMOTHY SEILER
DAVID ANGLE
ANGELA LEVERT

Transcript of The Public Hearing March 31, 2022

```
                INDEX
```

Page

EXAMINATION OF PATRICK RITCHIE, PWS, BY: MR. JOHN C. FUNDERBURK . . . . . . . . . 32 QUESTIONS BY PANEL . . . . . . . . . . . . 88

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE . . . . . . . . . . 97

EXHIBITS
(EXHIBITS RETAINED BY COUNSEL)
(The following proceedings took place before THOMAS E. BALHOFF, HEARING OFFICER, on the 31st day of March, 2022.)

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Good morning, everybody. We're on the record. This is a public hearing in the case of, "H.C. Drew Estates, represented by its Trustees, Louie D. Barbe, III, and C.W. Shaddock vs. Neumin Production Company and Stokes \& Spiehler, Incorporated," Docket No. 2019-4925, Division F, 14th Judicial District Court, Parish of Calcasieu, Judge Derrick Kee, K-e-e. For purposes of this public hearing at the Office of Conservation, this is Docket No. ENV-L-2022-01.

Before I begin, I would like to do a roll call so we understand who is actually on this -- on this proceeding. I'm looking at a list. John Funderburk, you are on this call. Is that correct?

MR. FUNDERBURK:
Yes, Your Honor.
THE HEARING OFFICER:
Who is Brandon Verret? Is

Brandon Verret there? Brandon?
MR. VERRET:
Yes. I'm just observing. I'm
involved in -- hold on one second.
THE HEARING OFFICER:
Can you identify yourself?
MR. VERRET:
Brandon Verret. And I'm giving you
my information right now. I just got thrown on this last minute; so bear with me.

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Are you connected with one of the parties, Mr. Verret?

MR. VERRET:
Yes, not one of the parties presenting today. My computer is having issues at the moment. Just give me a second. Can you come back to me?

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Yes, I will. Okay. Who is Call-in
User No. 2? Is there somebody on the phone, Call-in User 2?
(NO RESPONSE)
THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. Let me keep going.

Claire Zeringue? Who are you with, Ms. Zeringue?

MS. ZERINGUE:
I'm with Neumin Production Company.
THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. Is Mr. Angle on the phone -on the feed?

MR. ANGLE:
Yes. Good morning. Dave Angle here.
THE HEARING OFFICER:
Good morning. Mr. Ritchie, I know
you're going to testify shortly. You're on -you're on the feed?

MR. FUNDERBURK:
Yes, sir, he's sitting right next to me.

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. That's fine. Shawn Wiggins?
Who is Mr. Wiggins?
MR. WIGGINS:
Yeah. Hi. This is Shawn Wiggins
with ERM. Good morning.
THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. And Mr. Timothy Seiler -- is
that correct -- with DEQ?

MR. SEILER:
That would be "Seiler" with DEQ, yes, sir.

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. "Seiler." I apologize.
MR. SEILER:
No problem.
THE HEARING OFFICER:
And, Ms. Tyler Kostal, are you on the feed?

MS. KOSTAL:
Yes, I am. Good morning.
THE HEARING OFFICER:
Good morning.
MS. KOSTAL:
Neumin.
THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. Is there anybody else on this Zoom call that I have not called their name?

MS. LEVERT:
This is Angela Levert, and I am here on behalf of Neumin Company as well.

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. Fine. Thank you. Okay. I'm
ready to go. Okay. Let's start. For
purposes of this public hearing, as I said, this is Docket No. ENV-L-2022-01. As I appreciate it, H.C. Drew Estates, the Plaintiff, filed a Petition for Damages on October 18, 2019, seeking damages and remediation for environmental damage to certain land owned by the plaintiff. I'm going to refer to part of that petition to set forth the controversy, or dispute, between the parties.

Paragraph 3 of the petition states that the land is located in Section 15, Town -- Township 10, South Range 11, west of Calcasieu Parish, located in North Choupique, spelled C-h-o-u --

## (TECHNICAL DIFFICULTY)

THE HEARING OFFICER:
I'm sorry? Hello? Okay. I'm going
to continue. North Choupique Oil and Gas
Field. Paragraph 6 of the petition states that plaintiff entered into a mineral lease with Defendant Neumin Production Company on or about August 23, 2000. That lease is attached to the petition as Exhibit A.

Paragraph 7 of the petition states
the plaintiff entered into a road service servitude agreement with Defendant -Defendant Neumin Production Company on December 1, 2000. That servitude agreement is attached as Exhibit $B$ to the petition.

Paragraph 8 of the petition states that Defendant Neumin Production Company, in concert with others, conducted oil and gas exploration and production activities on Plaintiff's property.

Paragraph 11 of the petition states that Defendants conducted their oil and gas operations pursuant to the contracts just referred to.

Paragraph 13 of the petition states that the well that had been drilled was plugged and abandoned, and on September 15, testing -- I think this was -- I think this was in 2015 -- testing performed on Plaintiff's property by environmental experts revealed excessive salt, petroleum hydrocarbons, and other contaminants in the soil and groundwater in close proximity to the facilities operated by Defendants.

Paragraph 16 of the petition states
that Plaintiffs are legally responsible -that Defendants are legally responsible for any and all compensatory damages associated with the damage to Plaintiff's property.

Paragraph 21 of the petition states that the -- that the environmental damage to Plaintiff's property constitutes a breach of express and implied obligations in the agreements referred to, and the Defendants breached these obligations by failing to promptly and fully restore the property and failing to promptly remedy the damage caused to the property.

Paragraph 34 and 35 state that in addition to Defendants' breach of their private law duties owed to the plaintiff, the Defendants have violated regulatory laws of the State of Louisiana and particularly Act 312 of 2006 , which is supplemental to Plaintiff's private law causes of action. Quoting in part from Paragraph 35 of the Petition, "The Plaintiff is also entitled to and does hereby assert as an additional cause of action for cleanup to regulatory standards under Act 312, particularly that part enrolled
at Louisiana Revised Statute 30:29."
There's also a First Supplemental and Amended Petition of Damages served on December 16, 2019. Paragraph 24A states in part, and I quote, Defendant has caused environmental damage as defined in Louisiana Revised Statute 30:29(I).

On October 14, 2021, Neumin Production Company made a limited admission, and pursuant to Louisiana Revised Statute 30:29, they invoked the provisions set forth for limited admissions in Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure, Article 1563. That limited admission describes the property for which the limited admission is made. And Exhibit 1 attached to the limited admission identifies the property for which the limited admission is made.

Just for purposes of this statement, limited admissions allow a responsible party to designate a specific piece of property, and that's what -- and that was done -- done in this case.

On October 25, 2021, Judge Kee signed an order, which in part states, "Neumin shall
develop a plan for evaluation or remediation of environmental damage as provided in

Louisiana Revised Statute 30:29(C)." And continuing to quote, "The Louisiana Department of Natural Resources shall conduct a public hearing regarding the Neumin plan and file a final plan that evaluates or remediates the property pursuant to deadlines and procedures set forth in Louisiana Revised Statute 30:29."

Pursuant to a Motion for Extension of Time, Judge Kee signed an order on February 8, 2022, extending the deadline to hold a public hearing so that the hearing would be timely if held on or before April 8, 2022.

The landowner H.C. Real Estate, through their counsel, on February 14, 2022, informed the Commissioner, Richard Ieyoub, and Gary Snelgrove, Director of Environmental Division at the Office of Conservation and who is one of the panelists for this hearing, that the landowner did not intend to participate in the public hearing but did submit comments on that date, February 14, 2022, by Brent Bray of RBB Consulting, LLC, and they also submitted
an affidavit from Louie D. Barbe, III. The panel has been furnished with those comments, and that affidavit.

On March 14, the landowner, H.C. Drew Estate, through counsel, on March 14, 2022, filed a motion to strike Neumin Production Company Limited' admission -- limited admission plan and to dismiss this limited admission hearing. On March 15, 2022, the hearing officer, with concurrence of the panel, issued reasons which, in -- which, in essence, denied that motion.

This public hearing is being held pursuant to Louisiana Revised Statute 30:29(C) (1) and (C) (2) (A), which is part of what is commonly referred to as Act 312. This hearing is convened timely pursuant to the extension of time.

Within 60 days of the conclusion of this hearing, using and applying applicable regulatory standards, the Department will approve or structure a final plan -- excuse me. They will approve or structure a preliminary plan, because it's my understanding that other agencies will be
involved, and there will be a review of the preliminary plan, and there are time limits set forth in the statute for what takes place when it comes back to DNR, or to the Office of Conservation. And there's some more general comments here.

That -- that pretty much sets forth the procedure. So we're here for a public hearing because a lawsuit was filed. That lawsuit triggered certain procedures. Code of Civil Procedure 1563 gave Neumin the right to make a limited admission. They made that limited admission.

The judge has ordered this hearing take place. Just briefly, when the motion to dismiss by the landowner was filed, this agency did not have the authority to dismiss the action. The -- that party would have to go -- it's the panel's understanding that party would have to go back to the Court. That was not done. So we're proceeding.

So, ultimately, in accordance with a time schedule set forth in the statute, a most -- a preliminary most feasible plan and written -- written reasons in support thereof
will be put together. And if taken into consideration with comments from other agencies, there will be a final plan, which will be filed with the Court, which, of course -- which retains oversight and jurisdiction of this entire process.

The decision-makers to whom will be present for evidence here -- and I know -- let me make a comment about -- about the landowner. The landowner has advised the Office of Conservation that they are declining to participate in this proceeding, as Mr. Brumby sent a letter to that effect.

The panel invited the landowner to file their comments and objections, which -which they did. I think the -- I think the date that came in was February 14, 2022. I do not know if the landowner is on this Zoom proceeding now, but, if they are, at appropriate points in time, they are invited -- they're going to be invited to question witnesses. The panel's belief is, they want this to be a full opportunity for parties to make their position known before they craft a final plan. So some of my
comments, when I talk about adverse parties, obviously, the landowner has declined to participate. So we'll see what happens over the next day or two.

The decision-makers, as you know, are a panel of three Office of Conservation employees and scientists. Their backgrounds have been provided to -- to everyone, and you know what their backgrounds are. So, you know, my vernacular, that's your jury. They're going to be the decision-makers. Their charge is to listen, to consider and review the evidence submitted here in this hearing as to the Neumin plan, based on the evidence, to approve and structure a plan, which they determine to be the most feasible plan to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the people of this state.

This hearing does not involve private rights either by contract or in law. As I -as I understand the landowner's position as to why its not participating, it has contracts with the Defendant Neumin, and so this panel is not here to decide issues of private rights in contract or in law.

And, also, in that connection, refer to a Supreme Court decision, "State vs. Louisiana Land and Exploration Company." There's been several decisions that emanated from the Supreme Court in that -- in that litigation, but the one I'm going to refer to is 110 So. 3d 1038, decided in 2013, where the Supreme Court reviewed the act and made clear that the act is not about private rights.

This hearing concerns remediation in accordance with what is referred to as the "applicable state standards," or "regulatory standards." The Supreme Court decision which I referred to says, "By mandating that applicable standards shall be used and applied in approving or structuring the most feasible plan to evaluate or remediate the environmental damage." The Legislature has not limited the Department to any one standard in its development of the most feasible plan, and the Supreme Court cited Louisiana Revised Statute 30:29(C)(3), again, the same -- same litigation $I$ referred to earlier.

The standards, as, I think, the parties here know, that are typically looked
to, first and foremost, Statewide Order 29-B, which is an Office of Conservation regulation and standards in Chapter 3; secondly, RECAP, the LDEQ regulation; also, if necessary, Chapter 14 of LDEQ's radiation regulations for NORM.

The panel -- the panel includes Mr. Gary Snelgrove, Ms. Jamie Love, Mr. Stephen Olivier. You have their backgrounds; so you know what their -- you know what their technical backgrounds are. You know who you can direct your comments to. They have -- some of them have -- or all of them have different experiences, but they've all been involved in reviewing plans like this.

Okay. Just a couple of comments about my role. It's going to be a little bit different in this case probably. I'm not a decision-maker. I don't consult with these panelists during this hearing, at breaks, or any other point. I don't talk to them about what I think about the witnesses. That's going to be their job. After this is over with, they are going to be the ones that
arrive at a decision, without speaking to me about the evidence or the witnesses.

In the past, I have been involved in -- on a number of occasions, they have involved me much like a law clerk. They ask me to come in. They tell me what their decision is. They ask me to write a draft for them. They -- in all cases that I've been involved in the past, they take that draft, they edit that draft, and that -- the written reasons and the plan is their plan. I'm simply sort of a scribe to help them put it together by looking at the transcript and looking at the exhibits that they direct me to.

Again, $I$ said this is a little bit different since we are not going to have somebody cross-examining as one -- cases I've been involved in the past. Typically, if there's a dispute about evidence coming in and there's a -- there's a basis the evidence should not come in for some -- some rule of evidence, I've been asked to make rulings. Obviously, we don't have the other side here. They declined to participate. So my role, as

I appreciate it right now, may be much less than it has been in the past, and this may be, for me, much more cut and dry. But to the extent that there are issues, I will try to be a person who will resolve the -- the procedural issues, not the substance.

We fully appreciate this is not the last step along the way. Obviously, after this, this -- if a plan is craft -- assuming a plan is crafted, it's going to -- it's going to be filed with the Court, and other people will just deal with it. This panel and myself believe that the process is best served by fairness, and I think that's what everyone here is going to try to -- try to do.

Again, my roll will be more minimal in this case if there's really not an adverse party. I will tell you -- I've told others in the past -- I do wear hearing aids. I'm 40 percent deaf in both ears. These are good hearing aids, but sometimes I can't pick up everything. And if I ask someone to repeat themselves -- if I'm involved in the process, I'm doing my best to try to listen in case there's some need for a ruling. So just
appreciate that if $I$ ask you to repeat yourself, it's my problem, not yours.

Logistically, unless -- I realize we've got -- today is going to be a short day. We have Mr. Richards -- Ritchie. Mr. Angle is going to, I appreciate it, be up Monday and then Ms. Levert after that. So we may not have a lengthy hearing like some of them in the past. But, in general, my procedure has been, and I'm going to ask everybody, we start at 8:30 sharp.

So Monday we start at 8:30 sharp, and unless one of the panelists, for some reason, is not sitting here and ready to go, then we're going to start at $8: 30$ sharp. We will break at -- again, we may not go to noon today, but if we have Monday, we'll break at noon or as close as possible to noon depending on the witness.

We will try to -- I'm not going to break immediately in the middle of a question, but we'll try to find a convenient time where someone is questioning the witness so we can break for lunch. Lunch breaks will be one hour only. I know that doesn't give people a
lot of time, but one hour.
So, Monday, if we're going, we'll
have a one-hour break for lunch. We're going to have 15 -minute breaks in the morning, 15-minute breaks in the afternoon, if we get -- if we get that far. We will finish at 4:30 sharp each day, if we go that long. It sounds like we're not going to go that long today. It's possible we may not go that long Monday. But if, for some reason, we are at 4:30, we're going to break and continue on Tuesday. The panel, in addition to what they're doing here, they have their other work going on. They're entitled to finish at 4:30. I promised them for this hearing, and I've promised them in the past, we're going to stop at 4:30. Again, that may not be a problem in this particular hearing.

The 15-minute break in the morning, we'll try and go about an hour and a half, typically break, say, at 10:00 or 10:15. We'll go to noon, and in the afternoon, we'll try to break about 2:45. Again, it may not -these rules may not come into play in this hearing.

I think that's all of my comments. I think that's my only -- that's my opening comments. And with that, unless somebody -someone here on the panel, Mr. Snelgrove or one of the -- Mr. Funderburk or anybody, has any questions before we kick off, we're ready for Mr. Ritchie.

MR. SNELGROVE:
No questions on our end.
THE COURT REPORTER:
Who was that that spoke?
THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. Now, I'm going to reposition
myself back away from the camera.
MR. FUNDERBURK:
Yeah. Mr. Balhoff?
THE HEARING OFFICER:
Yes.
MR. FUNDERBURK:
The Court Reporter here had just asked who spoke, and I believe that was Mr. Snelgrove. But $I$ was just going to kind of introduce her via video to everyone who is -- who is on the screen. That is Gary Snelgrove who is standing right there,

Jamie Love, who is in the middle there, and Stephen Olivier, who is on the left side. THE COURT REPORTER:

Thank you. MR. FUNDERBURK:

Yes, ma'am. And, Mr. Balhoff, I also had a couple of opening comments as well as introduction of some exhibits while we're getting this -- this hearing going so that then we can get on to Mr. Ritchie. Would you like me to do that at this time?

THE HEARING OFFICER:
No. You know, I forgot. I
apologize. I would like for you to introduce whatever exhibits. I have your exhibit list -- let's see. Okay. I know -- you know, pursuant to what -- the schedule we put out, I know you had given us a witness list. I know the witness list includes three people, Mr. Angle, Ms. Levert, and Mr. Ritchie.

And you've given us an exhibit list,
and I haven't empirically studied it, but it's -- it's an exhibit list that includes 45 items on it. And so whatever you intend to introduce at this point, why don't you go
ahead and do that before we start with the witness.

MR. FUNDERBURK:
Yes, sir. Thank you very much. What we would like to do as far as introducing the exhibits at this point is we're -- we're pretty much going to introduce all of them right now. There's a couple that will come in through Mr. Angle, and I'll try not to take too much time as we go through this, as we do have -- it's a total of 50 exhibits now that we have, a supplemental list that was provided as well last week.

So, first, I would like to offer, file, and introduce Exhibits 1 and 2, which are the site investigation plan that was filed on November 10, 2021, and the supplement to the site investigation plan of January 14 , 2022 .

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. They're accepted.
MR. FUNDERBURK:
The next --
THE HEARING OFFICER:
You know, you can go through them one
at a time. I'm not going to -- I'll say it at the end, but as far as I'm concerned, they're all going to be accepted into evidence, but keep going.

MR. FUNDERBURK:
Yes, sir. And so I'll try to make this a little bit tighter than that. There were then, following that -- Exhibit Nos. 3 through 11, were all some type of submissions to the LDNR either by Neumin or by the landowner, and it's also the court -- the court records. The limited admission is included in there as well and the motions from the Court. So that's Exhibits 3 through 11, and we would offer, file, and introduce those into the record as well.

THE HEARING OFFICER:
They're accepted.
MR. FUNDERBURK:
Exhibits 12 through 32 are all public notice and party notice exhibits, as I would call them. They are the notices that went out to the paper. They are the records of certified mail going out to the parties, et cetera. So we would offer, file, and
introduce Exhibits 12 through 32.
THE HEARING OFFICER:
They're accepted.
MR. FUNDERBURK:
And Exhibits 33 and 34 are the
request for a site visit by the LDNR, as well as the minutes from the Commissioner's Conference. We would offer, file, and introduce those at this time, Exhibits 33 and 34.

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Accepted.
MR. FUNDERBURK:
And the remainder of the exhibits
that are on this list will be coming in
through the testimony of the various witnesses.

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. That's fine. Okay. Ready
with Mr. Ritchie?
MR. FUNDERBURK:
Okay. Would you like me to make any -- any opening comments now? I was -THE HEARING OFFICER:

You know, I didn't plan on it,
obviously, but it may be that that's useful to the panel, because if you want to put it together in some sort of context before we start, that's fine.

MR. FUNDERBURK:
And it is. It's going to be very brief, because, as we believe in all of these, it's the scientists who are the ones who -who matter here and not the lawyers. It's the folks on the panel and the three folks who we will have testifying here.

But the first thing $I$ want to do, Mr. Balhoff, is thank you for your service here in handling this as the hearing officer. I would like to thank the panel for being here today and for working around some scheduling issues that we had. We're very appreciative of that, because it has certainly helped us all be here and, I think, proceed very efficiently with this. So we're going to get to the testimony here very quickly.

Everybody on this panel has been out to the site and has seen the site, so you know what we're talking about. It is a relatively small site, recent vintage, if you will. It
was an oil well from 2000 to 2015.
So, you know, we will be going
through the process a little bit out of our normal order. Usually, I think that for the ones we've had in the past, the full limited admission plan has been described by the author of that plan, and then we've gone on to discrete parts of that. We're starting today with Mr. Patrick Ritchie, who will be describing his vegetation study and his root zone study that he did in conjunction with Dr. Holloway.

So I think that y'all have met Mr. Ritchie in the past and probably don't know Mr. Ritchie, though, as well as you might know Mr. Angle and Ms. Levert in their dealings with you in the past. But Mr. Ritchie will be here today. We will finish our testimony with him today, and then we will reconvene on Monday with Mr. Angle and Ms. Levert. And, again, we certainly thank you for -- for your time.

And this -- this is, as you will see, and you probably have seen, from what has been provided to you so far -- there has been a
very full analysis that has been done of this small site. A hundred and -- almost 150 soil samples have been taken over this, roughly, one-acre site. So we believe it was very thorough. That will be described by our witnesses, of course.

And there's not a lot of remediation that is proposed here simply because there is not a lot of impact out on this site and not -- not much from the standpoint of regulatory exceedances on this site, but those will be described in detail as well.

And if at any time y'all have any questions either of me or of our witness, certainly, please, feel free to stop us during the presentation and ask then and there. You certainly don't need to wait until we are done with the presentation, because I know, at least for me, if $I$ hold onto a question too long, sometimes I might forget it and forget the context that $I$ was asking it in, even if $I$ wrote it down. So feel free to do that. That's not a problem for us. We will stop at any point. If you have any questions, just -just let me know. You know, if $I$ keep talking
just raise your hand, tell me to stop and ask the question.

So we certainly welcome all the questions that y'all have today, because we want to make sure that you are comfortable with the evidence that has been provided to you today to be able to make your decision on the plan. We want you to have the full information.

And that's a word that Mr. Balhoff had used earlier is the "evidence." And we think that's very important. That's why we're here today is to present that evidence here to the panel and let y'all make a decision on what needs to be done out there to make sure that it complies with regulatory standards.

So thank you very much. And with that, I am going to go off to the side here. You won't see me on camera, but I will be asking the questions to Mr. Ritchie. We are here in our office at Kean Miller. I hope that, you know, nothing behind me is too distracting. We tried to lower the shades to make sure that we had a decent backdrop, and we have our court reporter, Ms. Mayers, here
with us as well. So if y'all have any questions of me, please let me know now; if not, I will hand it over to Mr. Ritchie.

THE HEARING OFFICER:
And before -- before we start with Mr. Ritchie, I would ask Ms. Mayers, as the court reporter, to swear the witness in.
(PATRICK RITCHIE, PWS, having been first duly sworn, was examined, and testified as follows:)

MR. FUNDERBURK:
And I will step out -- out of the way, that is.

THE WITNESS:
Good morning.
MR. FUNDERBURK:
Good morning, Mr. Ritchie. Can everybody hear me okay?

MR. SNELGROVE:
Yes, we can.
MR. FUNDERBURK:
Great. Thank you very much.
BY MR. FUNDERBURK:
Q Mr. Ritchie, will you please introduce
yourself to the panel?

A Yes. My name is Patrick Ritchie, present in Metairie, Louisiana, and I work for ERM, Environmental Resources Management.

Q And I've got a PowerPoint that I'm going to get up here in a second, but will you please tell the panel what you do at ERM?

A Sure. I'm a principal consultant with ERM. My main role is a senior scientist in ecological assessments, effective root zone studies. I also work as a project manager and lead scientist and wetland scientist on capital projects and permitting responsibilities and regulatory matters.

Q And how long have you been with ERM?
A With ERM, I've been working there for 12 years.

Q What did you do before you were with ERM?
A Before ERM, I was -- I was a high school teacher in Ruston, a coach for two years. And then I changed career and moved into working as a wetlands scientist for the Shaw Group. I did that for two years, working specifically with the Coastwide Reference Monitoring System, the wetlands monitoring program.

Q Great. And I've got a PowerPoint up here
now, a presentation.
MR. FUNDERBURK:
You've got -- all right. Thank you very much. I do have some technical help in here, too. So can the panel see this? MR. SNELGROVE:

Yes, we can. MR. FUNDERBURK:

All right. Thank you.
BY MR. FUNDERBURK:
Q Mr. Ritchie, before I get any further into
your -- your background, what -- what are we
looking at here in this photograph?
A Sure. So the panel has been at the site.
So this is just a picture looking from the pasture south towards the -- the well site, showing the vegetation, being mostly herbaceous vegetation. And in the far -- in the distance there, you can see a rig when the ERM personnel were doing an investigation there as well.

Q Great. So let's continue on with your background. And before we get into your education, I want to talk about your time as a wetland scientist at the Shaw Group.

A Okay.

Q Can you explain to the panel what you were doing with the Shaw Group during that time?

A I can. It was primarily a responsibility of a wetland scientist in the field -- working in the field. I have experience working with wetland vegetation, hydrology, and my responsibilities were investigation of wetland sites, starting from the coast, all the way up through the different habitats that they have occurring in Louisiana.
Primary responsibilities were identifying
plants. We did vegetation surveys over the summer, summerwide vegetation surveys, for different areas. We would essentially take a 5x5 square, put it down, and identify every species in there along a transect for several hundred sites across Louisiana in coastal marshes all the way up into forested areas, swamps, bottomland hardwoods and such.

Q So how much of your time was spent in the field, roughly?

A Roughly 95 percent of my work was in the field in South Louisiana.

Q And did that assist you in your experience in being able to identify and study plants?

A It has. It was one of the key
responsibilities of that. As the panel may be familiar with the CRMS data, we've relied upon it in several other reports and other matters, and the data is very important for that.

Q And this was, obviously, specific to Louisiana?

A It is, yes. It's used as a baseline for many, many studies that are done across the state.

Q And we've already talked about, from there, you went to Mike Pisani \& Associates. Correct?

A That's correct.
Q And then -- and then that became -- or you became part of ERM through that. Right?

A That is correct. Yes. There was an acquisition with ERM, yes.

Q And you've been working at ERM since?
A That is correct.
Q How many years have you worked on environmental issues related to legacy sites in Louisiana?

A As far as legacy sites, I've had about 12 years of experience. I've worked on over 75 cases and projects all across in -- in numerous responsibilities and roles, working in the field,
reporting, data collection, and everything underneath -- under the sun.

Q And with the root zone studies, it says down here you have 25 plus root zone studies conducted across Louisiana. Who would you primarily work with on those root zone studies?

A That was Dr. Luther Holloway.
Occasionally we would have some other individuals. Arville Touchet was with us on several instances as well.

Q And I think those are -- those are names that are familiar with -- familiar to the panelists here. And did you also do any ecological assessments as part of your work with legacy sites?

A I have. So I've worked with
Dr. Helen Connelly, Dr. John Rogers doing ecological assessments, wetlands functional assessments. I've helped collect data, identify plants, the habitats, and make determinations on ecological and habitat health.

Q So it's fair to say that you've had extensive fieldwork so far in this -- in this area?

A I have, yes.

Q Well, then let's put the fieldwork aside for a second and talk about your education. Can you tell the panel what degrees you have? Just tell them about your education.

A Okay. So I have an associate's degree from Colby Community College in Colby, Kansas. I was a scholar athlete -- scholarship athlete for wrestling there. But after I finished my wrestling career, I moved back home, and I have a bachelor's degree at Tulane -- from Tulane University in ecology and evolutionary biology.

Q And when did you get that bachelor's degree?

A That was 2005 .
Q And you said ecology and evolutionary biology?

A Yes, sir.
Q What types of things were you studying in that discipline?

A You know, general biology courses, as well as ecology, you know, calculus, chemistry, statistics, organic chemistry, but I also specialized in plants. So I took plant systematics with, you know, labs where we would have to have unknown species and use dichotomists
keys and such to identify the plants. Plants in human affairs, which is an agronomic course, I took that as well, as well as a forestry policy course and numerous -- general botany and courses like that, yes.

Q What got you interested in doing that? You went from -- you were, obviously, a high school and college wrestler. You had the business administrate -- administration and accounting, and now you're into plants. What got you interested in that?

A It's -- it's kind of interesting. You know, just kind of analytical thinking, and, you know, after working and living in the midwest -my roommates were a bunch of farmers and, you know, I spent a lot of time with them. One of my friends' dad was a lead forester in Cali -Colorado. Excuse me. And so I just started getting interested in that and realized that economy wasn't for me and so I started looking at the -- the more natural world. I grew up, you know -- you know, camping and other things like that; so I made that transition.

Q And your master's degree of science -- in soil and water science from the University of

Florida, that was in 2015?
A That's correct. Yes.
Q And can you explain why, you know, there's that ten-year difference and why you went back and got that degree?

A Sure. So I've always wanted a master's degree. So when $I$ was completing my degree at Tulane, you know, I was looking at the master's program. But, to be quite frank, Tulane was extremely expensive; so -- you know, so I was going to take some time. So I was looking to start my career and try and make some money, but then soon after that $I$ got married, and then soon after that we had kids, and life just kind of happened. But it was always something that $I$ wanted to pursue, and so then $I$ went back -- while working full-time, having a family, you know, went back to school and -- and completed that degree.

Q And what type of coursework were you doing as part of that degree?

A So it had a number of different courses very specific to wetlands and soil science. I took courses in soil remediation. I've taken courses in hydric soils and other wetlands courses, water quality as well. Additionally, I
received a graduate certification in wetlands and water resources management while taking that -getting that degree as well, and so biogeochemistry -- several biogeochemistry courses as well, things of that nature.

Q And you have a graduate certification from there as well. What is that in?

A It's specific to wetlands. So, again, that was -- you know, one of the primary focuses of my degree was -- was wetlands. So a number of my courses were applied to that certification.

Q Well, let's move on to your professional certifications. Up here on the screen, you'll see Professional Wetland Scientist, PWS, No. 2780. Can you tell the panel about that certification, please?

A I can. So the Society of Wetland Scientists is the premier of wetland science society. And the PWS would be -- I guess you could associate it similar to a $P G$ for wetland scientists. So it requires education, experience in order to receive that designation.

So for that, I had to submit an
application, which included all the coursework that $I$ took, with grades as well, to receive that.

And then I have to have five years of working experience in wetlands prior to. And then the society also will designate areas of expertise. And so in order to do that, I have to submit my statement of qualifications for each individual area of expertise.

And I was awarded -- or identified as an expert in 15 different areas. Most -- mainly, in response -- responsive to this matter would be botany, hydric soils, wetland and water delineate -- waterbody delineation, ecological and functional assessments, mitigation, restoration, plan and design of projects, water quality. It's -- it's an extensive list, but I was identified for those with the society.

Q Understood. Thank you. And do you -- do you have to do any continuing education as part of that certification?

A I do. So every five years, you have to have continuing education. I've recently reupped my -- so there's ongoing courses that I've taken with, you know, plant anatomy, other -- other wetlands resources and, you know, things like that.

Q I wasn't meaning to skip forward yet but I
think that we have come to the end of that slide. So I will move on to the next one. Have you worked in -- you know, the work that you've done after you graduated Tulane, have you worked with the -- any of the Louisiana State agencies in any of your time out in the field working?

A I have. I've -- you know, with the CRMS project, that was working with members of DNR. I've also worked recently with this panel for -we had a meeting about the -- a recent project for the agricultural plan that we have set forth. I've also worked with the Bayou Corne sinkhole, worked with individuals as well, and then, also, in numerous other instances with the permitting, as far as, you know, responsibilities for meeting state and federal regulations.

Q And even though you may not have been the person testifying or the frontman, if you will, have you been -- have you supported projects that have been presented to the LDNR like in these limited admission hearings?

A I have. So most recently, the Jeanerette project, I coauthored that report with Dr. Holloway, and I was, you know, integral in working on that most feasible plan and giving my
opinions on the effective root zone and the health and nature of that forested swamp, in that -- in that case.

Q And that would be Jeanerette Lumber \& Shingle?

A That's correct. Yes.
Q And so you've actually been the coauthor on reports that have been provided to LDNR as part of these limited admission hearings?

A That's correct. So I have been a coauthor, and I have also been a primary author for some -- some as well.

Q And you did perform a vegetative and root zone study in this case. Correct?

A I did.
Q And you've done at least 25 of these with Dr. Holloway, Mr. Touchet, others. Correct?

A That is correct.
Q I am going to try to do something here that I might not be able to do. I want to switch this to your CV, but I don't see how I do that, but maybe $I$ am real quick. So let me see.

A I think you're almost there, yeah.
Q Yeah, almost there, not quite. I appreciate the patience, but $I$ do want to get your

CV up there. It is Exhibit No. 47. There we go.
So I'll try and get this up a little bit. This is Exhibit No. 47. Mr. Ritchie, is this a copy of your current CV?

A It is, other than the photo. That was a prepandemic photo.

Q Got it. And this is a part of -- of the limited admission plan in Appendix $F$ of Exhibit 1. Correct?

A That is correct.
Q And does this reflect your education, training, and experience?

A Yes, it does.
Q Is this something you keep at -- in your file as a part of your business?

A It is.
MR. FUNDERBURK:
So I would offer, file, and introduce
Exhibit 47, the CV of Patrick Ritchie.
THE HEARING OFFICER:
It's accepted -- accepted into
evidence. John, I assume you're not -- you're
getting -- you're going to tender him in the
field. Correct?
MR. FUNDERBURK:

That was my next -- that was my next statement, Your Honor.

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. Before you do that, I forgot to say at the beginning and as Mr. Ritchie starts to testify, this is not absolutely necessary, but, as you know, one of the things I asked were -- or was that the document -the documents be Bates numbered.

And when a witness testifies, such as Mr. Ritchie or somebody else, sometimes it's going to be self-evident where something is, but if it's -- if it's something obscure, if you refer to a Bates number page during the examination it will help, afterwards, when reviewing the transcript, find the document that he's talking about.

Some -- some of it is going to be very obvious; so I'm not asking you to do that always, but just -- you know, that's one of the reasons the Bates numbers are there.

MR. FUNDERBURK:
Yes, sir.
THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay.

MR. FUNDERBURK:
And thank you very much. And so this one is his stand-alone CV. It does carry the Bates number N_LDNR_HCDE_02500. It can also be found as part of the report at the same prefix and 00514 to 00518.

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. So why don't you -- I know -and you may have a few more questions, but when you tender him, just tell me the field, because he's probably going to be accepted.

MR. FUNDERBURK:
Yes, sir. That's what $I$ was doing next. So, Your Honor, at this time I will tender Mr. Ritchie as an expert in botany, plant ecology, soil and wetlands, and root zone analysis.

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. It's -- he's accepted. It's my understanding his testimony is going to be in the area of root zone?

MR. FUNDERBURK:
Yes, sir, and vegetative -- his
vegetative -- or his observations of the vegetation out there and the health of the
vegetation.
THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. He's accepted in the fields as
tendered. Go ahead. Continue.
MR. FUNDERBURK:
Thank you.
BY MR. FUNDERBURK:
Q So I'm going to go back and get onto our -- get back on our PowerPoint. But can you tell the panel basically -- just give an overview of what you were doing out there on the site, the time that you spent on the site, who you were with. Just give that basic overview, and then we'll start stepping through it.

A Okay. So we -- "we," is going to be included with myself and Dr. Holloway; so when I refer to it as "we," that's -- those are the individuals I'm speaking of. We visited the site in September. We spent two days of our time investigating the property, which is substantial for, again, as you mentioned, a small, one-acre property. And we did general observations of the site, reviewed the site setting, the land use, what it's currently being used for. We also were -- we also conducted our effective root zone
study as well.
Q That's right. And you and Dr. Holloway coauthored a report that was part of the limited admission plan. Correct?

A That is correct.
Q And that would be found at Appendix $F$ of that limited admission plan. Correct?

A That's correct.
MR. FUNDERBURK:
And for the record, that would be
Appendix $F$ of Exhibit 1. And the report by
Dr. Holloway and Mr. Ritchie, which is
entitled, "Review of Plant Conditions and
Vegetation Root Study on the H.C. Drew Manual
Estate 15 No. 1 in Calcasieu Parish,
Louisiana" bears Bates labels
N_LDNR_HCDE_00444 through 00518 .
BY MR. FUNDERBURK:

Q Now, as we discussed earlier, Mr. Ritchie, Dave Angle, one of your colleagues, will be here on Monday to walk through and describe the site setting, the site overview, the plan itself, the evidence that supports the plan, et cetera, and your -- your testimony is relatively limited to the vegetation and root zone study.

A That's correct.
Q But what I would like to -- for you to do, so we can kind of set the stage here, is just give a very brief walk-through on the site setting out here. So I've put a slide up here for the panel, and we actually do have a Bates number that this refers to down at the bottom left-hand corner, which will be our Bates number and 0054. It's a figure from the limited admission plan. Can you just reorient the panel with where this site is?

A I can. So it's in Calcasieu Parish about six miles west of Sulphur. That's a very rural area. The site itself is pastureland. It's just -- just south of $I-10$.

Q And, as you mentioned earlier, that the actual well site itself that we can see here with this fenced-in area, the former well site, is about one acre. Correct?

A That's correct. So the well site as it is when we did our investigation, as you said, it's approximately one acre, a very rural area, mainly used for cattle grazing, as we noticed several cattle on site, mostly herbaceous vegetation, occasional trees spotted around. It was a former operations -- there was a well. From my
understanding and looking at some of the historical aerials, there was a tank battery and also some operations areas there. And right now it is still some well pad material and a fence around -- barbed wire fence around the area.

Q So well pad material, meaning shells, gravel --

A Shell, gravels, rocks, yes, the standard.
Q But no equipment remaining on the well -on the well site?

A That is correct.
Q So, again, this is just the -- the reintroduction. For those of us -- I've only been out there once or twice myself. I know the panel went once. And so can you just describe what we're seeing here?

A Yeah. So the bottom -- or on the left, rather, is the entrance gate to the property. It is to the southwest of the well site, and so that is the entrance gate coming in. You can see that it was locked. There is a cattle guard there on -- behind the fence, if you're able to see that, again, indicating that this area is used for production of livestock.

The center photo is the gravel road
leading up to the well pad. You can see that this is actually facing west outside of the -- the site itself, but you can see -- somewhat on either end of the road, you can see the posts for that barbed wire fence and, as you can see, the area surrounding this well site, herbaceous vegetation growing, green, healthy and even growing all through the road itself.

And then on the right-hand side is another photo of the operational area, again, all herbaceous vegetation, meaning non-woody vegetation. You can see in this photo there's -there's some yellow flowering. It's that time of year for some of these species present. And then you can also see the -- the trucks and tent, and that's when ERM was conducting some investigation of that site at that time.

Q On your general observations, -- we're talking about the site setting -- did you observe any sort of stressed vegetation out there?

A Did not. So all the areas that we visited, everything was green, growing, healthy, and showed no signs or indication of any kind of impact from former $E \& P$ operations.

Q Right. And even -- even on these well pad
areas, you can see that the grass is growing through -- you know, through the gravel area in some spots. Right?

A That is correct.
Q So USDA soil types, this is something that you had studied as just part of your general overview of the property. Correct?

A It is. It's something that we'll normally do prior to visiting a site. USDA has done an excellent job mapping soil types, and we use this as a reference to kind of get a lay of the land before we go out there. So what we do is we look at the types of soils that we would expect and then the site setting.

So in the photograph there, you can see, again, this is facing north from the well site, showing pasture mainly. And then, in the distance, there are some trees spotted and a tree line at the edge of the property there.

But what we noticed were three different types of soils. Again, these are poorly drained soils. We have the Prairieland, the Midland, and the Mowata/Vidrine, which is a complex of soils. And those are the three that we observed and identified in our investigation as well.

Q And we'll go to the next slide just to show parts of this, but $I$ will note that we have exhibits on our list of -- Exhibits 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, and 41. So, to be more clear, Exhibits 36 through 41 are the Prairieland series, Vidrine series, the Calcasieu Parish Soil Survey, the Midland series, the Mowata series. Are these all things that you looked at as part of your study of this site?

A I did. And notably here, you can see that the land use was -- that was identified in the soil survey was what we had -- we observed on site as well. So these soil types are appropriate for crops, cultivating rice, and pasture, and that's what this use was for.

MR. FUNDERBURK:
And at this time, Mr. Balhoff, we would offer, file, and introduce Exhibits 36 through 41.

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Those are accepted into evidence.
MR. FUNDERBURK:
Thank you.
BY MR. FUNDERBURK:
Q Well, then, let's get to what we're here
to talk about, right, your vegetation and root zone study. And you did perform a root zone study out there?

A That's correct.
Q With Dr. Holloway?
A That's correct.
Q You used a specific methodology. Correct?
A We did.
Q And let's look at some of the documents that you relied upon for your methodology for root zone analysis. What are we looking at up here on the screen?

A Okay. So root zone analysis is nothing new, and which the panel has probably heard, Dr. Holloway testified before that -- what he commonly refers to as the "Dutch bible." It's what you see on the left there. That's the Schuurman and Goedewaagen, if I've pronounced that correctly --

Q Better than I would have pronounced it, no doubt, but go ahead.

A So that's a document from 1971. Again, it describes analysis of subsurface or roots and the techniques that are used to make those observations. Again, that one even references
other -- other studies dating back to early 1900s. So, again, this isn't a -- this is a new -- this isn't a new practice. It's something that is very common and is widely explored.

Q And what about this -- this is a more recent document that's on the right. That is Exhibit 42 on our list. Can you describe for the panel kind of what's -- what's in that study and why it's important to your work?

A I can. So this is a more recent, 2021, publication. And, again, it just shows that these techniques that we use that are -- are referenced in the Schuurman paper, excavation, trench profile wall, soil coring, these are all accurate methods that are still used today. And it did have some additional ones, but these are still commonly used techniques for this type of analysis.

Q And there's also one that's not on this slide, but it's our Exhibit 46, which was a US EPA 2015 paper, "Determination of the Biologically Relevant Sampling Depth for Terrestrial and Aquatic Ecological Risk Assessments." It's a mouthful. But is that something you also looked at as part of your work?

A We also rely on that as well, yes.

MR. FUNDERBURK:
At this time, Mr. Balhoff, I would
like to offer, file, and introduce
Exhibits 35, 42, and 46.
THE HEARING OFFICER:
Those are accepted into evidence.
MR. FUNDERBURK:
Thank you.
BY MR. FUNDERBURK:
Q And what you had seen in these papers that we've talked about regarding the analysis of roots, is that consistent with your education and your experience in this field?

A It is. So I -- I've learned some of these methods in my education. We've done these. Again, the types of work that we've done, the excavations are very commonly done when we do evaluations of soils, not just our root analysis. So these are very common methods that I have used.

Q All right. We're getting into site-specific stuff now. Why is it important, first of all, to do a site-specific analysis of the vegetation and the root zones?

A You can make some general determinations of rooting depth, and there -- there's, you know,
publicly available, you know, articles and things like that that discuss these things. But it's very important to look at a specific situation in a site-specific study, looking at the soil types, the hydrology, the setting, and any kind of other influences that there could be on these -- on this vegetation that could potentially impact the rooting depth of these particular species. So that's why we like to do a site-specific assessment.

Q And up here on the screen is a term we haven't spoken about yet, and it's called "effective root zone." What -- what is an effective root zone?

A So the effective root zone is the depth at which the soils go into the soil profile that are necessary for a plant's growth and reproduction. Again, it's not the -- the deepest roots, but it is the majority of the roots. So we look for the majority of the roots that help produce a growing vegetation and complete its life cycle.

Q And did you do that in this case? In other words, did you go out in the field and look to determine the effective rooting zone of these native plants?

A I did.
Q And you did that with Dr. Holloway. Correct?

A That's correct. Yes.
Q So let's talk about the general method of doing so here, and I'll let you walk us through left to -- to right. I mean, this is -- this is get-your-hands-dirty kind of science here. Right?

A It is. It's definitely labor-intensive. Right? And so that's why these studies can take some time. And that's why it took us two days to investigate a small property, but, again, it's worth it, because it's very good data. It's a very efficient way of making these determinations.

Q And what we've --
A So --
Q So you've got on this left side -- and we do again --

MR. FUNDERBURK:
And for the panel, we will be
providing this presentation after we are done here with his testimony, and they do have the Bates labels down here on the bottom of the pictures to get you to these same photographs later on.

BY MR. FUNDERBURK:
Q Excavation of the tree roots, why are you -- why are you doing that?

A So in order to identify the rooting pattern of the trees, we'll actually excavate around them. So we'll take hand shovels, shovels, spades, a number of different items used, and tools, and we will dig around the roots themselves and excavate them so that we can determine the depth of them.

Q And then they're spray-painted for what reason?

A It's just for visual. It's easier to see, you know, in the photographs and things like that. And then as we go through the -- my testimony, we'll show the maps that we actually draw of the rooting pattern itself. So it's important to excavate so that you can find the -- the depth of which the roots are growing and assess the density which in they exist in this wall profile.

Q And I think that it's also important for the -- for the panel to understand. I mean, we know we -- we know people say a picture is worth a thousand words. Right? But you're not making your determinations based upon these pictures.
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Correct?
A No. You know, these are just, you know, for reference. But the determinations are based on what we visibly see in the -- in a situation.

Q And that's why you actually go out in the field yourself?

A That's correct. Yes.
Q So we can -- you can try and show us what you're talking about from the pictures, but your observations are done in the field, and you write those down at that time. Correct?

A That's correct.
Q So what are we seeing here in this trench profile wall?

A So for a trench profile wall, we dig a trench. Typically, we would be digging 2-feet-by-2-feet-by-3-feet, and we did that in this case as well. And what we'll do is we will find the vegetation that we're looking at, find a specific specimen, that is, where we have the dominant vegetation occurring, and then we'll dig a trench.

And then what we'll do is we'll establish this profile wall that we can look at. We'll put a tape measure on the side, as you can see on the
left, starting at the ground surface down to the bottom of the trench, and we'll take a -- you know, a knife and just pick apart the soil.

So you can see on the right side of the picture, you know, the sheared side of it where, you know, the shovel has a very nice flat, you know, side to it or face to it. So what we'll do is we'll actually take the knife to pick out the soil so we can get a good idea and determination of the effective root zone and see the roots themselves, and that's what you can see in the picture.

Q And on the right here, you have the soil coring. Why is there soil coring in addition to this trench profile wall?

A So this is just to make sure that we fully assess the depths and determination of the distribution of the roots. So you can see in this picture -- that's Jake Robertson, another individual with ERM, a little bit younger back than myself that's helping.

And so he takes a hand auger, and so at the bottom of our trench, he just extends the auger through the soil. We remove that soil itself, slice it open, and look at the profile
itself to see if there's any roots existing below our profile -- our trench.

Q And so here, again -- and we won't -won't go through these in great detail like we just did, but very similar to what we're seeing, this is a result -- a picture of the -- a root around one of those trees, a trench profile wall, again, and this is the soil core on the far right that you were talking about. Correct?

A That's correct. So, again, we'll have the cores. We'll lay them down. We'll cut them open, and then we'll do the same method of picking out -- picking at the soil to expose any roots that are in the interior of that core.

Q And what is this figure that you have down here at the bottom?

A So, again, and the panel has probably seen these type of things before, it's just a way of showing how we would measure abundance. So in this -- this is, again, from the Schuurman \& Goedewaagen, just showing the density. And as we go through my testimony, we'll go through it, and you'll see these -- these -- the nomenclature used as abundant or common in many -- and this is just a diagram showing the type of distribution. It's
common -- commonly done in, you know, soil matrix as well when we're looking at redoximorphic features and things like that. The panel has probably seen things like that. And the same thing for when we determine a percent cover of vegetation and things like that. So these are common -- common methods of identifying these things.

Q And in the scientific and, frankly, practical community, is a root zone study like this something that is commonly accepted?

A It is. It's used specifically in irrigation oftentimes, again, looking at the effective root zone when watering or applications of any kind of fertilizers and things like that. It -- it's used. And there are published papers as well that have, you know, studies on effective root zone of crops and other plants.

Q And are you aware of, on this site, anyone else doing this type of root zone analysis?

A No.
Q All right. So explain to the panel what we're looking at on this slide, please.

A Okay. So on the right-hand side, it's -this is an aerial image of the site. Again, the
star in the center is where the well -- well was located previously. And you can see the outline of -- of the fence as well and the road that extends from the west to the southwest to the entrance gate that we saw in the previous picture.

And just looking at the -- the site itself, you can see that it's all -- all the vegetation is herbaceous there. And then there's other dots that we have. The yellow dots indicate the herbaceous species of the nonwoody, the grasses, the sedges, and -- that we assessed.

And then you can also see the green dots, which are the two tree species that we were able to investigate on site. Again, there weren't any trees around other than these two, but we thought that it would be important to have a sampling of tree species as well.

Q Right. You kept your investigation in this -- this very field where this well site is?

A That's correct.
Q And you can see the boundaries with the roads and ditches, et cetera?

A Yes.
Q And how did you -- how did you choose these, you know, five herbaceous and the two
trees?
A So when we first enter a site, we will drive the entire site looking at the condition of the vegetation. We will assess the different types of vegetation that are present. And so for this one, we found areas where we had different species that were kind of dominating. Again, there's a lot of mix out in the pasture here that -- and -- but we were able to find some good areas that have good representation of the type of vegetation that's naturally growing here.

Q Great. And so before we get into these specific areas, these specific sites, what was your general observation of the property from a health standpoint? And when I say "health," I'm talking about health of vegetation.

A The vegetation all appeared healthy, productive, growing. There were, again, cattle present that were grazing here as well. So it appeared that everything -- in my opinion, that everything was healthy.

Q Yeah. We -- on our site visit with -with LDNR, with the panelists, we had to wait on some of those cows to get out of the way.

A Right. Yeah. We had to -- we had to shoo
them away at some of our -- around some of our sample locations. They -- they like to get there and be nosy.

Q And I noticed that the areas that you've chosen are all outside of the barbed wire fence well site area. Why is that?

A So we really want to look at, you know, the native conditions around. We don't want to look at any area that could have any kind of potential impacts, either -- you know, from the well site itself. So we're looking at, if there were remediation required, what would the goal be for -- for restoring that effective root zone in that operations area.

Q All right. Well, let's go dive into the specific areas here. And so let's talk about this live oak. Why did you choose this -- well, we already talked about kind of why you chose this live oak, but we'll show where it is up here. This map shows the location. That's, what, about a quarter to a half-mile away from the well site?

A That's correct.
Q And what did you do as far as studying this tree?

A So we -- again, we -- we selected this --
it's not the dominant vegetation on site. This is a single individual tree that we located, likely a shade tree. Looking through the historical aerials, you can see that the tree has been there for a very long time. We measured the diameter, and understand that it's a fairly old tree.

So what we did was we selected that in case there would be the potential future growing of trees on the site. But, again, it was not a representative of the dominant vegetation here now.

So we selected the tree. We would take general observations looking at the tree. As you can see on the left-hand side, you know, you have the typical type branching, as, I'm sure, the panel has seen live oaks before. They were very tall, green, growing, full of foliage. There were no, like, epicormic branching or witches' broom, or any kind of stunted growth on this tree. So that's a good candidate that we like to look at. We want to look at a -- a healthy, growing individual and determine the effective root zone of those.

Q And I think you went through this in a little bit of generality earlier, but as far as
trying to locate the roots, what is it that y'all do?

A So this is just a snapshot in time that we're looking at here in the middle of what our investigation included, but what we'll do is we'll -- we'll look at the root collar, looking at these main lateral roots that are extending, that you can kind of see in that picture, and they extend out in kind of a webbed pattern.

And what we'll do is we'll follow those, and we'll dig along and excavate those along the profile. We try and follow them as far as they can go, but, you know, lateral roots can grow pretty far. We also take a T -probe or a metal probe and probe around and underneath to make sure that we get a good understanding of the composition of the roots at this place.

Q So you're talking about a -- like, a metal rod to see what's down underneath the surface?

A That's correct. So in -- in conjunction with the excavation, we probe around and help follow the roots and determine if there's, you know, subsurface roots and things like that as well.

Q Did you take down your observations in
real time?
A We do. So what -- what I did was collected the data. Again, here's an example of the data sheet that we would -- that we collected for this site. On the left again, the top, it just includes general information about the site. And then you can see the -- the map of root distribution that we have for this -- for this species here.

And what we'll do is we'll paint --spray-paint them out and stand at the bole, or the trunk of the tree, and draw that diagram to -- to present this to -- in our report.

Q What is "DBH" here?
A That's the diameter at breast height. So that is about -- approximately $41 / 2$ feet from the ground surface. We'll take a tape measure and wrap it around the trunk of the tree, or bole of the tree, to determine that. And those are -those are used, you know, in determination of age of trees sometimes and things like that and also look at the health of the -- of the tree.

Q And this is a pretty old and healthy tree?
A It is. It was -- it was -- like I said, it was probably a good shade tree for the farmers
and -- and the cows probably.
Q And did you then go in and map this out yourself, or who drew that for you?

A I did. So I drew that out. And, again, you know, these are representations of what we observed on site. Again, these are -- you know, it's not to scale. Again, you know, my Art 101 class comes in handy somewhat, but, again, we tried our best to -- to draw the root profile as we saw it in the field.

Q And so you came to the conclusion -- you and Dr. Holloway came to the conclusion that the effective root zone is ten inches below ground surface. How did you come to that conclusion?

A That's correct. So that's based on looking at these major lateral roots and also looking at the profile itself where you have a lot more of the finer roots at the surface that are growing from these major lateral roots. And they're the ones that do, you know, the heavy lifting so to speak of the plant, and that's where the nutrients and water are being absorbed. And so in our investigation, we took our measurements, we dug our excavations around those roots, and that was the determination that we made.

Q All right. Let's move on to tree number two. This is a sugarberry, or hackberry, tree. And, you know, you chose this one. This was one of the two trees in the pasture. Correct?

A It was. So -- so it gave us another representation of -- of a woody species, a sugarberry, but, you know, it's more commonly known as a hackberry. And we had similar observations that we made. This tree, there were a lot more roots growing on the surface itself, as you can see in that photograph in the middle. And we mapped those out, we dug around those, we excavated below, we probed below and around, and we made our determination of the effective root zone.
We also -- in the next slide you'll see we did the same thing. We collected our data, filled out our data sheets, made our measurements and things like that, and came to our conclusion that the effective root zone for this tree is also ten inches.

Q And probably given the time of the year and as much sunshine, this was a spot you would have liked to have hang out -- to have hung out in for a little while longer because of the shade.

Right?
A It was. So it's better than just being in a pasture and sticking your head down in a hole for -- for hours.

Q Well, let's get on to that part, because here, you had the same effective rooting zone, ten inches. Correct?

A That is correct.
Q Through the same method. Correct?
A That is correct.
Q But as far as this site is concerned, the herbaceous vegetation is much more dominant?

A It is and looking at the use as -- as a pasture, that's why we get selected -- and because of the dominance of the vegetation -- why we selected these -- these species.

So the first one here is Bermuda grass. Again, this is -- you know, the cattle like this. Again, they were grazing all in this area, and we had to shoo some of them away. But we found a stand of Bermuda grass that was dominant, and we did multiple methods here at our first site.

So we dug our trench profile wall and -as you can see in this center picture there. And the panel, if you can see, again, this is just
kind of a representation of what we saw on site, and since you -- but you can see the majority of the roots are right there at the surface and only extend several inches down with, you know, notable smaller root hairs down through the profile.

But to continue down, we used the soil coring method as well, and then observed the rooting pattern in that depth as well. And this location is to the -- if you look at the map there, it's to the bottom right-hand corner of this field.

Q And there -- you've selected some photographs on here. We've got the Bates numbers on there, but these aren't all the photographs that you took out there. Correct?

A That's correct. We took -- we took multiple.

Q Right. And there's actually an Appendix B to your report, Bates labeled 471 -- God bless you.

A Thank you.
Q -- to 491 that has additional photographs, if the panel would like to see those. Correct?

A That's correct.
Q And as far as -- we've also looked at the
root data form; so we'll move to the root data form here. But the full root data forms are also included as Appendix $C$ to your report. Correct?

A That's correct. And the herbaceous are a little bit different, where we, you know, map the root abundance. So in this particular case, we -we excavated and used the soil core method to go 50 inches down in the profile.

And, again, the -- from the picture you saw previously, most of the roots are in that top inch or two, and that's where we had that determination of abundant rooting at the top and then moving down to common, sparse, and very sparse through the profile.

And here we determined that the effective rooting zone is ten inches. But even looking at this picture, you can see it's -- the rooting density is much greater in the top six inches. But, again, being a little conservative in this, we -- we identified ten inches as the effective rooting zone.

Q And there are terms that were used back on that -- on that form, "Common," "Sparse," "Very sparse." Where do you get those terms from?

A So those are terms that, again, are, you
know, referenced in the Dutch bible and other scientific literature and things like that, and, again, that diagram showing the general
understanding of what an abundant root system would look like and the density in that. So that's what we use and years and years of experience and probably hundreds of holes that we've dug -- that I've dug and stuck my whole head down in a hole and looking at roots over the years and -- to be able to make those determinations.

Q So here, the effective rooting zone of ten inches below ground surface is -- is where you stopped seeing those common roots?

A That's correct. That's correct.
Q And you go to sparse to very sparse?
A That's correct. Again, you know, we're -we're conservative in our approach, where, if we're able to visually see any type of roots, you know, we'll -- we'll include those.

Q Let's talk about this next one, and it's one that I hadn't -- I don't think I've heard of. I know Bermuda grass, but I've never heard of short-bristle horned beaksedge. Can you tell the panel about what -- what that is?

A I can. So this is a -- this is a sedge
species. There were numerous sedge species on site, but this is a good -- good representation, a good stand that we found of this. It's a -actually, a wet species. So it's normally found in wet areas. And most of these sedges that we saw and inspected, they all have similar rooting distribution and rooting patterns. So we selected this one as a good representation of -- of those species on site.

Q And you see here -- this is a lot of roots right there at the -- at the very surface.

A Again, so this type of species -- and at this particular location, it probably is not visible in these photos, but there was surface water present. It was very wet here. And so species like this, they'll do that. Right? They'll grow where the surface -- you know, where they can get some air, you know, the nutrients, the water. Right? So that's -- that's where this is a little bit different than some of the other species we observed.

Q And is that why you chose this spot, because it was a little bit wetter?

A It was, yes. And the location is just north of the well pad area; so there was just
this smaller kind of microtopography depression in that field, and it was holding a little bit of water.

Q Got it. So the effective rooting zone of seven inches below the ground surface there, again, is where you start going from abundant, or common, to sparse to very sparse?

A That's correct. And we used the same methods as before, you know, dig a trench, and, you know, worked through, looking at the root profile, so yes. And seven inches was the effective rooting zone for this species.

Q Another one that I hadn't heard of is the annual marsh-elder. And can you explain to the panel why you chose this?

A So we selected this. This was near the trees, as you can see in this photograph. There's a very dense stand of the march-elder here. It's an herbaceous -- it's more of a weedy type species in pastures. It's not palatable to -- to livestock. I guess, you know, some history was that Native Americans used it. But -- but that's why we selected this species, because of the dominance in this area, and it was a representation of a different type of species that
we wanted to look at.
Q And I didn't ask this of the last two, but I was just reminded to ask now. The roots that you saw there on the herbaceous vegetation, did -were those healthy, the ones that were abundant and common?

A They were. So we -- that's one thing that we always look at in -- in our investigations. So I'll look at the roots, and we'll take them -- and there's a lot of different roots. So being able to identify roots for individual plants is important, too, and with a lot of experience in the field and doing this work for many years, I've been able to do that. So we will look at that.

We'll also look whether they're living or dying. So a lot of areas here, particularly in South Louisiana, with things like this, you have a lot of anaerobic activity and things like that where you will have decomposition, and some of these roots can be there for a very long time, but they're dead and not -- so we have to make sure that we make note of that as well. You know, there's just different methods that you kind of test whether or not -- you know, the rigidity and sheathing and other things like that to tell
whether a root is alive or dead.
Q And the herbaceous vegetation itself, did it also appear to be healthy in these areas?

A It did. As you can see in the photograph, it was all growing, and, you know, this is related to the ragweed, so it had a little congestion around here.

Q Understood. And so, again, you put together -- in real time, based upon your personal observations, you put together this form, and you came to what conclusion on the effective root zone?

A So the effective root zone for this species was six inches. And you can see there, you know, we have all of our removed soil for our trench and the datasheet that accompanied this.

Q Let's talk about crabgrass, and I'm sure everybody is a little familiar with crabgrass.

A Uh-huh.
Q Why did you choose that?
A So it was -- it was another species that we found within this pasture. It was, you know, another location that was green, healthy, growing. It had a nice, good patch of crabgrass, which that's probably not the term you want to use for
crabgrass, but -- you know, if it's in your yard.
But we did the same thing. We dug our trench, again, picked apart. You can see here in the -- in the center of the photo looking at the root distribution and identifying as we went down, looking down in this trench, looking at the root distribution as well. This is more centrally located north -- in this field, north of the well site, and we found an effective rooting zone of six inches for this site.

Q And how are you getting, you know, say, this picture right here? Who is taking that picture?

A So I -- well, I'll take either a camera or my, you know, iPhone, and we'll put it down in there. Still working on some methods of -- of photography to try and get better profile pictures, you know, going down, but yeah. So you get on your hands and knees down in the -- in the dirt and try -- try and get a good -- good shot that members of the panel and others that, you know, want to investigate this can -- can view what we did.

Q And what are we looking at in this picture here?

A So that's a picture of the field. Again, you know, you can see there's -- well, to my eye. You can see a very -- numerous species in the background and then the species that we're looking at in the foreground, and then there's the trench. So there's -- there's the hole that we dig with the tape measure coming out of it with the soil that we extracted. We always replace the soil back as well, you know, try and leave as little damage as possible when we investigate these plants as well.

Q And you found an effective rooting zone here of six inches below the ground surface?

A That's correct.
Q So let's go to our last one here, and we're closing in.

A Okay.
Q You know, we're about to wrap up here. But you chose Bermuda grass again. And why did you choose Bermuda grass twice?

A So, again, this is looking to the far north. So it's -- as far as the distance, it was a great -- great distance from the first Bermuda grass sample. But, again, this is a species -there's different varieties of Bermuda grass that
cattle like to eat. And so being the -- that importance for that purpose and that land use currently at the site, we selected this second one.

Again, you can see from the picture on the left, very, very robust, productive vegetation. The profile wall is, you know, one of -- one of the photos that we selected here, again, showing a very shallow rooting depth at this area -- like all the vegetation in this site, a very shallow rooting depth and an effective root zone of seven inches at this site.

Q And that's -- that's actually a little shallower than the first Bermuda grass. Correct?

A It is. And that -- and that's why we do these investigations, -- we do site-specific -because you could look and take some general assumptions on rooting depth, but that's why we like to look at these specific sites, site-specific details, so that we can give the panel and those that make decisions the effective rooting zone in -- in multiple ways.

Q Got it. And, again, you've got the form that you did. We've got the photographs, including the coring over here.

A That is correct.
Q So let's talk about the results of all your work. We've spent the last, you know, 30, 45 minutes going through what you did. What were the results?

A So the results were that we identified the deepest effective root zone as ten inches. Again, some of the other herbaceous species were more shallow than that, but all this -- all the root vegetation that we observed were green, growing, healthy, being productive. So we -- we also noticed that there were some in flower and other things like that. So all life cycles were being -- were observed as well. So we determined that, if required, that a remediation depth of 12 inches would be adequate and sufficient to sustain the growth of the vegetation that we observed on site.

Q And this is -- this chart here is part of your report --

A That is correct.
Q -- and included in that report, Bates No. 454?

A Yes.
Q So let's talk about the opinions that you
reached here. Can you give the panel a summary of your opinions? It's contained in your report, but I would like them to hear it from you so -especially so that they can ask any questions about these opinions before you are done with your testimony.

A Okay. Sure. So, generally speaking, that all the vegetation that we observed was green. It was healthy. It was growing. We were able to observe the rooting depth and determine the effective root zone. And all the species that we identified and viewed on site, very shallow roots. They were -- the roots themselves were also healthy. And then we determined that the deepest effective rooting zone is ten inches. But, also, the opinions were -- the panel has seen the site and been on site and know for themselves what the -- the pastures are being used for, and that's the land use that we -- we saw out there.

For -- if required, the potential
remediation depth for our assessment is 12 inches. But, again, on site, understanding, looking at the most feasible plan, that there are some recommendations potentially for deeper depths, which I agree with, if we are able to reach that
effective rooting zone for these species.
Q And you've read the limited admission plan, and Mr. Angle will testify about it later.

A That's correct.
Q And if any remediation is going to be performed out there, that's going to be soil blending. Correct?

A That's correct. So if you -- if you think of soil, we're not just thinking of just the mineral materials. Right? So we have mycorrhizal fungi, bacteria, nematodes. It's a living thing. So it's this composition that has existed for hundreds, thousands of years.

And so to upset that through these remediation processes -- we want to try and limit that as much as possible. So if remediation is required at this site, amendments, adding hay and things like that -- some things like that and mixing is a little bit more beneficial for this purpose than digging, hauling, and removing and all that.

Q So you would agree that soil blending would be preferable to a dig-and-haul, if remediation is required?

A In my opinion, yes.

Q And based on your observations, did you see any effect on the vegetation from any oil and gas activities on the site?

A I did not, not from oil and gas.
Q Mr. Ritchie, were you able to get your opinions and your observations out to the panel during your testimony here today?

A I have.
Q And I think we've -- I think we've covered everything that you were here to testify about. I certainly thank you for your time and working through this with the panel.

MR. FUNDERBURK:
So, Mr. Balhoff, I would pass the witness on to see if the panel has any questions that they would like to ask of him. THE HEARING OFFICER:

Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much. I do not see anybody on the Zoom feed from the Veron Bice firm. If so, identify yourself, and $I$ would invite questions from the Veron Bice firm if they are on the feed. (NO RESPONSE)

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. So it doesn't look like they
are here. So we're going to go to the panel, and I'm going to leave it up to Mr. Snelgrove to decide the order of the panel as far as questions. Okay.

MR. SNELGROVE:
Okay. Can we take a break?
THE HEARING OFFICER:

Okay. Okay. You want to take a
ten-minute break?
MR. SNELGROVE:
Ten minutes.

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Ten-minute break. Okay. So we're going to take a ten-minute break, and then we'll start. Thank you.

MR. FUNDERBURK:
Thank you.
(RECESS TAKEN)
THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. So we're back on the record. And, Mr. Snelgrove, does the panel have questions?

MR. SNELGROVE:
We do, just a few. And we thank you, Mr. Ritchie, for your testimony.

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Let me move this over. Hang on.
MR. SNELGROVE:
Thank you. Can you hear me well?
THE WITNESS:
I can. Thank you.
MR. SNELGROVE:
Good morning to you, and we, again, appreciate your testimony and just have a few questions.

THE WITNESS:
Okay.
MR. SNELGROVE:
So, first, for -- just for
edification purposes or whatever, if -- in the very beginning of your presentation, there were a few photos of the site entry, you know, where the cattle guard was, and a couple of views of the road and the property. What was the day or the -- or, at least, the season and maybe the year when those photos were taken?

THE WITNESS:
Those were in September 2021; so that was during -- during our investigation. MR. SNELGROVE:

Okay. Got you.
THE WITNESS:
Uh-huh.
MR. SNELGROVE:
All right. And, secondly -- and I'm going to ask this question, and it may get -you know, it may -- it may morph, but I'm going to attempt to say it as succinctly as possible the first time around, but -- so there were -- there were two tree species and four grass species that were selected --

THE WITNESS:
That's correct.
MR. SNELGROVE:
-- for the root zone study. And so the question is, are those -- are those -were those species determined to be representative of a root zone study -effective root zone depth for conditions that would go beyond, say, just cattle grazing? In other words, if the property would return to a state where there would be no cattle, then go to fallow, if you will, and other species that we observed when we were on our site visit -there were other trees. There were brushes.

There were other species out there, maybe not in the vicinity proper where -- where the limited admission is located; however, you know, coming along that road, there were -there was a tree line and what have you.

So, again, the question would be, you know, the species that were selected, are they going -- are they going to be representative of conditions and be -- and allow for growth of other species that may enter into that area if there were no cows or no -- no conditioning of the land in that area for cattle grazing and allowed to return to something more of a native or natural state with -- with the other species in -- you know, in consideration?

THE WITNESS:
Yes. And I appreciate that question. And the answer is, yes, it would. So, again, it -- it is representative. That's why we selected species like the marsh-elder, which is not something that cattle use to graze. So it would be something that you would -- or a species that you would see in a fallow state. I've done numerous studies of fallow lands and
also in some agricultural settings as well, and so that -- these root zones would be representative of that.

As far as the trees go, that's why we selected the two trees, in case there was some potential in the future of planting trees. As you mentioned, that there were trees along the tree line itself, but for our investigations, we typically don't want to look at trees that are near waterbodies, on spoil banks, along banks and stuff, because just the natural rooting structure would not be the same for those conditions.

So the answer is, yes, it would be representative of any kind of species. MR. SNELGROVE:

Okay. So what I'm hearing then is -and certainly correct me if I'm not getting this correct, but you are -- you've established that there are two different settings here. The species that we located or observed in the tree line area, in your opinion, would not necessarily be -- would have an advantageous growth at the property where the tank battery and the -- and the
features are that were -- you know, that's part of the limited admission.

So is there -- is that -- is that correct that there would be -- because there's a different setting there, different environmental conditions, you would expect to have a different strand of -- of native vegetation that would occur, and the species that you selected would -- would, therefore, be representative of what the expectation would be in a fallow condition for -- allowing for the roots to do what they need to do to support the growth of those species?

THE WITNESS:
That's correct. So along river banks and things like that, that would be considered a different type of habitat, so to speak, than what you would have in the interior of the pasture itself. And so that's why we -- we selected those trees that were in the pasture proper instead of along the river banks itself.

MR. SNELGROVE:
Okay. That -- that takes care of it
for me. It was more of a site-specific,
setting-specific conditions, and you found the species that were in or nearby to -- to select for -- for the purposes of your root zone study?

THE WITNESS:
That is correct.
MR. SNELGROVE:
Okay.
MS. LOVE:
I don't have any other questions.
MR. SNELGROVE:
They have no further questions.
THE WITNESS:
Great. Thank you.
MR. SNELGROVE:
Thank you.
MR. FUNDERBURK:
I'll trade spots with you.
THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. We are going to adjourn until
Monday at 8:30, and the participants here will be in their same locations, and the court reporter will be there at 8:30 ready to go Monday morning.

MR. FUNDERBURK:

Yes, sir. I had one -- one other little thing just to mention as we go into Dave Angle and Angela Levert's testimony come Monday is that Ms. Levert has specifically mentioned her Exhibit 45 that is in our list of non-planned exhibits that are some RECAP evaluation tables. I know that's something that she will be spending some time on during her testimony. So she just wanted to call those out as, you know, maybe something -something, if the panel wants to look at it over the weekend, that she'll be spending some time on.

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. John, one question. I know you have estimates in the list that you gave me for witnesses. Let's see. I don't know if I can put my hands on it but -- not to hold you to it, but what do you think in terms of length? We start at 8:30 and we take normal breaks, what -- what do you feel like the day looks like?

MR. FUNDERBURK:
I think we should be early to
midafternoon. That is a very safe estimate
for us to be done.
THE HEARING OFFICER:
Okay. Sounds good. Okay. We're going to adjourn -- adjourn until Monday morning, 8:30. Thank you. MR. FUNDERBURK:

Thank you very much. Have a good day.

THE HEARING OFFICER:
Thank you.
(OFF THE RECORD AT 10:33 A.M.)
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| $\begin{gathered} 1038 \\ 17: 7 \end{gathered}$ | $2$ |  | $4$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10: 00 \\ & 22: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 21,22 \\ & 25: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 16 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ |
| $10: 15$ | 2-feet-by-2- | 3 | 20:20 54:4 |
| $22: 21$ | feet-by-3- | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 11 \quad 18: 3 \\ & 26: 8,14 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 10:33 | feet 61:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 8,14 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $42$ |
| $11^{96: 11}$ | 2000 | 84:3 | 56:7 57:4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8: 13 \quad 9: 11 \\ & 26: 9,14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 23 \quad 9: 4 \\ & 29: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 29 \\ & \quad 11: 1,11 \quad 12: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 45 <br> 24:23 84:3 |
| $110$ | 2005 $38: 14$ | 30:29(C) | 95:5 |
| 17:7 | 38:14 | 12:3 | 454 |
| 12 | 2006 $10: 19$ | $30: 29(C)(1)$ $13: 15$ | 84:23 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}26: 20 & 27: 1 \\ 33: 15 & 36: 22\end{array}$ | $2013$ | $30: 29(C)(3)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 56: 19 \quad 57: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 33: 15 & 36: 22 \\ 84: 15 & 85: 21 \end{array}$ | $17: 7$ | $17: 22$ | $47$ |
| $13$ | 2015 | 30:29 (I) | 45:1, 3, 19 |
| 9:15 | $\begin{array}{ll} 9: 19 & 29: 1 \\ 40: 1 & 56: 20 \end{array}$ | 11:7 |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & 471 \\ & \quad 74: 19 \end{aligned}$ | A | act $10: 19,25$ | advised $15: 10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 491 \\ & \quad 74: 22 \end{aligned}$ | A | 13:16 17:8,9 | aerial |
|  | A. M. | action | 64:25 |
| 4:30 | 96:11 | 10:20,24 | aerials |
| 22:7,11,14, | abandoned | 14:18 | 51:2 68:4 |
| 17 | 9:17 | activities | affairs |
|  | able | 9:9 87:3 | 39:2 |
| 5 | 31:7 35:24 | activity | affidavit |
|  | 44:20 51:22 | 79:18 | 13:1,3 |
| 50 | 65:13 66:9 | actual | afternoon |
| 25:11 75:8 | 76:10,18 | 50:16 | 22:5,22 |
| 5x5 | 79:10,14 | adding | afterwards |
| 35:13 | 85:9,25 87:5 | 86:17 | 46:15 |
|  | absolutely | addition | age |
| 6 | 46:6 | 10:15 22:12 | 70:20 |
|  | absorbed | 62:14 | agencies |
| 6 | 71:22 | additional | 13:25 15:3 |
| 8:20 | abundance | 10:23 56:16 | 43:5 |
| 60 | 63:19 75:6 | 74:22 | agency |
| 13:19 | abundant $63: 24 \quad 75: 12$ | Additionally 40:25 $40: 25$ | $14: 17$ |
|  | 76:4 78:6 | adequate | 85:25 86:22 |
| 7 | 79:5 | 84:16 | agreement |
| 7 | accepted | adjourn | 9:2,4 |
| 8:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 21 \quad 26: 3 \\ & 1827: 3,12 \end{aligned}$ | $94: 20 \quad 96: 4$ | agreements |
| 75 | $45: 21 \quad 47: 11$ | administrate $39: 9$ | 10:9 |
| 36:23 | $1948: 3$ | administratio | agricultural <br> 43:11 92:1 |
|  | 54:21 57:6 |  | agronomic |
| 8 | 64:11 | 39:9 | $39: 2$ |
| 8 | accompanied $80: 16$ | admission | ahead |
| 9:6 12:12,15 | accordance | 11:9,14,15, | 25:1 48:4 |
| 8:30 | 14:22 17:11 | 8,9 14:12,13 | 55:21 |
| 21:11,12,15 | accounting | 26:12 29:6 | aids $20: 19.21$ |
| 94:21,23 | 39:9 | 43:21 44:9 |  |
| 95:20 96:5 | accurate | 45:8 49:4,7 | $77: 18$ |
|  | 56:14 | 50:9 86:2 | alive |
| 9 | acquisition | 91:3 93:2 | $80: 1$ |
|  | 36:16 | admissions | allow |
| 95$35: 21$ | acre | 11:12,20 | 11:20 91:10 |
|  | 50:18,21 | advantageous | allowed |
|  | across | $92: 24$ | $91: 13$ |
|  | 35:16 36:8, | adverse | allowing |
|  | 24 37:5 | 16:1 20:17 | $93: 11$ |


| Amended | application <br> 41.24 | around | Associates 36.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| amendments | applications | 51:5 60:6,8 | assume |
| 86:17 | 64:14 | 63:7 65:15 | 45:22 |
| Americans | applied | 67:1, 8 | assuming |
| 78:22 | 17:15 41:11 | 69:15,21 | 20:9 |
| anaerobic | applying | 70:18 71:24 | assumptions |
| 79:18 | 13:20 | 72:12,13 | 83:18 |
| analysis | appreciate | 80:7 90 | athlete |
| 30:1 47:17 | 8:3 20:1,7 | arrive | 38:7 |
| 55:11,13,23 | 21:1,6 44:25 | 19:1 | attached |
| 56:17 57:11, | 89:9 91:18 | Art | 8:23 9:5 |
| 18,22 64:20 | appreciative | 71:7 | 11:16 |
| analytical | 28:17 | Article | attempt |
| 39:13 | approac | 11:13 | 90:8 |
| anatomy | 76:17 | articles | auger |
| 42:22 | appropriate | 58:1 | 62:22,24 |
| Angela | 15:20 54:13 | Arville | August |
| 7:21 95:3 | approve | 37:9 | 8:23 |
| Angle | 13:22,23 | asked | author |
| 6:6,8,9 21:5 | 16:15 | 19:23 23:21 | 29:7 44:11 |
| 24:20 25:9 | approving | 46:8 | authority |
| 29:16,20 | 17:16 | asking | 14:17 |
| 49:20 86:3 | approximately | 30:21 31:20 | available |
| 95:3 | 50:21 70:16 | 46:19 | 58:1 |
| annual | April | assert | awarded |
| 78:14 | 12:15 | 10:23 | 42:7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { answer } \\ & 91: 19 \quad 92: 14 \end{aligned}$ | Aquatic $56: 22$ | assess 60:19 62:17 | aware |
| anybody | area | 66:4 | 64:19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7: 18 \quad 23: 5 \\ & 87: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 37:24 42:6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { assessed } \\ 65: 11 \end{gathered}$ | B |
| anyone | 17,21 51:5, | assessment |  |
| 64:19 | 23 52:5,10 | 58:10 85:21 | bachelor's |
| apart | 53:2 67:6,9, | assessments | back |
| 62:3 81:3 | 14 73:19 | 33:9 37:14, | $5: 18 \quad 14: 4,20$ |
| apologize | 77:25 78:24 | 18,19 42:12 | $23: 14 \quad 38: 9$ |
| 7:5 24:14 | 83:9 91:11, | 56:22 | $40: 4,16,18$ |
| appeared | 12 92:22 | assist | $48: 8,9 \quad 56: 1$ |
| 66:17,20 | areas | 35:23 | 62:20 75:22 |
| Appendix | 35:13,17 | associate | 82:9 88:20 |
| 45:8 49:6,11 | 42:3,8 51:3 | 41:20 | backdrop |
| 74:18 75:3 | 52:21 53:1 | associate's | $31: 24$ |
|  | 66:6,10,13 | 38:5 | background |
| 13:20 17:12, | 67:4,16 77:5 | associated | $34: 12,22$ |
| 15 | 79:16 80:3 | 10:3 | $82: 4$ |


| backgrounds | begin | 78:2 86:19 | Brumby |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:7,9 | 4:17 | blending | 15:13 |
| 18:10,11 | beginning | 86:7,22 | brushes |
| bacteria | 46:5 89:16 | bless | 90:25 |
| 86:11 | behalf | 74:19 | bunch |
| Balhoff | 7:22 | bole | 39:15 |
| 4:2 23:16 | behind | 70:11,18 | business |
| 24:6 28:13 | 31:22 51:22 | botany | 39:8 45:15 |
| $31: 10 \quad 54: 17$ | belief | 39:4 42:10 |  |
| 57:2 87:14 | 15:22 | 47:15 | c |
| banks | believe | bottom |  |
| 92:10,11 | 20:13 23:21 | 50:7 51:17 | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{U}$ |
| 93:15,21 | 28:7 30:4 | 59:23 62:2, | 8:15 |
| Barbe | below | 23 63:16 | C. W. |
| 4:8 13:1 | 63:1 71:13 | 74:10 | 4:9 |
| barbed | $72: 13 \quad 76: 12$ | bottomland | Calcasieu |
| 51:5 52:4 | 78:5 82:13 | 35:17 | $4: 12 \quad 8: 14$ |
| 67:5 | beneficial | boundaries | 49:15 50:11 |
| based | 86:19 | 65:21 | 54:6 |
| $16: 14 \quad 60: 25$ $61: 371: 15$ | Bermuda | branching | calculus |
| 61:3 71:15 | 73:17,21 | 68:15,18 | 38:21 |
| 80:9 87:1 | 76:22 82:19, | Brandon | Cali |
| baseline | 20,23,25 | 4:25 5:1,8 | 39:17 |
| 36:7 | 83:14 | Bray | call |
| basic | best | 12:24 | 4:18,20 7:19 |
| 48:13 | 20:13,24 | breach | 26:22 95:9 |
| basically | 71:9 | 10:7,15 | Call-in |
| 48:10 | better | breached | 5:20,22 |
| basis | 55:20 73:2 | 10:10 | called |
| 19:21 | 81:17 | break | 7:19 58:12 |
| Bates | bible | 21:16,17,21, | camera |
| 46:9,14, 21 | 55:16 76:1 | 24 22:3,11, | 23:14 31:19 |
| 47:4 49:16 | Bice | 19,21,23 | $81: 14$ |
| 50:6,8 59:23 | 87:20,22 | $88: 6,9,13,14$ | camping |
| $74: 13,19$ | biogeochemist | breaks | $39: 22$ |
| 84:22 |  | 18:21 21:24 | candidate |
| battery | 41:4 | 22:4,5 95:21 | candidate $68: 20$ |
| 51:2 92:25 | Biologically | breast |  |
| Bayou | $56: 20$ | 70:15 | $33: 11$ |
| 43:12 | biology | Brent | care |
| beaksedge | 38:11,16,20 | 12:24 | $93: 24$ |
| 76:23 | bit | brief |  |
| bear | 18:18 19:16 | 28:7 50:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { areer } \\ & 33: 20 \quad 38: \end{aligned}$ |
| 5:10 | 26:7 29:3 | briefly | $40: 12$ |
| bears | 45:2 62:20 | 14:15 |  |
| 49:16 | 68:25 75:5 | broom | $47: 3$ |
|  | $77: 20,23$ | $68: 18$ | $47: 3$ |


| case | chart | 11:12 14:10 | 56:16 57:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:7 11:23 | 84:19 | Colby | 64:1,11 72:7 |
| 18:19 20:17, | chemistry | 38:6 | community |
| 24 44:3,14 | 38:21,22 | collar | 38:6 64:10 |
| 58:22 61:18 | choose | 69:6 | Company |
| 68:8 75:6 | 65:24 67:17 | colleagues | 4:9 6:4 7:22 |
| 92:5 | 80:20 82:20 | 49:20 | 8:22 9:3,7 |
| cases | chose | collect | 11:9 13:7 |
| 19:8,18 | 67:18 72:3 | 37:19 | 17:3 |
| 36:23 | $77: 22$ 78:15 | collected | compensatory |
| cattle | 82:19 | 70:3,4 72:17 | 10:3 |
| 50:22, 23 | chosen | collection | complete |
| $51: 21 \quad 66: 18$ | 67:5 | 37:1 | 58:21 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 73: 18 & 83: 1 \\ 89: 18 & 90: 20 \end{array}$ | Choupique | college | completed |
| $2291: 13,22$ | 8:14,19 | 38:6 39:8 | 40:18 |
| caused <br> 10.12 11.5 | cited $17: 21$ | Colorado $39: 18$ | completing $40: 7$ |
| center | ```Civil 11:13 14:11``` | come $5: 18 \quad 19: 6,22$ | complex |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 51: 25 & 65: 1 \\ 73: 24 & 81: 4 \end{array}$ | Claire | $5: 1819: 6,22$ $22: 24 \quad 25: 8$ | complies |
| centrally | clas | 43:1 71:14 | 31:16 |
| 81:7 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { class } \\ 71: 8 \end{array}$ | 95:3 comes | composition $69: 17 \quad 86: 12$ |
| certain <br> 8.7 14:10 | cleanup | 14:4 71:8 | computer |
| certainly | 10:24 | comfortable | 5:16 |
| $28: 18 \quad 29: 21$ | clear | 31:5 | concerned |
| 30:15,17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:8 54:4 } \\ & \text { clerk } \end{aligned}$ | comment $15: 9$ | 26:2 73:11 concerns |
| $\begin{aligned} & 31: 3 \quad 87: 11 \\ & 92: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $19: 5$ | comments | 17:10 |
| certification | close | 12:23 13: | concert |
| 41:1,6,11,15 | 9:23 21:18 | $4: 6$ | 9 |
| 42:18 | closing $82: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 1 \quad 18: 1 \\ & 17 \quad 23: 1,3 \end{aligned}$ | conclusi $13: 19$ |
| certification | coa | 24:7 27:23 | 12,14 72:19 |
| $41: 13$ | 33:19 | Commissioner $12: 18$ | $80: 11$ |
| certified | coast | 12:18 <br> Commissioner' | concurrence $13: 10$ |
| 26:24 |  | $\mathbf{s}$ | condition |
| ```cetera 26:25 49:23``` | $35: 16$ | 27:7 | 66:3 93:11 |
| $65: 22$ | Coastwide | comm | conditioning |
| changed | 33:23 | 5:4 5 | 91:1 |
| 33:20 | coauthor | 4:1, | conditions |
| Chapter | 44:7,11 | , | 49:13 67:8 |
| 18:3,5 | coauthored | 13 78.7 | 90:19 91:9 |
| charge | 43:23 49:3 |  | 92:13 93:6 |
| 16:12 | Code | $13: 1655: 16$ | 94:1 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { conduct } \\ 12: 5 \end{gathered}$ | $28: 3 \quad 30: 21$ continue | $\begin{aligned} & 9,1074: 15, \\ & 16,23,24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { craft } \\ & \text { 15:25 } 20: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| conducted | 8:19 22:11 | 75:3,4 | crafted |
| 9:8,12 37:5 | 34:21 48:4 | 76:14,1 | 20:10 |
| 48:25 | 74:6 | 78:8 82:14 | CRMS |
| conducting | continuing | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 1484: 1, \\ & 2186: 4,7,8 \end{aligned}$ | 36:2 43:7 |
| 52:16 | 12:4 42:17, | $2186: 4,7,8$ | crops |
| Conference | 20 | 90:13 92:18, | 54:14 64:18 |
| 27:8 | contra | $19 \text { 93:4,15 }$ | cross- |
| congestion | 16:20,25 | 94:6 | examining |
| 80:6 | contracts | correctly | 19:18 |
| conjunction | 9:13 16:22 | 55:19 | cultivating |
| 29:11 69:20 | controvers | counsel | 54:14 |
| connected | 8:9 | 17 | current |
| 5:12 | conve | couple | 45:4 |
| connection | 13:17 | 18:17 24:7 | cut |
| 17:1 | convenient | 25:8 89:18 | 20:3 63:11 |
| Connelly | 21:22 | course | CV |
| 37:17 | copy | $9 \cdot 2 \cdot 4$ | 44:21 45:1, |
| Conservation | 45:3 | 39:2,4 | 4,19 47:3 |
| 4:15 12:20 | core | 38:20 39:4 | cycle |
| 14:5 15:11 | 63:8,14 75:7 | $40: 21,23,24$ | $58: 21$ |
| 16:6 18:2 | cores | $2541: 4,11$ | cycles |
| conservative $75: 19 \quad 76: 17$ | 3:11 | 42:21 | 84:1 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { consider } \\ \text { 16:12 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 14 \quad 62: 14 \\ & 74: 7 \quad 83: 25 \end{aligned}$ | coursework $40: 19 \quad 41: 24$ | D |
| consideration 15:2 91:16 | Corne $43: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { court } \\ & \text { 4:12 } 14: 20 \end{aligned}$ | dad 39:17 |
| considered $93: 16$ | corner $50: 7 \quad 74: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,13,21 \\ & 20: 11 \quad 23: \end{aligned}$ | damage $8: 6 \quad 10: 4,$ |
| consistent | correct | 20:24:3 | 12 11:6 12:2 |
| 57:12 | 4:21 6:25 | 26:11,12,14 | 17:18 82:10 |
| constitutes | 36:11,12,15, | $31: 25$ 32:7 | damages |
| 10:7 | 18 40:2 | 94:22 | 8:4,5 10:3 |
| consult | 44:6,10,14, | cover | 11:3 |
| 18:20 | 17,18 45:9, | 64:5 | data |
| consultant | 10,24 49:4, | covered | 36:2,4 37:1, |
| 33:7 | 5,7,8 50:1, | 87:9 | 19 59:13 |
| Consulting | 18,19 51:11 | cows | 70:3,4 |
| 12:25 | 53:4,7 55:4, | 66:24 71:1 | 72:17,18 |
| contained | , | 91:11 | 75:1,2 |
| 85:2 | 61:1,7,11,12 |  | datasheet |
| contaminants | $65: 20$ | 80:17,18,24 | 80:16 |
| 9:22 | $69: 20 \quad 71: 15$ | 81:1 | date |
| context | 72:4 73:7,8, |  | 12:24 15:17 |


| dating 56:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { declined } \\ & 16: 2 \text { 19:25 } \end{aligned}$ | depression $78: 1$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 60: 9 & 64: 5 \\ 68: 22 & 69: 22 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dave | declining | depth | 70:19 85:10 |
| 6:9 49:20 | 15:11 | 56:21 57:25 | determined |
| 95:3 | decomposition | 58:8,15 | 75:15 84:14 |
| day | 79:19 | 60:10,18 | 85:14 90:17 |
| 4:3 16:4 | deeper | 74:8 83:9, | develop |
| 21:4 22:7 | 85:24 | 11,18 84:15 | 12:1 |
| 89:20 95:21 | deepest | 85:10,21 | development |
| 96:8 | 58:18 84:7 | 90:19 | 17:20 |
| days | 85:14 | depths | diagram |
| 13:19 48:19 | Defendant | 62:17 85:24 | 63:25 70:12 |
| 59:11 | 8:22 9:2,3,7 | DEQ | 76:3 |
| DBH | 11:5 16:23 | 6:25 7:2 | diameter |
| 70:14 | Defendants | Derrick | 68:5 70:15 |
| dead | 9:12,24 | 4:13 | dichotomists |
| 79:21 80:1 | 10:2,9,17 | describe | 38:25 |
| deadline | Defendants ${ }^{\prime}$ | 49:21 51:15 | difference |
| 12:12 | 10:15 | 56:7 | 40:4 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { deadlines } \\ 12: 8 \end{gathered}$ | defined | described $29: 630: 5,12$ | different <br> 18:14,19 |
| deaf | definitely | describes | 19:17 35:8, |
| 20:20 | 59:9 | 11:14 55:23 | 13 40:21 |
| deal | degree | describing | 42:8 53:20 |
| 20:12 | 38:5,10,13 | 29:10 | 60:7 66:4,6 |
| dealings | 39:24 40:5, | design | 75:5 77:20 |
| 29:17 | 7,18,20 | 42:13 | 78:25 79:10, |
| December | 41:3,10 | designate | 23 82:25 |
| 9:4 11:4 | degrees | 11:21 42:3 | 92:20 93:5, |
| decent | 38:3 | designation | 7,17 |
| $31: 24$ | delineate | 41:22 | DIFFICULTY |
| decide | 42:11 | detail | 8:16 |
| 16:24 88:3 | delineation | 30:12 63:4 | dig |
| decided | 42:11 | details | 60:8 61:15, |
| 17:7 | denied | 83:20 | 21 69:11 |
| decision | 13:12 | determination | 78:9 82:6 |
| $17: 2,13$ $19: 1,731: 7$, | dense $78: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 2062: 9, \\ & 17: 70: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dig-and-haul } \\ & 86: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 19: 1,731: 7, \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $78: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 70: 20 \\ & 71: 25 \quad 72: 14 \end{aligned}$ | digging |
| cision | density $60: 19 \quad 63: 21$ | 75:12 | 61:16 86:20 |
| maker | 75:18 76:5 | determination | direct |
| 18:20 | Department |  | 18:12 19:14 |
| decision- | 12:4 13:21 | $\begin{array}{ll} 37: 20 & 57: 24 \\ 59: 14 & 60: 25 \end{array}$ | Director $12: 19$ |
| makers | 17:19 | $59: 14 \quad 60: 25$ | 12:19 |
| 15:7 16:5,11 | depending 21:18 | $61: 3 \quad 76: 10$ <br> determine | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dirt } \\ & \text { 81:20 } \end{aligned}$ |
| decisions $17: 4 \quad 83: 21$ | $21: 18$ | ```determine 16:16 58:24``` |  |


| discipline | dominance $73.1578: 24$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 49: 19 & 50: 15 \\ 68: 25 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \quad 86: 1 \\ & 90: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| discrete | dominant | early | efficient |
| 29:8 | 61:21 68:1, | 56:1 95:24 | 59:14 |
| discuss | 10 73:12,21 | ears | efficiently |
| 58:2 | dominating | 20:20 | 28:20 |
| discussed | 66:7 | easier | either |
| 49:19 | dots | 60:13 | 16:20 26:10 |
| dismiss | 65:9,12 | eat | 30:14 52:3 |
| 13:8 14:16, | doubt | 83:1 | 67:10 81:14 |
| 17 | 55:21 | ecological | emanated |
| dispute | draft | 33:9 37:14, | 17:4 |
| 8:9 19:20 | 19:7,9,10 | 18,21 42:11 | empirically |
| distance | drained | 56:22 | 24:22 |
| 34:18 53:18 | 53:21 | ecology | employees |
| 82:22,23 | draw | 38:11,15,21 | 16:7 |
| distracting | 60:16 70:12 | 47:16 | end |
| 31:23 | 71:9 | economy | 23:9 26:2 |
| distribution | drew | 39:20 | 43:1 52:3 |
| 62:18 63:25 | 4:7 8:3 13:4 | edge | enrolled |
| 70:8 77:7 | 49:14 71:3,4 | 53:19 | 10:25 |
| 81:5,7 | drilled | edification | enter |
| District | 9:16 | 89:15 | 66:2 91:11 |
| 4:12 | drive | edit | entered |
| ditches | 66:3 | 19:10 | 8:21 9:1 |
| 65:22 | dry | education | entire |
| dive | 20:3 | 34:23 38:2,4 | 15:6 66:3 |
| 67:15 | dug | 41:21 42:17, | entitled |
| Division | 71:24 72:12 | 20 45:11 | 10:22 22:14 |
| 4:11 12:20 | 73:23 76:8 | 57:12,15 | 49:13 |
| DNR | 81:2 | effect | entrance |
| 14:4 43:8 | duly | 15:13 87:2 | 51:18, 20 |
| Docket | 32:9 | effective | 65:5 |
| 4:10,15 8:2 | Dutch | 33:9 44:1 | entry |
| document | 55:16 76:1 | 48:25 58:13, | 89:17 |
| 46:8,16 | duties | 14,15,24 | ENV-L-2022-01 |
| 55:22 56:6 | 10:16 | 62:10 64:14, | 4:16 8:2 |
| documents | dying | 17 67:13 | environmental |
| 46:955:9 | 79:16 | 68:22 71:13 | 8:6 9:20 |
| doing |  | 72:14,20 | 10:6 11:6 |
| 20:24 22:13 |  | 73:6 75:15, | 12:2,19 |
| $34: 19$ 35:2 | E | 20 76:11 | 17:18 33:3 |
| $37: 17$ 39:6 |  | 78: 4,12 | 36:20 93:6 |
| 40:19 47:13 | 52:24 | 80:11,13 | EPA |
| 48:11 59:6 |  | 81:9 82:12 | 56:19 |
| 60:3 64:20 | $17: 23 \quad 31: 11$ | $83: 11,21$ | epicormic |
| 79:13 | 17.23 31.11 | 84:7 85:11, | 68:18 |



| 15:17 | final | following | full |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| federal | 12:7 13:22 | 4:1 26:8 | 15:23 29:5 |
| 43:16 | 15:3,25 | follows | 30:1 31:8 |
| feed | find | 32:10 | 68:17 75:2 |
| 6:7,13 7:10 | 21:22 46:16 | foreground | full-time |
| 87:19,22 | 60:18 61:19 | 82:5 | 40:17 |
| feel | 66:9 | foremost | fully |
| 30:15,22 | fine | 18:1 | 10:11 20:7 |
| 95:21 | 6:18 7:24 | forested | 62:16 |
| feet | 27:19 28:4 | 35:17 44:2 | functional |
| $70: 16$ | finer | forester | 37:18 42:12 |
| fence | 71:18 | 39:17 | Funderburk |
| 51: 4, 5, 22 | finish | forestry | 4:20,22 6:14 |
| 52:5 65:3 | 22:6,14 | 39:3 | 23:5,15,19 |
| 67:5 | 29:19 | forget | 24:5 25:3,22 |
| fenced-in | finished | 30:20 | 26:5,19 |
| 50:17 | 38:8 | forgot | 27:4,13,21 |
| fertilizers | firm | 24:13 46:4 | 28:5 32:11, |
| 64:15 | 87:20,22 | form | 16,21,23 |
| field | first | 75:1,2,23 | 34:2,8,10 |
| 8:20 35:4,5, | 11:2 18:1 | 80:10 83:23 | 45:17, 25 |
| 20,22 36:25 | 25:14 28:12 | forms | 46:22 47:1, |
| 43:6 45:24 | 32:8 57:22 | 75:2 | $12,2248: 5,7$ |
| 47:10 57:13 | 66:2 73:17, | forth | $49: 9,18$ |
| 58:23 61:6, | 22 82:23 | 8:9 11:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 16,22,24 \\ & 57: 1,7,9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 65:19 | 83:14 89:14 | 12:9 14:3,7, | $59: 19 \quad 60: 1$ |
| $71: 10 \quad 74: 11$ | 90:9 | 23 43:11 |  |
| 78:2 79:13 | five | forward | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 13 \quad 88: 1 \\ & 94: 17.25 \end{aligned}$ |
| 81:8 82:1 | 42:1,19 | forward $42: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 17,25 \\ & 95: 23 \quad 96: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| fields | 65:25 | found |  |
| 48:3 | flat | $47: 5 \quad 49: 6$ | fungi |
| fieldwork | 62: 6 | 66:6 73:20 |  |
| 37:23 38:1 | Florida | $77: 3,480: 22$ | $13: 2$ |
| figure | 40:1 | 81:9 82:12 |  |
| 50:9 63:15 | flower | 94:1 | future |
| file | 84:12 | four | 68:8 92:6 |
| $12: 615: 15$ $25: 15 \quad 26: 15$, | flowering 52:13 | 90:11 frank | G |
| 25 27:8 | focuses |  |  |
| 45:15,18 | 41:9 |  | Gary |
| 54:18 57:3 | foliag |  | 12:19 18:8 |
| filed | 68:17 |  | 23:25 |
| 8:4 13:6 | folks | free $30: 15 \cdot 22$ | gas |
| 14:9,16 15:4 | 28:10 |  | 8:19 9:8,12 |
| 20:11 25:16 | follow | frien | 87:3,4 |
| filled | 69:10,12,22 |  | gate |
| 72:17 |  | frontman $43: 18$ | 51:18,20 |


| 65:5 | 10,15,20 | grazing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gave | 22:2,3,8,11, | 50:22 66:19 | H |
| 14:11 72:5 | 14,16 23:13, | 73:19 90:20 |  |
| 95:16 | 22 24:9 25:7 | 91:13 | H.C. |
| general | 26:1,3,4, 24 | great | 4:7 8:3 |
| 14:5 21:9 | 28:6,20 29:2 | 32:22 33:25 | 12:16 13:4 |
| 38:20 39:4 | 31:18 33:4 | 34:21 63:4 | 49:14 |
| 48:22 52:18 | 40:11 44:19 | 66:12 82:23 | habitat |
| 53:6 57:24 | 45:23 46:12, | 94:14 | 37:21 93:17 |
| 59:5 66:14 | 18 47:11,20 | greater | habitats |
| 68:13 70:6 | 48:8,15 78:6 | 75:18 | 35:9 37:20 |
| 76:3 83:17 | $81: 18 \quad 84: 4$ $86: 5.6 \quad 88: 1$ | green | hackberry |
| generality $68: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 5,6 \text { 88:1, } \\ & 2,1490: 6,8 \end{aligned}$ | 52:7,22 | 72:2,8 |
| 68:25 | 91:8 94:20 | 65:12 68:17 | hairs |
| generally $85: 7$ | 96:4 | $85: 8$ | 74:5 |
| get-your- | good $4: 5 \quad 6: 9,11,$ | grew | $\begin{aligned} & \text { half } \\ & 22: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { hands-dirty } \\ & 59: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \quad 7: 12,14 \\ & 20: 20 \quad 32: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $39: 21$ ground | $\begin{gathered} \text { half-mile } \\ 67: 21 \end{gathered}$ |
| getting | 1759:13 | $\begin{array}{ll} 62: 1 & 70: 17 \\ 71: 13 & 76: 12 \end{array}$ | hand |
| $41: 3 \text { 45: }$ | 62:9 66:9,10 | 78:5 82:13 | 31:1 32:3 |
| $57: 20 \quad 81: 11$ | 68:20 69:16 | roundwater | 60:6 62:22 |
| 92:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 2577: 2, \\ & 3,880: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 9:23 | handling $28: 14$ |
| give | 81:20 89:8 | Group | hands |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5: 17 \quad 21: 25 \\ & 48: 10,13 \end{aligned}$ | 96:3,7 | $\begin{array}{ll} 33: 21 & 34: 24 \\ 35: 2 \end{array}$ | 81:19 95:18 |
| 50:3 83:20 | ra | grow | handy |
| 85:1 |  | 69:13 77:17 | 71 |
| given | graduate $41: 1,6$ | growing | hang $72: 24 \quad 89: 2$ |
| 24:18,21 | graduated | 52:7,22 53:1 | happened |
| giving | 43:4 | 58:20 60:19 | 40:15 |
| giving $5: 8 \quad 43: 25$ | grass | $68: 8,17,21$ | hardwoods |
| goal | 53:1 73:17, | 71:19 72:10 | 35:17 |
| 67:12 | 21 76:22 | 80:5,23 | hauling |
| God | $2583: 14$ | 84:10 85:9 | 86:20 |
| 74:19 | $90: 11$ | growth | hay |
| Goedewaagen |  | 58:17 68:19 | 86:17 |
| 55:18 63:21 | grasses | 84:17 91:10 | HCDE_02500 |
| going | 65:11 | 92:24 93:13 | 47:4 |
| $5: 25 \quad 6: 12$ | gravel $51: 7,2553: 2$ | guard $51: 21 \quad 89: 18$ | head $73: 3 \quad 76: 8$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8: 8,18 \quad 15: 21 \\ & 16: 11 \quad 17: 6 \end{aligned}$ | gravels | 51:21 89:18 | health |
| 18:18, 24,25 | 51:8 | 41:19 78:21 | 16:17 37:21 |
| 19:17 20:10, | graze |  | 44:1 47:25 |
| 15 21:4,6, | 91:22 |  | 66:15,16 |


| 70:22 | height | Honor | 81:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| healthy | 70:15 | 4:23 46:2 | Ieyoub |
| 52:7,22 | held | 47:14 | 12:18 |
| 66:17,21 | 12:14 13:13 | hope | III |
| 68:21 70:23 | Helen | 31:21 | 4:8 13:1 |
| 79:5 80:3,23 | 37:17 | horned | image |
| 84:11 85:9, | Hello | 76:23 | 64:25 |
| 14 | 8:18 | hour | immediately |
| hear | help | 21:25 22:1, | 21:21 |
| 32:18 85:3 | 19:12 34:4 | 20 | impact |
| 89:4 | 46:15 58:20 | hours | 30:9 52:24 |
| heard | 69:21 | 73:4 | 58:7 |
| 55:14 76:21, $2278: 13$ | helped | human | impacts <br> 67:10 |
| hearing | helping | hundred | implied |
| 4:2,4,6,14, | 62:21 | 30:2 35:15 | 10:8 |
| 24 5:5,11, | herbaceous | hundreds | importance |
| 19,24 6:5, | 34:17 50:23 | 76:7 86:13 | $83: 2$ |
| 10,17,23 | 52: 6, 11 | hung | important |
| 7:4,8,13,17, | 65:8,10,25 | 72:24 | 31:12 36:4 |
| 23 8:1,17 | 73:12 75:4 | hydric | $56: 957: 21$ |
| $12: 6,13,21$, 23 13:9,10, | $78: 19$ 79:4 | 40:24 42:10 | 58:3 60:17, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 23 \text { 13:9,10, } \\ & 13,17,20 \end{aligned}$ | 80:2 84:8 | hydrocarbons | 21 65:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 13,17,20 \\ & 14: 9,14 \end{aligned}$ | high | 9:22 | 79:12 |
| $16: 14,19$ | 33:18 39:7 | hydrology | inch |
| 17:10 18:21 | historical | 35:6 58:5 | 75:11 |
| 20:19,21 | 51:2 68:3 |  | inches |
| 21:8 22:15, | history | I | $71: 13 \quad 72: 21$ |
| 18,25 23:12, | 78:21 | 1 | 73:7 74:4 |
| 17 24:9,12 | hold | I-10 | 75:8,16,18, |
| 25:20,24 | 5:4 12:13 | 50:14 | 20 76:12 |
| 26:17 27:2, | 30:19 95:18 | idea | 78:5,11 |
| 11,18,24 | holding | 62:9 | 80:14 81:10 |
| 28:14 32:4 | 78:2 | 62:9 | 82:13 83:12 |
| 45:20 46:3, | hole | identified | 84:7,16 |
| 24 47:7,18 | 73:3 76:9 | 53.2554 | 85:15,21 |
| 48:2 54:20 | 82:6 |  | include |
| 57:5 87:17, | holes | $85: 12$ | 76:19 |
| 24 88:7,12, | 76:7 | identifies | included |
| 19 89:1 | Holloway | 11:16 | 26:13 41:24 |
| 92:17 94:19 | 29:12 37:7 | :16 | 48:16 69:5 |
| 95:14 96:2,9 | 43:24 44:17 | ident | 75:3 84:22 |
| hearings | 48:16 49:2, | $37 \cdot 193$ | includes |
| 43:21 44:9 | 12 55:5,15 | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 19 \\ & 60: 49 \\ & 60: 49: \end{aligned}$ | 18:7 24:19, |
| heavy | 59:2 71:12 | $87 \text { : } 20$ | 23 70:6 |
| 71:20 | home $38: 9$ | identifying $35: 10 \quad 64: 7$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { including } \\ 83: 25 \end{gathered}$ |


| Incorporated $4: 10$ | investigate $59: 12 \quad 65: 14$ | January 25:18 | $\begin{array}{lr} 50: 3 & 52: 23 \\ 53: 11 & 56: 8 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| indicate | 81:22 82:10 | Jeanerette | 58:5 59:8 |
| 65:9 | investigating | 43:22 44:4 | 64:15 66:7 |
| indicating | 48:20 | job | 67:9,18 |
| 51:23 | investigation | 18:24 53:10 | 68:19 69:8,9 |
| indication | 25:16,18 | John | $74: 17881$ |
| 52:23 | 34:20 35:7 | 4:20 37:17 | 79:23 92:15 |
| individual | 50:20 52:16 | 45:22 95:15 | knees |
| 42:5 62:20 | 53:25 65:18 | judge | 81:19 |
| 68:2,22 | 69:5 71:23 | 4:13 11:24 | knife |
| 79:11 | 89:24 | 12:11 14:14 | 62:3,8 |
| individuals | investigation | Judicial | know |
| 37:8 43:13 | s | 4:11 | 6:11 15:8,18 |
| 48:18 | 79:8 83:16 | jurisdiction | 16:5,9,10 |
| influences 58:6 | 92:8 | 15:6 | 17:25 18:10, |
|  | invite | jury | 11,12 21:25 |
| information | 87:21 | 16:10 | 24:13,16,18 |
| 5:9 31:9 | invited |  | 25:25 27:25 |
| 70:6 | 15:14,21 |  | 28:23 29:2, |
| informed | invoked | K | 15,16 30:18, |
|  | 11:11 | K-E-E | $25,31: 22$ $32 \cdot 288.20$ |
| inspected | involve | K-E 4:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 2 \quad 38: 20, \\ & 21,24 \quad 39: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| 77:6 | 16:19 | Kansas | 14,16,22 |
| instances | involved | 38:6 | 40:3,8,10,17 |
| 37:9 43:14 | 5:4 14:1 | Kean | 41:9 42:22, |
| integral | 18:15 19:3 | 31:21 | 23 43:3,7, |
| 43:24 | 5,9,19 20:23 | Kee | 15,24 46:7, |
| intend | iphone | 4:13 11:24 | 20 47:8 |
| 12:22 24:24 | 81:15 | 12:11 | 51:14 53:2 |
| interested | irrigatio | keep | 57:25 58:1 |
| 39:6,10,19 | 64:13 | 5:25 26:4 | 60:14, 23 |
| interesting | issued | 30:25 45:14 | 61:2 62:3,5, |
| 39:12 | 13:11 | kept | 6,7 64:1,17 |
| interior | issues | 65:18 | 65:25 67:7, |
| 63:14 93:18 | 5:17 16:24 |  | 10 68:14 |
| introduce | 20:4,6 28:17 | $35: 25$ | 69:13,23 |
| 23:23 24:14, | 36:20 |  | 70:20 71:5, |
| 25 25:7,15 | items | 39. | 6,7,20 72:3, |
| 26:15 27:1,9 | 24:24 60:7 |  | 7 73:18 74:4 |
| 32:24 45:18 |  |  | 75:5 76:1, |
| 54:18 57:3 | J |  | 16,19,22 |
| introducing 25:5 |  | kids | 77:17,18 |
|  | Jake |  | 78:9,10,21 |
| introduction | 62:19 | kind | 79:22,24 |
| 24:8 | Jamie | 23:22 39:12, | 80:5,15,22 |
|  | 18:8 24:1 | 13 40:14 | 81:1,11,15, |


| 18,22 82:2, | lawsuit | 21 95:4 | 78:2 80:6,18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9,18 83:7 | 14:9,10 | Levert's | 82:9 83:13 |
| 84:3 85:17 | lawyers | 95:3 | 86:19 95:2 |
| 89:17 90:7 | 28:9 | life | live |
| 91:4,7,15 | lay | 40:14 58:21 | 67:17,19 |
| 93:1 95:7, | 53:11 63:11 | 84:13 | 68:16 |
| 10,15,17 | LDEQ | lifting | livestock |
| known | 18:4 | 71:21 | 51:24 78:21 |
| 15:24 72:8 | LDEQ's | limit | living |
| Kostal | 18:5 | 86:15 | 39:14 79:15 |
| 7:9,11,15 | LDNR | limited | 86:11 |
|  | 26:10 27:6 | 11:9,12,13, | LLC |
| L | 43:20 44:8 | 15,16,17,20 | 12:25 |
|  | 66:23 | 13:7,8 | locate |
| labeled | lead | 14:12,13 | 69:1 |
| 74:19 | 33:11 39:17 | 17:19 26:12 | located |
| labels | leading | 29:5 43:21 | 8:12,14 65:2 |
| 49:16 59:23 | 52:1 | 44:9 45:8 | 68:2 81:8 |
| labor- | learned | 49:3,7,24 | 91:3 92:21 |
| intensive | 57:14 | 50:9 86:2 | location |
| 59:9 | lease | 91:3 93:2 | 67:20 74:9 |
| labs | 8:21,23 | Limited' | 77:13,24 |
| 38:24 | leave | 13:7 | 80:23 |
| land | 82:9 88:2 | limits | locations |
| 8:7,12 17:3 | left | 14:2 | 67:2 94:22 |
| 48:23 53:11 | 24:2 51:17 | line | locked |
| 54:11 83:2 | 55:17 59:7, | 53:19 91:5 | 51:21 |
| 85:19 91:12 | 17 62:1 70:5 | 92:8,22 | Logistically |
| landowner | 83:6 | list | 21:3 |
| 12:16,22 | left-hand | 4:20 24:16, | long |
| 13:4 14:16 | 50:7 68:14 | 18,19,21,23 | 22:7,8,9 |
| 15:10,14,18 | legacy | 25:12 27:15 | 30:20 33:14 |
| 16:2 26:11 | 36:20,22 | 42:14 54:3 | 68:5 79:20 |
| landowner's | 37:15 | 56:7 95:5,16 | longer |
| 16:21 | legally | listen | $72: 25$ |
| lands | 10:1,2 | 16:12 20:24 | look |
| 91:25 | Legislature | literature | 53:12 55:9 |
| lateral | 17:18 | 76:2 | 58:3,19,23 |
| 69:7,13 | length | litigation | 61:24 62:25 |
| 71:16,19 | $95: 20$ | 17:6,23 | 67:7,9 |
| law |  | little | 68:20,21 |
| 10:16,20 | $21: 8$ | 18:18 19:16 | 69:6 70:22 |
| 16:20,25 | letter | 26:7 29:3 | 74:9 76:5 |
| 19:5 | 15.13 | 45:2 62:20 | 79:1,8,9,14, |
| laws |  | 68:25 72:25 | 15 83:17,19 |
| 10:17 |  | 75:5,19 | 87:25 92:9 |
|  | $24: 20 \text { 29:16, }$ | 77:20,23 | 95:11 |



| minutes | Mowata/ | 7:16,22 8:22 | number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27:7 84:4 | vidrine | 9:3,7 11:8, | 19:4 40:21 |
| 88:11 | 53:23 | 25 12:6 13:6 | 41:10 46:14 |
| mitigation | multiple | 14:11 16:14, | 47:4 50:6,8 |
| 42:12 | 73:22 74:17 | 23 26:10 | 60:7 72:1 |
| mix | 83:22 | never | numbered |
| 66:8 | mycorrhizal | 76:22 | 46:9 |
| mixing | 86:10 | nice | numbers |
| 86:19 |  | 62:6 80:24 | 46:21 74:13 |
| moment | N | nomenclature | numerous |
| 5:17 |  | 63:23 | 36:24 39:4 |
| Monday | N_ldnr | non-planned | 43:14 77:1 |
| 21:6,12,17 | 47:4 | 95:6 | 82:3 91:25 |
| 22:2,10 | N_ldnr_hcde_ | non-woody | nutrients |
| 29:20 49:21 | 00444 | 52:11 | 71:22 77:18 |
| 94:21,24 | 49:17 | nonwoody |  |
| 95:4 96:4 | name | 65:10 | 0 |
| money | 7:19 33:1 | noon |  |
| 40:12 | names | 21:16,18 | oak |
| monitoring | 37:11 | 22:22 | 67:17,19 |
| 33:23,24 | native | NORM | oaks |
| morning | 58:25 67:8 | 18:6 | 68:16 |
| 4:5 6:9,11, | 78:22 91:14 | normal | objections |
| 22 7:12,14 | 93:7 | 29:4 95:20 | 15:15 |
| 22:4,19 | natural | north | obligations |
| 32:15,17 | 12:5 39:21 | 8:14,19 | $10: 8,10$ |
| 89:8 94:24 | 91:14 92:11 | 53:16 77:25 | obscure |
| 96:5 | naturally | 81:8 82:22 | 46:13 |
| morph | 66:11 | Nos | observatio |
| 90:7 | nature | 26:8 | 66:14 |
| motion | 41:5 44:2 | nosy | observations |
| 12:10 13:6, | nearby | 67:3 | 47:24 48:22 |
| 12 14:15 | 94:2 | notable | 52:18 55:25 |
| motions | necessarily | 74:4 | 61:10 68:13 |
| 26:13 | 92:23 | notably | 69:25 72:9 |
| mouthful | necessary | 54:10 | 80:10 87:1,6 |
| 56:23 | 18:4 46:7 | note | observe |
| move | 58:17 | 54:2 79:22 | 52:19 85:10 |
| 41:12 43:2 | need | notice | observed |
| $72: 175: 1$ | 20:25 30:17 | 26:21 | 53:24 54:12 |
| 89:2 | 93:12 | noticed | $71: 674: 7$ |
| moved | needs | 50:22 53:20 | 77:21 84:10, |
| 33:20 38:9 | $31: 15$ | 67:4 84:12 | 14,17 85:8 |
| moving | nematodes | notices | 90:24 92:22 |
| 75:13 | $86: 11$ | 26:22 | observing |
| Mowata | Neumin | November | 5:3 |
| 54:7 | $4: 9 \quad 6: 4$ | $25: 17$ |  |


| obvious $46: 19$ | oftentimes 64:13 | $15$ <br> one-acre | oversight $15: 5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| obviously | oil | 30:4 48:21 | overview |
| 16:2 19:24 | 8:19 9:8,12 | one-hour | 48:10,13 |
| 20:8 28:1 | 29:1 87:2,4 | 22:3 | 49:22 53:7 |
| 36:5 39:7 | okay | ones | owed |
| occasional | 5:20,25 6:6, | 18:25 28:8 | 10:16 |
| 50:24 | 18,24 7:5, | 29:5 56:16 | owned |
| Occasionally <br> 37:8 | $18,24,25$ $8: 18 \quad 18: 17$ | 71:20 79:5 | 8:7 |
| occasions | 23:13 24:16 | 42:21 |  |
| 19:4 | 25:21 27:19, | open | P |
| occur | 22 32:18 | 62:25 63:11 |  |
| 93:8 | $34: 25$ 38:5 | opening | $51: 4,652: 1$ |
| occurring | 46:4,25 | 23:2 24:7 | $2577: 25$ |
| 35:9 61:21 | 47:8,19 | 27:23 | page |
| October | 48:3,15 $55: 13 \quad 64: 24$ | operated | 46:14 |
| 8:5 11:8,24 | $82: 17 \quad 85: 7$ | 9:24 | paint |
| offer | 87:18,25 | operational | 70:10 |
| 25:14 26:15, | $88: 4,6,8,13,$ | 52:10 | palatable |
| 25 27:8 | $2089: 12$ | operations | 78:20 |
| 45:18 54:18 | 90:1 92:17 | 9:13 50:25 | panel |
| 57:3 | 93:24 94:8, | 51:3 52:24 | 13:2,11 |
| office | 20 95:15 | 67:14 | 15:14 16:6, |
| 4:14 12:20 | 96:3 | opinion | 23 18:7 |
| 14:4 15:11 | Olivier | 66:20 86:25 | 20:12 22:12 |
| 16:6 18:2 | 18:9 24:2 | 92:23 | 23:4 28:2, |
| 31:21 | once | opinions | 10,15,22 |
| officer | 51:14,15 | 44:1 84:25 | $31: 14$ 32:25 |
| 4:2, 4, 24 | one | 85:2,5,16 | 33:6 34:5,14 |
| 5:5,11,19,24 | 5:4,12,15 | 87:6 | 35:1 36:1 |
| 6:5,10,17,23 | 12:21 17:6, | opportunity | 38:3 41:15 |
| 7: 4, 8, 13, 17, | $1919: 18{ }^{\text {1 }}$ | 15:23 | 43:9 48:10 |
| 23 8:17 | 21:13,24 | order | 50:5,10 |
| 13:10 23:12, | 22:1 23:5 | 11:25 12:11 | 51:14 55:14 |
| 17 24:12 | 25:25 35:25 | 18:1 29:4 | 56:8 59:20 |
| 25:20,24 | 39:16 41:9 | 41:22 42:4 | 60:22 63:17 |
| 26:17 27:2, | 43:2 46:7,20 | 60:4 88:3 | 64:3,22 |
| 11,18,24 | $47: 3$ $49: 20$ | ordered | 68:16 73:25 |
| 28:14 32:4 | $50: 18,21$ | 14:14 | $74: 23$ 76:24 |
| 45:20 46:3, | $55: 25 \quad 56: 18$ | organic | 78:15 81:21 |
| 24 47:7,18 | $63: 7 \quad 66: 6$ | $38: 22$ | 83:21 85:1, |
| 48:2 54:20 | $72: 3 \quad 73: 17$ |  | 16 87:6,12, |
| 57:5 87:17, | $76: 20,21$ | 65:2 | 15 88:1,3,21 |
| 24 88:7,12, | $77: 8 \quad 78: 13$ | 65:2 | 95:11 |
| 19 89:1 |  | outside | panel's |
| 94:19 95:14 | $83: 4,7 \text { 95:1, }$ | 52:2 67:5 | 14:19 15:22 |
| 96:2,9 | 83:4,7 95:1, |  |  |


| panelists | 20 20:18 | personal | pictures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:21 18:21 | 26:21 | 80:9 | 59:24 60:25 |
| 21:13 37:13 | pass | personnel | 61:9 81:18 |
| 66:23 | 87:14 | 34:19 | piece |
| paper | past | petition | 11:21 |
| 26:23 56:13, | 19:3,9,19 | 8: 4, 8, 11, 20, | Pisani |
| 20 | 20:2,19 21:9 | 24,25 9:5,6, | 36:10 |
| papers | 22:16 29:5, | 11,15,25 | place |
| 57:10 64:16 | 14,17 | 10:5,22 11:3 | 4:1 14:3,15 |
| Paragraph | pasture | petroleum | 69:17 |
| 8:11,20,25 | 34:15 53:17 | 9:21 | plaintiff |
| 9:6,11,15,25 | 54:14 66:8 | PG | 8:4,7,21 9:1 |
| 10:5,14,21 | 72:4 73:3,14 | 41:20 | 10:16,22 |
| 11:4 | 80:22 93:19, | phone | Plaintiff's |
| Parish | 20 | 5:21 6:6 | 9:10,20 |
| 4:12 8:14 | pastureland | photo | 10:4,7,20 |
| 49:15 50:11 | 50:13 | 45:5,6 51:25 | Plaintiffs |
| 54: 6 | pastures | 52:10,12 | 10:1 |
| part | 78:20 85:18 | 81:4 | plan |
| 8:8 10:21,25 | patch | photograph | 12:1,6,7 |
| 11:5,25 | 80:24 | 34:13 53:15 | 13:8,22,24 |
| 13:15 36:14 | patience | 72:11 78:17 | 14:2,24 |
| 37:14 40:20 | 44:25 | 80: 4 | 15:3,25 |
| 42:17 44:8 | patrick | photographs | 16:14,15,17 |
| 45:7,15 47:5 | 29:9 32:8 | 59:24 60:14 | 17:17,20 |
| 49:3 53:6 | 33:1 45:19 | 74:13,14, 22 | 19:11 20:9, |
| 54:8 56:24 | pattern | 83:24 | 10 25:16,18 |
| 73:5 84:19 | 60:5,17 69:9 | photography | 27:25 29:6,7 |
| 93:2 | 74:8 | 81:17 | 31:8 42:13 |
| participants $94: 21$ | patterns | photos | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 11,25 \\ & 45: 8 \quad 49: 4,7, \end{aligned}$ |
| participate | people | 89:17, 21 | 22,23 50:9 |
| 12:22 15:12 | people $16: 18$ 20:11 | pick | 85:23 86:3 |
| 16:3 19:25 | 21:25 24:19 | 20:21 62:3,8 | plans |
| participating | 60:23 | picked | 18:15 |
| 16:22 | percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1скea } \\ 81: 3 \end{gathered}$ | plant |
| particular | 20:20 35:21 | picking | 38:23 42:22 |
| 22:18 58:8 | 64:5 | picking | 47:16 49:13 |
| 75:6 77:13 |  |  | 71:21 |
| parties | 44:13 55:2 | $34: 15 \quad 60: 23$ | plant's |
| 5:13,15 8:10 | performed | $62: 5,12,19$ | 58:17 |
| 15:24 16:1 | 9:19 86:6 | 63:6 65:5 | planting |
| 17:25 26:24 | permitting | 69:8 73:24 | 92:6 |
| parts | $33: 12 \quad 43: 14$ | $75: 9,17$ | plants |
| 29:8 54:2 |  | 81:12,13,24 | 35:11,24 |
| party | $20: 5 \quad 43: 18$ | 82:1 83:5 | 37:20 38:23 |
| 11:20 14:18, | 20:5 43:18 |  | 39:1,10 |


| 58:25 64:18 | premier | probed | project |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79:11 82:11 | 41:18 | 72:13 | 33:10 43:8, |
| play | prepandemic | problem | 10,23 |
| 22:24 | 45:6 | 7:7 21:2 | projects |
| please | present | 22:17 30:23 | 33:12 36:24 |
| 30:15 32:2, | 15:8 31:13 | procedural | 42:13 43:19 |
| 24 33:5 | 33:1 52:14 | 20:6 | promised |
| 41:16 64:23 | 66:5,19 | procedure | 22:15,16 |
| plugged | 70:13 77:15 | 11:13 14:8, | promptly |
| 9:17 | presentation | 11 21:9 | 10:11,12 |
| point | 30:16,18 | procedures | pronounced |
| 18:22 24:25 | 34:1 59:21 | 12:8 14:10 | 55:18,20 |
| 25:6 30:24 | 89:16 | proceed | proper |
| points | presented | 28:19 | 91:2 93:21 |
| 15:20 | 43:20 | proceeding | property |
| policy | presenting | 4:19 14:21 | 9:10,20 |
| 39:3 | 5:16 | 15:12,19 | 10:4,7,11,13 |
| poorly | pretty | proceedings | 11:14,17,21 |
| 53:21 | 14:7 25:7 | 4:1 | 12:8 48:20, |
| position | 69:14 70:23 | process | 22 51:18 |
| 15:24 16:21 | previous | 15:6 20:13, | 53:7,19 |
| possible | 65:5 | 23 29:3 | 59:12 66:14 |
| 21:18 22:9 | previously | processes | 89:19 90:21 |
| 82:10 86:16 | 65:2 75:10 | 86:15 | 92:24 |
| 90:9 | primarily | produce | proposed |
| pos | 35:3 37:6 | 58:20 | 30:8 |
| 52: 4 | primary | production | protect |
| potential | 35:10 41:9 | 4:9 6:4 8:22 | $16: 17$ |
| 67:10 68:8 | 44:11 | 9:3,7,9 11:9 | provided |
| 85:20 92:6 | principal | 13:6 51:24 | 12:2 16:8 |
| potentially | 33:7 | productive | 25:12 29:25 |
| 58:7 85:24 | prior | 66:18 83:6 | 31:6 44:8 |
| Powerpoint | 42:2 53:9 | 84:11 | providing |
| 33:4,25 48:9 | private | professional | 59:21 |
| practical | 10:16,20 | 41:12,14 | provisions |
| 64:10 | 16:19,24 | profile | 11:11 |
| practice | 17:9 | 56:13 58:16 | proximity |
| 56:3 | probably | 60:20 61:14, | 9:23 |
| Prairieland | 18:19 29:14, | 15,24 62:15, | public |
| 53:22 54:5 | 24 47:11 | 25 63:2,7 | 4:6,14 8:1 |
| preferable | 55:14 63:17 | 69:12 71:9, | 12:5,13,23 |
| $86: 23$ | 64:4 70:25 | 17 73:23 | 13:13 14:8 |
|  | 71:1 72:22 | 74:5 75:8,14 | 26:20 |
| $47: 6$ | 76:7 77:13 | 78:11 81:17 | publication |
|  | 80:25 | 83:7 | 56:11 |
| $13: 24 \quad 14: 2,$ | probe | program | publicly |
| $24$ | 69:15,21 | 33:24 40:9 | 58:1 |



| removed | required | reviewed | $\begin{array}{ll} 20 & 27: 20 \\ 29 \cdot 9 & 14 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $80 \text { : }$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 67: 12 & 84: 15 \\ 85: 20 & 86: 17 \end{array}$ | eviewing | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 9,14,15, \\ & 1831: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 86:20 | 24 | 18:15 46:16 | 32:3,6,8,17, |
| reorient | requires | Revised | $\begin{aligned} & 2433: 1 \\ & 34: 11: 45: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 50:10 |  | 11:1,7,10 |  |
| repeat | resolve 20:5 | $12: 3,913: 14$ $17: 21$ | 47:15 49:12, |
| replace | resour | rice | $\begin{aligned} & 1987: 5 \\ & 88: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| 82:8 | 12:5 33:3 | 54:14 |  |
| report | 41:2 42:23 | Richard | $\begin{aligned} & \text { river } \\ & 93: 15,21 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | response | 12:18 | 93:15,21 |
| 49:3,11 | 5:23 42:9 | Richard | road |
| $70: 13 \quad 74: 19$ | 87:23 | 21:5 | 9:1 51:25 |
| 75:3 84:20, | responsibilit | rig | 52:4,8 65:3 |
| 22 85:2 | ies | 34:19 | 89:19 91:4 |
| reporter$23: 10,20$ | 33:12 35:6, | right | roads 65:22 |
|  | 10 36:1,25 | 5:9 6:15 | 65:22 |
| 24:3 31:25 | 43:15 | 14:11 20:1 | Robertson |
| 32:7 94:23 | responsibilit | 23:25 25:8 | 62:19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { reporting } \\ & 37: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Y}^{35} \mathbf{3}$ | $34: 3,936: 14$ $49: 251: 3$ | robust $83: 6$ |
| reports$36: 344: 8$ | responsible | $52: 25$ 53:3 | rocks |
|  | 10:1,2 11:20 | 55:1 56:6 | 51:8 |
| reposition | responsive | 57:20 59:7, | rod |
| 23:13 | 42:9 | 8,10 60:24 | 69:19 |
| representatio | restoration | $62: 4,1363: 8$ | Rogers |
| n | 42:12 | 64:22 65:18 | $37: 17$ |
| 66:10 72:6 | restore | 66:25 67:15 | role |
| 74:1 77:2,8 | 10:11 | 72:1 73:1 | 18:18 19:25 |
| 78:25 | rest | 74:3,18 | 33:8 |
| representatio | 67:13 | 77:11,16,19 | roles |
| ns | resul | 81:12 86:10 | 36:25 |
| 71:5 | 63:6 | 90: | roll |
| representativ | results | right-hand $52: 9 \quad 64: 24$ | 4:18 20:16 |
| e | 84:2,5,6 | 52:9 64:24 $74: 10$ | roommates |
| 68:10 90:18 | retains |  | 39:15 |
| 91:9,20 | 15:5 | rights | root |
| 92:3,15 | return | 16:20,24 | 29:10 33:9 |
| 93:10 | 90:21 91:13 | 17: | 37:3,4,6 |
| represented | reupped | rigidity <br> 79.24 | 44:1,13 |
| 4:7 | 42:20 | 9:2 | 47:16,21 |
| reproduction | reveale | Risk | 48:25 49:14, |
| 58:17 | $9: 21$ | 56:22 | 25 55:1,2, |
| request | review | Ritchie | 10,13 57:18, |
|  | 14:1 16:13 | 6:11 21:5 | 23 58:13,14, |
|  | $49: 13$ | 23:7 24:10, | 15 62:10 |





| submit | 68:15 69:15 | 83:17 88:6, | ten |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:23 41:23 | 79:21 80:17 | 8,14 95:20 | 71:13 72:20 |
| 42:4 | 85:7 | taken | 73:6 75:16, |
| submitted | surface | 15:1 30:3 | 20 76:11 |
| 12:25 16:13 | 62:1 69:19 | 40:23 42:21 | 84:7 85:15 |
| substance | 70:17 71:14, | 88:18 89:21 | 88:11 |
| 20:6 | 18 72:10 | takes | ten-minute |
| substantial | 74:3 76:12 | 14:3 62:22 | 88:9,13,14 |
| 48:20 | 77:11,14,17 | 93:24 | ten-year |
| subsurface | 78:5 82:13 | taking | 40:4 |
| 55:23 69:23 | surrounding | 41:2 81:12 | tender |
| succinctly | 52:6 | talk | 45:23 47:10, |
| 90:8 | survey | 16:1 18:22 | 15 |
| sufficient | 54:6,12 | $34: 23$ 38:2 | tendered |
| 84:16 | surveys | 55:1 59:5 | 48:4 |
| sugarberry | 35:11,12 | 67:16 76:20 | tent |
| 72:2,7 | sustain | 80:17 84:2, | 52:15 |
| Sulphur | 84:16 | 25 | term |
| 50:12 | swamp | talked | 58:11 80:25 |
| summary | 44:2 | 36:9 57:11 | terms |
| 85:1 | swamps | 67:18 | 75:22,24,25 |
| sum | 35:17 | talking | 95:19 |
| 35:12 | swear | 28:24 30:25 | Terrestrial |
| summerwide | 32:7 | 46:17 52:19 | 56:21 |
| 35:12 | switch | 61:9 63:9 | test |
| sun | 44:20 | 66:16 69:18 | 79:24 |
| 37:2 | sworn | tall | testified |
| sunshine | 32:9 | 68:17 | 32:9 55:15 |
| 72:23 | system 33.23 | tank 51:2 92:25 | testifies 46.10 |
| supplement |  |  |  |
| $25: 17$ | systematics 38:24 | tape 61:25 $70: 17$ | testify |
| supplemental 10:19 11:2 | 38:24 | 82:7 | $86: 3 \quad 87: 10$ |
| 25:12 | T | teacher | testifying |
| support |  | 33:19 | 28:11 43:18 |
| 14:25 93:13 | T-PROBE |  | testimony |
| supported | 69:14 | 8:16 18:11 | 27:16 28:21 |
| 43:19 | tables | 34:4 | 29:19 47:20 |
| supports | 95:7 | techniques | 49:24 59:22 |
| 49:23 | take | 55:24 56:12, | 60:15 63:22 |
| Supreme | 14:15 19:9 | 17 | 85:6 87:7 |
| 17:2,5,8,13, | 25:9 35:13 | tell | 88:25 89:9 |
| 21 | 40:11 59:10 | 19:6 | 95:3,9 |
| sure | 60:6 62:2,8 |  | testing |
| 31:5,15,24 | 68:12 69:14, | 38:3, 4 | 9:18,19 |
| 33:7 34:14 | 25 70:17 | 76:23 79 | thank |
| 40:6 62:16 | 79:9 81:14 | 76:23 79 | 7:24 24:4 |


| 25:4 28:13, | thought | 60:8 | 81:3,6 82:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 29:21 | 65:15 | top | triggered |
| 31:17 32:22 | thousand | 70:5 75:10, | 14:10 |
| 34:3,9 42:16 | 60:24 | 12,18 | trucks |
| 47:2 48:6 | thousands | total | 52:15 |
| 54:23 57:8 | 86:13 | 25:11 | trunk |
| 74:21 87:11, | three | Touchet | 70:12,18 |
| 18 88:15,17, | 16:6 24:19 | 37:9 44:17 | Trustees |
| 24 89:4,6 | 28:10 53:20, | Town | 4:8 |
| 94:14,16 | 24 | 8:13 | try |
| 96:5,7,10 | throw | Township | 20:4,15,24 |
|  | 5:9 | 8:13 | 21:20,22 |
| 14:25 | tighte | trad | 22:20,23 |
| thing | 26:7 | 94:18 | 25:9 26:6 |
| 28:12 64:5 | time | trainin | 40:12 44:19 |
| $72: 17$ 79:7 | 12:11 13:18 | 45:12 | 45:2 61:8 |
| 81:2 86:11 | 14:2,23 | transcr | 69:12 81:17, |
| 95:2 | 15:20 21:22 | 19:13 46:16 | 20 82:9 |
| things | 22:1 24:11 | transect | 86:15 |
| $38: 18$ $41: 592: 22$ $42: 23$ | 25:10 26:1 | $35: 15$ | trying |
| 41:5 42:23 | 27:9 29:22 | transitio | 69:1 |
| $46: 7 \quad 54: 8$ $58: 1,260: 1$ | 30:13 34:23 | $39: 23$ | Tuesday |
| $58: 1,260: 14$ $63: 1864: 3$, | 35:2,19 | tree | 22:12 |
| 63:18 64:3, | 39:16 40:11 | tree 53.1860 .2 | Tulane |
| $4,6,8,15$ $69: 23-70: 21$ | 43:6 47:14 | 53:18 60:2 | 38:10 40:8,9 |
| $69: 23-70: 21$ $72: 19$ | 48:12,19 |  | 43:4 |
| 72:19 76:2 | 52:13,17 | $\begin{aligned} & 67: 24 \quad 68: 2, \\ & 3,4,6,12,13 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $79: 17,18,25$ $84: 1386: 18$ | 54:17 57:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,4,6,12,13, \\ & 1970: 12,18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { twice } \\ 51: 14 & 82: 20\end{array}$ |
| $84: 13$ $93: 16$ | 59:11 61:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 1970: 12,18, \\ & 19,22,23,25 \end{aligned}$ | two |
| 93:16 | 68:5 69:3 | 72:1,2,9,20 | 16:4 33:19, |
| hink 9:18 15:16 | $70: 1 \quad 72: 22$ | 90:10 91:5 | 22 48:19 |
| 17:24 18:23 | $79: 20$ $87: 11$ 80: | 92:8,22 | 59:11 65:13, |
| 20:14 23:1,2 | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 11 \\ & 95: 8,13 \end{aligned}$ | trees | 15,25 72:2,4 |
| 28:19 29:4, | 95:8, timely | 50:24 53:18 | 75:11 79:2 |
| 13 31:12 | ti | 60:5 63:7 | 90:10 92:5, |
| 37:11 43:1 |  | 65:15 66:1 | 20 |
| 44:23 60:21 | Timothy | 68:9 70:21 | Tyler |
| 68:24 76:21 | toda | 72:4 78:17 | 7:9 |
| 86:8 87:9 | today | 90:25 92:4, | type |
| 95:19, 24 | 5:16 21:4,17 | 5,6,7,9 | 26:9 40:19 |
| thinking | 22 | 93:20 | 56:17 63:18, |
| 39:13 86:9 | 31:4, 13 | trench | 25 64:20 |
| THOMAS | 31:4,7,13 | 56:13 61:13, | 66:10 68:15 |
| $4: 2$ | 56:15 87:7 | 15,16,22 | 76:18 77:12 |
| thorough |  | 62:2,15,23 | 78:19,25 |
| $30: 5$ | :18 | 63:2,7 73:23 | 93:17 |


| types | various | visible | waterbodies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38:18 53:5, $10,13,21$ | -ion |  |  |
| 54:13 57:16 | vegetation 29:10 $34: 17$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { visibly } \\ 61: 4 \end{gathered}$ | waterbody $42: 11$ |
| 58:4 66:5 | 35:6,11,12 | visit | watering |
| typical | 47:25 48:1 | 27:6 66:22 | 64:14 |
| 68:15 | 49:14,25 | 90:24 | way |
| typically | 50:23 52:6, | visited | 20:8 32:13 |
| 17:25 19:19 | 11,12,20 | 48:18 52:22 | 35:8,16 |
| 22:21 61:16 | 55:1 57:23 | visiting | 59:14 63:18 |
| 92:9 | 58:7,21 | 53:9 | 66:24 |
|  | 61:19,21 | visual | ways |
| U | 64:6 65:8 | 60:13 | 83:22 |
|  | 16,17 68:1, | visually | wear |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Uh-huh } \\ & 80: 19 \quad 90: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $1073: 12,15$ | 76:18 | 20:19 webbed |
| ultimately $14: 22$ | $83: 6,10$ | W | $\begin{array}{r} 69: 9 \\ \text { weedy } \end{array}$ |
| underneath | 85:8 87:2 | wait | 78:19 |
| 37:2 69:15, | 93:8 | 30:17 66:23 | week |
| 19 | vegetative | walk | 25:13 |
| understand | 44:13 47:23, | 49:21 59:6 | weekend |
| 4:18 16:21 | 24 | walk-through | 95:12 |
| 60:22 68:6 | vernacular | 50:4 | welfare |
| understanding | 16:10 | wall | 16:18 |
| 13:25 14:19 | Veron | 56:14 60:20 | went |
| 47:20 51:1 | 87:20,22 | 61:14,15,24 | 26:22 36:10 |
| 69:16 76:4 | Verret | 62:15 63:7 | 39:7 40:4, |
| 85:22 | $4: 25 \quad 5: 1,2,$ | 73:23 83:7 | 16,17 51:15 |
| Understood | $7,8,13,14$ | want | 68:24 81:5 |
| 42:16 80:8 | vicinity | 15:23 28:2, | west |
| University | 91:2 | 12 31:5,8 | 8:13 50:12 |
| 38:11 39:25 | ideo | $34: 23$ 44:20, | 52:2 65:4 |
| unknown | $23: 23$ | 25 67:7,8 | wet |
| 38:25 | Vidrine | 68:21 80:25 | 77:4,5,15 |
| upset | Vidrine $54: 5$ | 81:22 86:15 | wetland |
| 86:14 |  | 88:8 92:9 | 33:11 34:24 |
| USDA | 81.22 | wanted | 35:4,5,7 |
| 53:5,9 | viewed | 40:6,16 79:1 | 41:14,17,18, |
| User | viewed $85: 12$ | 95:9 | 20 42:10 |
| 5:21, 22 | views | water | wetlands |
|  | views | 39:25 40:25 | 33:21,24 |
| V |  | 41:2 42:10, | 37:18 40:22 |
|  | age | 13 71:22 | 24 41:1,8,10 |
|  |  | 77:15,19 | 42:2,23 |
| $82: 25$ | violated <br> 10:17 | $78: 3$ | 47:16 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { wetter } \\ & 77: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,2235: 4,5 \\ & 36: 17,25 \end{aligned}$ | younger <br> 62:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| widely | 39:14 40:17 |  |
| 56:4 | 42:1 43:6,8, | Z |
| Wiggins 25 81: |  |  |
| 6:18,19,20, | 87:11 | Zeringue |
| 21 | world | 6:1,2,3 |
| wire | 39:21 | zone |
| 51:5 52:5 | worth | 29:11 33:9 |
| 67:5 | 59:13 60:23 | 37:3,4,6 |
| witches' | wrap | 44:1,14 |
| 68:18 | 70:18 82:18 | 47:17,21 |
| witness | wrestler | 48:25 49:25 |
| 21:19,23 | 39:8 | 55:2,11,13 |
| 24:18,19 | wrestling | 58:13,14,15, |
| 25:2 30:14 | 38:8,9 | 24 62:10 |
| 32:7,14 | write | 64:10,14,18, |
| 46:10 87:15 | 19:7 61:10 | 20 67:13 |
| 89:5,11,22 | written | 68:22 71:13 |
| 90:2,12 | 14:25 19:10 | 72:15,20 |
| 91:17 93:14 | wrote | $73: 675: 16$, |
| 94:5,13 | 30:22 | 21 76:11 |
| witnesses |  | 78:4,12 |
| 15:22 18:23 |  | 80:12,13 |
| 19:2 27:17 | Y | 81:9 82:12 |
| 30:6 95:17 |  | 83:11,22 |
| woody | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \text { all } \\ & 29: 13 \quad 30: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 84:7 85:11, |
| 72:6 | 29:13 30:13 | 15 86:1 |
| word | $31: 4,14 \quad 32: 1$ | 90:15,18,19 |
| 31:10 | 69:1 | 94:3 |
| words | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yard } \\ & 81: 1 \end{aligned}$ | zones $57: 23 \quad 92: 2$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 58: 23 & 60: 24 \\ 90: 21 & \end{array}$ | yeah | zoom |
| work | 6:21 23:16 | 7:19 15:18 |
| 22:13 33:2, | 44:23,24 | 87:19 |
| 10 35:21 | 51:17 66:22, 25 81:18 |  |
| 37:6,14 43:3 |  |  |
| 56:9,24 | $52: 14 \quad 72: 22$ |  |
| 57:16 79:13 | $\begin{array}{ll} 52: 14 & 72: 22 \\ 89: 21 & \end{array}$ |  |
| 84:3 |  |  |
| worked | years <br> 33:16,19,22 |  |
| 36:19,23 | 33:16,19,22 |  |
| 37:16 43:3, | 36:19,23 |  |
| 4,9,12,13 | 42:1,19 |  |
| $78: 10$ | 76:6,9 79:13 |  |
| working |  |  |
| 28:16 33:15, | $52: 13 \quad 65: 9$ |  |

